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Abstract

An improved quantitative assay for tRNA aminoacylation is presented based on charging of a nicked tRNA followed by separation of an aminoacylated 3′-fragment on an acidic denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Kinetic parameters of tRNA aminoacylation by Escherichia coli AlaRS obtained by the new method are in excellent agreement with those measured by the conventional method. This assay provides several advantages over the traditional methods of measuring tRNA aminoacylation: (1) the fraction of aminoacyl-tRNA is measured directly; (2) data can be obtained at saturating amino acid concentrations; and (3) the assay is significantly more sensitive.
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Introduction

The availability of co-crystal structures of several tRNAs bound to their cognate aminoacyl tRNA synthetases (Cusack, 1995, 1997; Arnez & Moras, 1997) permits the design of biochemical experiments aimed at understanding how specific binding of the tRNA by the enzyme is achieved and how interactions with the tRNA affect the catalytic reaction mechanism (Yarus, 1988). Because such “structure–function” experiments require determining the aminoacylation kinetics of a variety of modified tRNAs and mutant enzymes, it is critical to have a reliable assay. Although excellent quantitative assays are available that measure the first, amino acid adenylation, step of the reaction (Fersht et al., 1975; Mazat et al., 1982), the primary assay available for measuring the transfer of the amino acid onto the tRNA has several shortcomings. In its most common format, this assay determines the amount of tritiated amino acid covalently linked to the tRNA, and then calculates the fraction of tRNA aminoacylated using the input tRNA concentration (Loftfield, 1972; Eigner & Loftfield, 1974). Because both the amino acid and the tRNA are expected to help organize the active site of a synthetase, their mutual presence on the enzyme is desirable for many kinetic measurements. However, it is often impractical to perform reactions under saturating amino acid concentrations. Several aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases have $K_M$ values for amino acid in the range of 200–400 μM (Hill & Schimmel, 1989; Eriani et al., 1993; Ibba et al., 1996), whereas the corresponding $K_M$ values for tRNA are between 0.2 and 2 μM. Because of this, at saturating amino acid concentration, prohibitive amounts of radioactivity would be required to measure aminoacylation of subsaturating concentrations of tRNA. The weak binding of the amino acid and the tight binding of the tRNA by synthetases also prevent performing pre-steady-state kinetics on this phase of the reaction—a necessary requirement for dissecting the tRNA binding step in the reaction mechanism. Finally, by not measuring aminoacylation of tRNA directly, the conventional assay cannot detect the presence of inactive tRNA molecules in the reaction, which is a possibility when mutant tRNAs are used.

Here we demonstrate the feasibility of an assay that directly measures the fraction of aminoacylated tRNA by its altered mobility on an acidic, denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Such gels have been used to estimate the levels of aminoacylated tRNA in vivo (Varshney et al., 1991; McClain et al., 1998), and to detect self- aminoacylation by a ribozyme (Illangasekare et al., 1997). However, initial experiments revealed that the resolution of these gels was not sufficient to obtain accurate kinetic data when intact tRNA was used. Thus, we employed a tRNA made of two RNA fragments with a nick at a position near the 3′ terminus that does not affect aminoacylation kinetics (Liu & Musier-Forsyth, 1994). Because this assay uses high-specific activity $[^{32}P]$-labeled tRNA and measures aminoacylation directly, it overcomes the major shortcomings of the tritiated amino acid assay and should be valuable for structure–function studies.
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The tRNA used in this work is a derivative of yeast tRNA^{Phe} that contains several acceptor stem mutations providing it with the recognition nucleotides of Escherichia coli alanine tRNA synthetase (Fig. 1A). As will be shown elsewhere, this tRNA is fully active for aminoacylation by both yeast PheRS and E. coli AlaRS. A bimolecular version of this dual-specificity tRNA (Fig. 1B) was prepared by combining a 57-nt 5’ fragment made by transcription with a 19-nt 3’ fragment made by chemical synthesis. When equimolar amounts of the two oligonucleotides were annealed, nondenaturing gels revealed that more than 90% of a radiolabeled 3’ fragment comigrated with intact tRNA (data not shown). The resulting bimolecular tRNA contains a nick in the phosphate backbone between residues 57 and 58, a site previously shown not to alter tRNA folding greatly (Pan et al., 1991). Conventional aminoacylation experiments reveal that the nicked tRNA has the same \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_M \) for aminoacylation as the intact tRNA with yeast PheRS, and only a fivefold lower \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_M \) with E. coli AlaRS (data not shown). These results are not affected by the presence of a phosphate on the 5’ terminus of the 19-mer. Comparable results have been obtained using E. coli tRNA^{Phe} containing a nick at the same site (Liu & Musier-Forsyth, 1994).

