REPORT

Sulfonated inhibitors of the RNA editing ligases validate the essential role of the MRP1/2 proteins in kinetoplastid RNA editing

VAIBHAV MEHTA,^{1,2} HOUTAN MOSHIRI,^{1,2} AKSHAYA SRIKANTH,² SMRITI KALA,² JULIUS LUKEŠ,³ and REZA SALAVATI^{1,2}

¹Department of Biochemistry, McGill University, Montreal, H3G1Y6 Quebec, Canada

²Institute of Parasitology, McGill University, Ste. Anne de Bellevue, H9X 3V9 Quebec, Canada

³Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre and Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, 37005 České Budějovice (Budweis), Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

The RNA editing core complex (RECC) catalyzes mitochondrial U-insertion/deletion mRNA editing in trypanosomatid flagellates. Some naphthalene-based sulfonated compounds, such as C35 and MrB, competitively inhibit the auto-adenylation activity of an essential RECC enzyme, kinetoplastid RNA editing ligase 1 (KREL1), required for the final step in editing. Previous studies revealed the ability of these compounds to interfere with the interaction between the editosome and its RNA substrates, consequently affecting all catalytic activities that comprise RNA editing. This observation implicates a critical function for the affected RNA binding proteins in RNA editing. In this study, using the inhibitory compounds, we analyzed the composition and editing activities of functional editosomes and identified the mitochondrial RNA binding proteins 1 and 2 (MRP1/2) as their preferred targets. While the MRP1/2 heterotetramer complex is known to bind guide RNA and promote annealing to its cognate pre-edited mRNA, its role in RNA editing remained enigmatic. We show that the compounds affect the association between the RECC and MRP1/2 heterotetramer. Furthermore, RECC purified post-treatment with these compounds exhibit compromised *in vitro* RNA editing activity that, remarkably, recovers upon the addition of recombinant MRP1/2 proteins. This work provides experimental evidence that the MRP1/2 heterotetramer is required for *in vitro* RNA editing activity and substantiates the hypothesized role of these proteins in presenting the RNA duplex to the catalytic complex in the initial steps of RNA editing.

Keywords: trypanosome; RNA editing; MRP1/2; inhibitor; RNA-binding protein; RNA editing initiation

INTRODUCTION

Most mitochondrial transcripts in kinetoplastids undergo a unique post-transcriptional maturation process known as RNA editing, which is essential for parasite energy generation and viability of both insect procyclic form (PF) and mammalian bloodstream form (BF), and is thus considered a suitable drug target (Schnauffer et al. 2001; Salavati et al. 2012). A multiprotein RNA editing core complex (RECC; a.k.a. the ~20S editosome) mediates the RNA editing process by coordinated catalytic activities, including endonuclease cleavage, uridylylation (U) insertion, U deletion, and RNA ligation, as specified by complementary guide RNA molecules (gRNAs) (Blum et al. 1990; Sturm and Simpson

1990; Simpson et al. 2000, 2003; Osato et al. 2009; Aphasizhev and Aphasizheva 2011).

Several naphthalene-based sulfonated compounds, such as C35 and MrB (also referred to as V2 and V4, respectively [Durrant et al. 2010]), competitively inhibit the auto-adenylation and, consequently, the ligation activities of the recombinant kinetoplastid RNA editing ligase 1 (rKREL1) protein from *T. brucei*; the enzyme responsible for the last step in RNA editing (Amaro et al. 2008; Durrant et al. 2010; Moshiri et al. 2011). C35 also inhibits the auto-adenylation activity of a related RNA editing ligase, KREL2 (Moshiri et al. 2011), as both ligases have considerable sequence conservation (Worthey et al. 2003). The catalytic mechanism of the RNA editing ligases is identical to ATP-dependent DNA ligases found in eukaryotes,

Corresponding author: reza.salavati@mcgill.ca

Article is online at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.075598.120>. Freely available online through the RNA Open Access option.

© 2020 Mehta et al. This article, published in *RNA*, is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

viruses, and eubacteria (Doherty and Suh 2000). Catalysis follows a three-step process, with (a) activation of the ligase in the first step by the formation of a covalent AMP—ligase intermediate (auto-adenylation), releasing pyrophosphate. Next, (b) the ligase transfers the AMP to the 5' phosphate of a nicked RNA, and (c) terminates ligation by forming a phosphodiester bond between the 3' hydroxyl and the 5' adenylated phosphate, releasing the AMP (Doherty and Suh 2000). KREL1 and KREL2 are proposed to ligate the edited sites post U-deletion and U-insertion editing, respectively (Huang et al. 2001; Schnauffer et al. 2003). However, knockout studies showed that only KREL1 is essential for *in vivo* editing and survival of the parasites (Schnauffer et al. 2001; Drozd et al. 2002; Gao and Simpson 2003). In the context of purified editing extracts, these compounds inhibit the *in vitro* RNA-binding capacity of the editosomes, consequentially affecting all *in vitro* RNA editing activities, suggesting an alternative mode of inhibition (Moshiri et al. 2011, 2015). Moreover, ligase auto-adenylation enhancement is an unexpected effect of these compounds that is a result of inaccessible endogenous “ligatable” RNA molecules to which these ligases normally deadenylate to (Moshiri et al. 2011). However, the exact mechanism of action of these compounds in the context of editosomes remained to be determined.

