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Post-transcriptional modification of spliceosomal RNAs is normal in SMN-deficient cells
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ABSTRACT

The survival of motor neuron (SMN) protein plays an important role in the biogenesis of spliceosomal snRNPs and is one factor required for the integrity of nuclear Cajal bodies (CBs). CBs are enriched in small CB-specific (sca) RNAs, which guide the formation of pseudouridylated and 2’-O-methylated residues in the snRNAs. Because SMN-deficient cells lack typical CBs, we asked whether the modification of internal residues of major and minor snRNAs is defective in these cells. We mapped modified nucleotides in the major U2 and the minor U4atac and U12 snRNAs. Using both radioactive and fluorescent primer extension approaches, we found that modification of major and minor spliceosomal snRNAs is normal in SMN-deficient cells. Our experiments also revealed a previously undetected pseudouridine at position 60 in human U2 and 2’-O-methylation of A1, A2, and G19 in human U4atac. These results confirm, and extend to minor snRNAs, previous experiments showing that scaRNPs can function in the absence of typical CBs. Furthermore, they show that the differential splicing defects in SMN-deficient cells are not due to failure of post-transcriptional modification of either major or minor snRNAs.
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INTRODUCTION

The removal of introns from nuclear pre-mRNA molecules is an essential step in the expression of eukaryotic genes. Two different splicing machineries can be distinguished: The major or U2-dependent spliceosome excises U2-type introns, whereas the U12-dependent spliceosome removes U12-type introns (for review, see Will and Lührmann 2001, 2005; Patel and Steitz 2003). The U2-dependent spliceosome contains U1, U2, U4, and U6 snRNAs; the U12-dependent machinery contains the functional counterparts U11, U12, U4atac, and U6atac. The U5 snRNA is common to both spliceosomes.

The biogenesis of major and minor snRNPs proceeds through similar pathways. An important player in the production of both particles is the SMN protein, the product of the Survival of Motor Neuron genes (SMN1 and SMN2).

Mutations in SMN1 are responsible for spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), an autosomal recessive neurodegenerative disease, primarily affecting α-motor neurons of the lower spinal cord (Roberts et al. 1970; Lefebvre et al. 1995). SMN protein is a ubiquitously expressed essential protein in all metazoans. It is enriched in nuclear Cajal bodies (CBs) along with RNA processing factors involved in the biogenesis of snRNPs (Gall 2003; Ciocie and Lamond 2005). Interestingly, reduction in SMN protein expression in SMA is associated with failure of the SMN complex to assemble into typical CBs, both in cells derived from SMA patients and in an SMA mouse model (Coover et al. 1997; Lefebvre et al. 1997; Frugier et al. 2000).

Numerous studies have shown that SMN is responsible for formation of the heptameric Sm protein core complex and its association with snRNA (Fisher et al. 1997; Liu et al. 1997; Meister et al. 2001; Pellizzoni et al. 2002). In addition, SMN deficiency alters the stoichiometry of major and minor snRNAs in SMN-deficient mouse tissues and causes widespread and tissue-specific pre-mRNA splicing defects in SMA mice models (Gabanel et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2008). More recently, it has been shown that formation of the minor U4atac/U6atac/U5 tri-snRNPs is hindered in lymphoblasts...
derived from a patient suffering from severe SMA. In these cells, splicing of some, but not all minor U12-type introns is perturbed (Boulisfane et al. 2011). Altogether, these results demonstrate that SMN deficiency leads to CB disruption, defects in minor tri-snRNP formation, and inefficient splicing of minor introns.

These coordinated effects of SMN deficiency suggest that the underlying defect might lie in some molecular event(s) that takes place in the CB itself. A likely candidate for such an event would be modification of internal residues on the snRNAs, since the machinery that carries out these modifications is concentrated in CBs. Specifically, CBs are enriched in small CB-specific (sca) RNAs, which function as guides for 2′-O-methylation and pseudouridylylation of spliceosomal snRNAs (Jády and Kiss 2001; Darzacq et al. 2002; Jády et al. 2003; Kiss et al. 2004). Furthermore, it is known that snRNA modifications guided by scaRNAs are important for efficient spliceosome assembly and splicing itself (Yu et al. 1998; Dönmez et al. 2004; Zhao and Yu 2004, 2007).