The acid gel assay is performed using the nicked tRNA substrate where the 19-mer is 5’-[32P]-labeled. Figure 2A shows a gel analyzing the products of a time course of aminoacylation of this substrate by AlaRS. Separation of the aminoacyl oligonucleotide product from the unaminoacylated substrate is excellent and easily quantified. Equally good separation is observed when the substrate is aminoacylated by PheRS, suggesting that the identity of the amino acid does not greatly affect separation (data not shown). Because a small amount of “smearing” was seen on the gel, there was a concern that spontaneous deacylation of the oligonucleotide occurred during the long (up to 14 h) electrophoresis time despite the low pH of the gel buffer. However, control experiments using [3H]-labeled aminoacyl tRNA revealed less than 5% deacylation upon incubation in the gel buffer for 24 h at 4°C. Thus, the gel accurately reflects the fraction of aminoacylated 19-mer when the time point was taken.

In order to compare the acid gel assay with the conventional assay, a double-label experiment was performed using 5’-[32P]-labeled 19-mer and [3H]-alanine. Duplicate samples were taken at various times and analyzed both on acid gels and by the tRNA precipitation assay. A sample time course is shown in Figure 2B. Although the reaction rates determined by the two assays are in good agreement, the extent of the reaction is significantly lower in the tritium assay. This disparity is likely due to the inaccuracy associated with calculating the aminoacylated tRNA concentration from the acid-insoluble radioactivity. Although the experiment made use of a careful independent determination of the tritium-counting efficiency in the format of the assay, several uncontrollable variables, including the presence of free amino acid in the enzyme, contaminating...
absorbance in the tRNA sample, and quenching of the tritium signal during scintillation counting, potentially make this indirect assay less accurate. Indeed, when the tritium-counting efficiency is normalized such that it matches the extent of the reaction in the gel assay, the $k_{cat}$ and $K_M$ (tRNA) determined by each assay are in excellent agreement (Table 1).

The incomplete aminoacylation of the nicked $^{32P}$-labeled tRNA substrate observed in Figure 2B is either due to an inactive population of nicked tRNA molecules or to spontaneous deacylation of the aminoacyl tRNA in the reaction mixture, such that the final extent reflects a steady-state level (Bonnet & Ebel, 1972). It appears that the latter explanation is primarily correct because, when the forward rate of aminoacylation is increased by raising the enzyme concentration from 50 nM to 500 nM, the extent of aminoacylation increases from 45% seen in Figure 2B to 90% (data not shown). These observed extents of reaction are also consistent with the calculated rate of spontaneous deacylation of $0.1 \text{ min}^{-1}$ for Ala-tRNA$^{\text{Ala}}$ reported by Ott et al. (1990).

**TABLE 1.** Kinetic constants of YFA2 aminoacylation.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$[^3H]$-Ala incorporation</th>
<th>Acid gel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 $\mu$M Ala</td>
<td>10 $\mu$M Ala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_M$ ($\mu$M)</td>
<td>$17.4 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$23.3 \pm 1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cat}$ ($\text{min}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$0.73 \pm 0.18^b$</td>
<td>$1.13 \pm 0.26$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Values for aminoacylation at 10 $\mu$M Ala are obtained from the double-label experiments. Each value is an average of at least three independent experiments.