In this study we examined snRNA modifications in SMN-deficient human cells that lack typical CBs. We found that 2′-O-methylation and pseudouridylation are normal in the major U2 snRNA and in the U12 and U4atac minor snRNAs. Our results show that neither normal levels of SMN nor typical CBs are required for the production of modified residues in spliceosomal major and minor snRNAs. Thus, hypomodification of snRNAs is not responsible for the differential splicing defects observed in SMN-deficient cells.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To determine whether SMN deficiency leads to defects in the modification of spliceosomal snRNAs, we first examined total RNA from control and SMN-depleted HeLa cells. In earlier experiments we reported that SMN could be reduced to ~20%–25% of the control level using siRNAs (Girard et al. 2006; Lemm et al. 2006). To map modified residues in snRNAs, we used reverse transcriptase-based methods after chemical treatment of RNA with CMC (to map pseudouridines) and at low dNTP concentrations (to map 2′-O-methylation). Mapping of endogenous U2 snRNA was first performed using a 32P-labeled oligonucleotide complementary to bases 144–160 of human U2 snRNA (oligo B93). All of the known 2′-O-methylated positions (Massenet et al. 1998) were unambiguously detected in RNA from both control and SMN-deficient cells with the exception of Am1 and Um2 (Fig. 1A). Similarly, pseudouridines were detected in both samples with the exception of positions 6, 7, and 15 (Fig. 1B). A major stop was also found at position 61, showing that human U2 snRNA carries an additional pseudouridine at position 60.

These results suggest that modification of U2 snRNA does occur in SMN-deficient HeLa cells and is not, therefore, dependent on normal levels of SMN complex nor on the presence of canonical CBs. However, we were concerned that siRNA depletion might give rise to a mixed population of cells, some of which might have higher SMN levels than others. To circumvent this problem, we examined cells derived from SMA patients (SMN+/− fibroblasts and lymphoblasts). While these cells are not null for SMN, they do have reduced SMN levels (Gangwani et al. 2001; Wan et al. 2005) and represent a more homogeneous population than the siRNA-treated HeLa cells. In addition, these cells lack typical colin-positive CBs. Instead, colin is dispersed in smaller “residual nuclear bodies” (Coovert et al. 1997; Boulisfane et al. 2011). Total RNA was prepared from these cells and used in primer extension analysis. As shown in Figure 1C, SMN1+/− fibroblasts and lymphoblasts both show a pattern of pseudouridylylated residues in U2 snRNA indistinguishable from that observed in control cells. The same additional stop at position 61 was detectable in all three RNA samples.

The presence of pseudouridine at all expected positions in U2 snRNA was confirmed by primer extension reactions using a fluorescently labeled oligonucleotide, followed by separation on capillary columns (Fig. 2A). This second approach showed the presence of a prominent peak at position 61, confirming that human U2 snRNA carries a pre-
were detected in B a high concentration of dNTPs using RNA from B described U2 shows no internal peaks (black). (Fig. 2B). The failure to detect Gm19 and Cm61 in human U2 snRNA using the primer extension approach has been reported repeatedly (Jády et al. 2003; Motorin et al. 2007). The weak pauses at these positions do not depend on the labeled oligo used, but instead on the source and type of reverse transcription enzyme. When SuperScript 2-RT (Invitrogen) was used instead of AMV-RT, primer extension termination was obvious for mapping Cm61 and Gm19, but methylated C40 failed to arrest reverse transcription (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, an extra peak was detectable at the actual position of Cm61. It is possible that the 2'-O-methylated residue produced a double stop signal (Kiss and Jády 2004). However, a second stop opposite a modified residue is usually not a major one. Another possibility is that the newly identified pseudouridine at position 60 is methylated. Based on our observations, we encourage other researchers who use primer extension for mapping 2'-O-methylated residues, to test several reverse transcriptases to get a comprehensive map of post-transcriptional modifications. Altogether, our results demonstrate that the modification of major spliceosomal snRNAs is not dependent on normal levels of SMN and that scaRNPs are able to perform their guide function in cells that lack typical CBs.

We recently demonstrated that formation of the minor U4atac/U6atac/U5 tri-snRNP is strongly disturbed in SMN1+/− lymphoblasts, whereas the major tri-snRNP is only slightly affected (Boulisfane et al. 2011). Based on this observation, we asked whether modification of the minor snRNAs might be defective in these cells. We characterized modified residues in U12 and U4atac snRNAs using the fluorescent primer extension approach. We chose these two snRNAs because they are known to carry pseudouridines and 2'-O-methyl residues (Massenet et al. 1998; Massenet and Branlant 1999; Tycowski et al. 2004). We did not analyze U11, in which no modified nucleotides have been described, nor U6atac, which has a single pseudouridine at position 83 near the 3' end of the molecule, and which would be challenging to detect by the fluorescent technique because it would give rise to a short fragment that lies in a noisy region.

The analysis of U12 snRNA (Fig. 3A) demonstrated the expected 2'-O-methyl residues at Am8, Gm18, and Gm22 in RNA extracted from both SMN1+/− and SMN1−/− lymphoblasts. These modified positions in U12 mirror the previously unrecognized pseudouridine at position 60. A pseudouridine at the equivalent position 60 has also been reported in a survey of post-transcriptional modifications in the 5' half of rat liver and brain snRNA (Branlant et al. 1982; Massenet et al. 1998), indicating that this position might indeed be modified in mammals. The fluorescent technique was also used to map 2'-O-methyl residues. All known methylated residues were detected with the exception of G19 and C61 when AMV-RT (New England Biolabs) was used to perform the assay (Fig. 2B). The failure to detect Gm19 and Cm61 in human U2 snRNA using the primer extension approach has been reported repeatedly (Jády et al. 2003; Motorin et al. 2007). The weak pauses at these positions do not depend on the labeled oligo used, but instead on the source and type of reverse transcription enzyme. When SuperScript 2-RT (Invitrogen) was used instead of AMV-RT, primer extension termination was obvious for mapping Cm61 and Gm19, but methylated C40 failed to arrest reverse transcription (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, an extra peak was detectable at the actual position of Cm61. It is possible that the 2'-O-methylated residue produced a double stop signal (Kiss and Jády 2004). However, a second stop opposite a modified residue is usually not a major one. Another possibility is that the newly identified pseudouridine at position 60 is methylated. Based on our observations, we encourage other researchers who use primer extension for mapping 2'-O-methylated residues, to test several reverse transcriptases to get a comprehensive map of post-transcriptional modifications. Altogether, our results demonstrate that the modification of major spliceosomal snRNAs is not dependent on normal levels of SMN and that scaRNPs are able to perform their guide function in cells that lack typical CBs.

We recently demonstrated that formation of the minor U4atac/U6atac/U5 tri-snRNP is strongly disturbed in SMN1+/− lymphoblasts, whereas the major tri-snRNP is only slightly affected (Boulisfane et al. 2011). Based on this observation, we asked whether modification of the minor snRNAs might be defective in these cells. We characterized modified residues in U12 and U4atac snRNAs using the fluorescent primer extension approach. We chose these two snRNAs because they are known to carry pseudouridines and 2'-O-methyl residues (Massenet et al. 1998; Massenet and Branlant 1999; Tycowski et al. 2004). We did not analyze U11, in which no modified nucleotides have been described, nor U6atac, which has a single pseudouridine at position 83 near the 3' end of the molecule, and which would be challenging to detect by the fluorescent technique because it would give rise to a short fragment that lies in a noisy region.

The analysis of U12 snRNA (Fig. 3A) demonstrated the expected 2'-O-methyl residues at Am8, Gm18, and Gm22 in RNA extracted from both SMN1+/− and SMN1−/− lymphoblasts. These modified positions in U12 mirror the modifications in the 5' terminal stem–loop structure of U2 snRNA, whose importance in pre-mRNA splicing competency is well established (Dönmez et al. 2004). Our analysis demonstrated that pseudouridylation of U12 also occurs in...
reaction is terminated at the same position when in vitro-transcribed SMN1 position 9 (star) suggesting a 2'-O-methyl group at positions A1, A2, and G19. A prominent stop is observed also at position 9 in SMN-deficient cells, since strong reverse-transcriptase stops were detected at positions corresponding to 2'-O-methyl group at C8, but the reaction is terminated at the same position when in vitro-transcribed RNA is used (black trace). (B) A pseudouridine at position 12 is detectable in U4atac from SMN1+/+ (red) and SMN1−/− (blue) lymphoblasts, but not in control in vitro-transcribed U4atac (black). (C) Only a tiny peak for Am8 is detectable in control untreated RNA. However, a prominent methylation is detectable in U4atac at positions A1, A2, and G19. A prominent peak is observed also at position 9 (star) suggesting a 2'-O-methyl group at C8, but the reaction is terminated at the same position when in vitro-transcribed RNA is used (black trace). (D) A pseudouridine at position 12 is detectable in U4atac from SMN1+/+ (red) and SMN1−/− (blue) lymphoblasts, but not in control in vitro-transcribed U4atac (black). (F) When control primer extension reactions are run on RNA samples isolated from lymphoblasts an extra peak appears at position 5. This might correspond to a different isoform of human U4atac. In fact, 19 different genes are reported for U4atac in the human genome databases (http://useast.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/) and the U4atac.14-201 variant fits the detected size.

We also found normal modification of U4atac in RNA derived from both SMN1+/+ and SMN1−/− cells (Fig. 3B).

FIGURE 3. Mapping of modified nucleotides in minor snRNAs U12 (left) and U4atac (right). (A) Three 2'-O-methyl groups are detectable in U12 snRNA from SMN1+/+ (red) and SMN1−/− (blue) lymphoblasts (Am8, Gm18, Gm22). Since both types of cells have reduced levels of SMN, modification of these snRNAs does not depend on cells having a normal level of SMN protein.