$^b$Calculated using the extent of aminoacylation determined from the acid gel.
If the small amount (less than 10%) of unannealed 19-mer is taken into account, more than 95% of the nicked tRNA substrate is active.

One of the major advantages of the gel assay is that aminoacylation kinetics can be determined under saturating concentrations of amino acid. Because the amino acid and the 3′ end of the tRNA occupy the same region of the synthetase, it is likely that their binding is coupled. For example, synthetases specific to Glu, Gin, and Arg do not activate the amino acid unless the tRNA is present (Arnez & Moras, 1997; Cusack, 1997). In addition, mutations at many locations in E. coli tRNA increased the $K_M$ for glutamine with the cognate synthetase (Ibba et al., 1996; Ibba & Söll, 1996). By performing the gel assay at saturating and subsaturating amino acid concentrations, the importance of this coupling can be evaluated. To this end, aminoacylation kinetics were measured at 1 mM alanine, which is well above the $K_M$ for Ala of 240 μM determined for the first step of the reaction (Hill & Schimmel, 1989). As shown in Figure 2C, the higher amino acid concentrations increased the initial reaction rate and, as expected, the steady-state extent of aminoacylation. The use of saturating concentrations of amino acid results in an increase in $k_{cat}$ from 1.1 min$^{-1}$ to 3.8 min$^{-1}$, without significant changes in $K_M$ (tRNA) (Table 1). More detailed kinetic analysis will be required to determine how the observed changes relate to the reaction mechanism.

The new assay requires one gel lane to separate substrate from product for each time point, which is more time consuming and somewhat more labor intensive than the traditional assay, where separation of substrate from product is done for all time points simultaneously by using a bulk washing protocol (Eigner & Loftfield, 1974). However, the new assay uses simultaneous counting of the [32P]-labeled products by a phosphorimager that is much more rapid than the sequential counting of tritium on individual filters by liquid scintillation counting. As a result, when many reaction rates must be measured, the total amount of time and effort required by the two assays is similar. In many respects, this synthetase gel assay resembles the gel-based assay for restriction enzymes and ribozymes where extensive kinetic analyses have been performed (Lesser et al., 1990; Hertel et al., 1994).

The assay presented here is more accurate than the traditional method because several assumptions and potential sources of experimental error are bypassed by directly measuring both unaminoacylated and aminoacyl tRNA. In the traditional assay, the calculation of the fraction of aminoacyl tRNA requires an accurate knowledge of the specific activity and counting efficiency of the [3H] amino acid, as well as taking reproducible aliquots of the reaction mixture. Neither of these steps enters into the determination of the fraction of aminoacyl tRNA in the gel assay, where it is simply determined as a ratio of the radioactivity in the two bands. In addition, the gel assay permits a determination of the fraction of active tRNA as detailed earlier.

The difference in gel mobility between aminoacylated and unaminoacylated tRNAs is primarily due to the extra positive charge on the amino acid, suggesting that the acid gel assay will be suitable for all tRNA synthetase pairs. Indeed, significant gel shifts have been reported for many different intact aminoacyl-tRNAs (Varshney et al., 1991). Although we used a nicked tRNA substrate to improve resolution, it seems possible that the gel system might be improved sufficiently such that intact tRNAs can be used. Even if this is not possible, it is likely that a fully active nicked tRNA substrate can be found for any synthetase by varying the position of the nick. Many sites in yeast tRNA can tolerate a nick without altering its global folding (Pan et al., 1991), and a useful strategy has been published for finding sites in a tRNA that can be nicked without affecting aminoacylation (Aphasizhev et al., 1993). Although unmodified nicked tRNA was used in these experiments, a nick in the specific position of a modified tRNA may be prepared using deoxynucleotide-directed RNase H cleavage (Hayase et al., 1990; Lapham et al., 1997). Additionally, whereas nonradioactive versions of all amino acids are readily available, certain radio-labeled amino acids are either unavailable or are not stable over long periods. The generality of the gel assay can therefore be considered another advantage over the traditional assay.