Our results also have implications for the relationship between CBs and snRNA modification. Because scaRNAs are concentrated in CBs, and indeed derive their name from this localization (Darzacq et al. 2002), it has been commonly assumed that modification of snRNAs must occur there. However, coilin-null mice that lack typical CBs have properly modified snRNAs (Jady et al. 2003) and scaRNA levels and modification of U1, U2, U4, and U5 snRNAs are normal in Drosophila mutants that entirely lack CBs (Deryusheva and Gall 2009; Liu et al. 2009; Nizami et al. 2010). Furthermore, many cell types or individual cells in otherwise normal tissues lack CBs, yet must have modified snRNAs in order to carry out normal splicing. In this study we used three types of human cells with reduced levels of SMN: fibroblasts and lymphoblasts from SMN-deficient patients and HeLa cells in which the SMN level was reduced by siRNA. The fibroblasts and lymphoblasts used both lack typical CBs, yet clearly have modified major and minor snRNAs. After siRNA knockdown of SMN, HeLa cells lack typical coilin-positive CBs, but do exhibit smaller coilin-positive foci in their nuclei. These cells also have normally modified major and minor snRNAs. Our results thus support the view that modification of snRNAs can take place in the nucleoplasm in the absence of morphologically typical CBs. Further direct proof that CBs are not required for snRNA modification was demonstrated in experiments with Xenopus oocyte nuclei (Deryusheva and Gall 2009). In this case, an in vitro-transcribed scaRNA and an in vitro-transcribed (unmodified) snRNA were added to a sample of nucleoplasm that had been centrifuged to remove all nuclear bodies. After appropriate incubation of the extract, the snRNA was shown to have been specifically modified by the scaRNA. Modification occurred even when the scaRNA lacked the CAB box signal that targets guide RNAs to the CB (Richard et al. 2003).

Our experiments demonstrate that modification of both major and minor snRNAs can take place in cells that are deficient for SMN. Since these cells either lack CBs entirely or have an unusual distribution of CB components, our results also demonstrate that modification of minor snRNAs can occur in the absence of typical CBs. Thus, the differential splicing defects observed in SMN-deficient cells (Zhang et al. 2008; Boulisfane et al. 2011) are not due to hypomodification of snRNAs. However, we cannot exclude formally that the modification process of internal residues in snRNAs might be defective (at least partially) in motor neurons of SMA patients. Given that the formation of CBs is directly related to the neuronal body size (Pena et al. 2001), motor neurons might be particularly dependent on typical CBs and more vulnerable to their disruption than other cells. It would, however, be difficult to analyze the modification status of snRNAs in motor neurons, because spinal cords contain additional cell components, our results also demonstrate that modification of both major and minor snRNAs can take place in cells that are deficient for SMN. Since these cells either lack CBs entirely or have an unusual distribution of CB components, our results also demonstrate that modification of minor snRNAs can occur in the absence of typical CBs. Thus, the differential splicing defects observed in SMN-deficient cells (Zhang et al. 2008; Boulisfane et al. 2011) are not due to hypomodification of snRNAs. However, we cannot exclude formally that the modification process of internal residues in snRNAs might be defective (at least partially) in motor neurons of SMA patients. Given that the formation of CBs is directly related to the neuronal body size (Pena et al. 2001), motor neurons might be particularly dependent on typical CBs and more vulnerable to their disruption than other cells. It would, however, be difficult to analyze the modification status of snRNAs in motor neurons, because spinal cords contain additional cell components.
types in which the snRNA modification process would not be altered, such as Schwann cells and glial cells. Further studies will be needed to uncover the mechanism responsible for the splicing perturbations of particular transcripts in motor neurons of SMA patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid construction

Human U2 snRNA sequence was amplified from genomic DNA isolated from HeLa cells. The PCR fragment was cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). The human U12 and U4atac genes were PCR amplified from plasmids. The blunted PCR fragments were cloned into pUC19 vector linearized with Smal. Oligonucleotides used were the following:

U2 forward, TAATACACCTCATAAGGATCGCTTCTCAGGCTTTTG;
U2 reverse, TGGGTTGACGGTTCCTGGGA;
U12 forward, AAATACACCTCATAAGGATGCGCTTAAACTTA;
U12 reverse, TAATACGACTCATTAGGGCAGATCGCACTCC;
U4atac forward, AAATACACCTCATAAGGATTACACCTCCCTTTG;
U4atac reverse, TAATAGGACTCATTAGGGGATTTTCTACTACGAC.

T3 and T7 promoters were included in oligonucleotides (underlined) to make in vitro-transcribed control RNAs.

Cell culture and RNA preparation

Depletion of SMN by siRNA was performed in HeLa cells as described in Girard et al. (2006). A fibroblast cell line from an SMA patient (GM3813) and a control (GM3814) were studied, as described in Girard et al. (2006). A fibroblast cell line from an SMA patient (GM33397 to J.G.G.
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