Finally, one of the greatest advantages of the gel assay is its much higher sensitivity. By using a [32P]-labeled RNA substrate instead of an [3H]-labeled amino acid, the gel assay can potentially detect as little as 0.01 fmol of tRNA, making it at least 1,000-fold more sensitive than the traditional assay. A major consequence is that this assay can be used in pre-steady-state kinetics where the enzyme is in excess of the tRNA. This permits the kinetic dissection of the tRNA binding step from the aminoacyl tRNA release step in the kinetic reaction mechanism. In preliminary experiments, we have observed aminoacylation of 40 fmol nicked tRNA substrate by 8 pmol AlaRS, suggesting that such pre-steady-state measurements are feasible. The ability to accurately measure both the first and second steps of the aminoacylation reaction should permit the efficient determination of all the kinetic rate constants of the aminoacylation reaction by redundant steady-state and pre-steady-state methods. This information will provide a framework for the subsequent analysis of mutant proteins and tRNAs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Histidine-tagged *E. coli* AlaRS was purified from BL21-DE3 cells containing plasmid pQE-875. Cells grown to 0.6 OD$_{600}$ were lysed by sonication in buffer A (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, containing 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mer-
captoethanol, and 10% glycerol). Protein was purified by chromatography on a HiTrap column (Pharmacia Biotech) using the standard protocol. Fractions containing AlaRS were diluted 10-fold with buffer A and applied to a Q-Septarose column. Protein was eluted using a linear gradient of NaCl in buffer A. Fractions containing AlaRS were pooled, concentrated, and stored at −20°C in 50% glycerol.

The 5’ fragment of YFA2 tRNA was obtained by in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase (Samson & Uhlenbeck, 1988) from a YFA2 plasmid digested with the methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme TaqI (New England Biolabs). Plasmid was obtained from the dam- E. coli strain GM-2163. The 3’ fragment of YFA2 was synthesized chemically using standard procedures and phosphoramidites from Glen Research. Both fragments were purified by electrophoresis on denaturing polyacrylamide gels. For the acid gel experiments, the 3’ fragment was [32P]-labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase and gel-purified. The fragments were annealed by heating equimolar amounts of 5’ and 3’ fragments at 65°C for 2 min in H2O, followed by addition of MgCl2 to a concentration of 15 mM and subsequent slow cooling to room temperature. Stoichiometry of the annealing was verified by nondenaturing gel electrophoresis, performed at room temperature on 10% acrylamide gels containing 30 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.5, and 15 mM MgCl2.

Aminoacylation was performed in 50 mM PIPES, pH 7.0, containing 30 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, and 2 mM ATP at 37°C. The alanine concentration was either 10 μM or 1 mM and the AlaRS concentration was usually between 50 and 100 nM. For kinetic experiments, the tRNA concentration was varied between 0.6 and 20 μM. Specific activity of tRNA used in the aminoacylation experiments was in the range of 100–2000 mCi/mmol. Samples for an acid gel electrophoresis were quenched in an equal volume of freshly prepared gel loading buffer (100 mM Na-acetate, pH 5.0, 50 mM EDTA, 8 M urea) and stored on ice.

Gel electrophoresis was performed on 10% polyacrylamide sequencing gels (0.04 × 40 × 20 cm), containing 8 M urea and 100 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.0. Gels were prepared by mixing separate stocks of 8 M urea, 20% acrylamide in 8 M urea, and 3 M Na-acetate, pH 5.0. The pH of the mixture was always verified. Samples were applied at room temperature and gels were run for about 20 min at 200 V to allow the samples to penetrate the gel. Thereafter, gels were run at 4°C for 12–16 h at 350–400 V until the Bromphenol Blue reached the bottom of the gel. After electrophoresis, gels were dried and quantified using a phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics). Stability of the aminocyl bond during electrophoresis was verified by incubation of [3H]-labeled aminoacylated tRNA in the electrophoresis buffer for a period of more than 24 h.
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