The RECC works with other multiprotein complexes including the RNA Editing Substrate binding Complex (RESC; a.k.a. the mitochondrial RNA binding 1 [MRB1] complex), constituting the RNA editing holoenzyme (Aphasizheva et al. 2014; Read et al. 2016). The RESC consists of the gRNA binding complex (GRBC) and the RNA editing mediator complex (REMC). The GRBC is implicated in gRNA stability and initiation of RNA editing (Weng et al. 2008; Hashimi et al. 2009; Ammerman et al. 2011, 2013), while REMC is critical for 3' to 5' progression (Fisk et al. 2008; Ammerman et al. 2010).

Formerly known as the gRNA binding proteins gBP21 and gBP25, the mitochondrial RNA binding proteins 1 and 2 (MRP1/2) form a ~100 kDa stable heterotetrameric complex (Blom et al. 2001; Aphasizhev et al. 2003; Schumacher et al. 2006; Zikova et al. 2008) that associates with the RECC and the RESC in a RNA-dependent manner at low salt concentrations (Allen et al. 1998; Aphasizhev et al. 2003; Weng et al. 2008; Osato et al. 2009). *In vitro* biochemical analyses of recombinant MRP1/2 and their sensitivity to increasing salt concentrations support the electrostatic nature of their interaction with gRNA (Koller et al. 1997; Schumacher et al. 2006). In addition to its gRNA binding activity, this complex also promotes gRNA melting of stem loop I and gRNA : pre-mRNA annealing (Muller et al. 2001; Muller and Goring 2002; Aphasizhev et al. 2003; Schumacher et al. 2006). RNA interference analysis of MRP2, either alone or together with MRP1, results in cell growth defects and decreased abundance of edited mRNAs (such as CyB and RPS12) and, in-

triguingly, never edited mRNAs (Vondruskova et al. 2005; Fisk et al. 2009), implying that MRP1/2 function may extend beyond mitochondrial transcripts requiring RNA editing. Knockdown studies revealed an effect on the assembly and functionality of the respiratory complexes with a significant decrease in the mitochondrial membrane potential, implying repression of editing *in vivo* (Zikova et al. 2006). Moreover, immunodepletion of MRP1 from mitochondrial fractions active in RNA editing leads to suppression of *in vitro* editing (Lambert et al. 1999). Recent *in vivo* cross-linking analysis reports MRP1/2's role in the editing of minimally edited mRNAs, resulting from a correlation between the binding patterns of MRP1 and the RESC component, MRB8170, across minimally edited mRNAs (Dixit and Lukeš 2018). While the general perception on the role of this heterotetramer remains in RNA matchmaking and annealing, its exact role and function in RNA editing is unclear.

Here, we identify the MRP1/2 proteins as targets of C35 and with its help we show that MRP1/2 inhibition abrogates *in vitro* RNA editing activity, implicating the MRP1/2 accessory complex in RNA editing initiation as proposed earlier.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

C35 inhibits the RNA binding activity of MRP1/2 proteins

As shown previously, C35 targets and inhibits the formation of the ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes on an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA, G1–G4) (Fig. 1A; Moshiri et al. 2011). We analyzed the content of the most affected RNP (G1) using mass spectroscopy and observed that the MRP1/2 proteins predominantly populate it. This is in accordance with previous observations where incubation with antibodies specific to MRP1 resulted in a supershift of the G1 RNP complex on an EMSA (Allen et al. 1998). While this observation indicated that these proteins were the likely targets for C35 in the G1 RNP, we cannot rule out the possibility of other RNA binding proteins also being targeted, namely TbRBP38, TbRGG1, and TbRGG2 that are present at much lower abundance. Figure 1B summarizes the results obtained from this mass spectrometric study. To validate MRP1/2 as bona fide targets of C35, the compound was covalently conjugated to agarose beads via a N-hydroxysuccinimide linker that binds to the primary amine group on the compound. These beads were used as bait to precipitate the potential target proteins from the *T. brucei* mitochondrial lysate in the presence or absence of RNase A, since RECC interaction with MRP1/2 heterotetramer is RNase sensitive (Aphasizhev et al. 2003; Osato et al. 2009). Western blotting with antibodies of MRP2 and four RECC proteins (KREPA1, KREPA2, KREL1, and KREPA3) indicated that MRP2 potentially binds directly

FIGURE 1. MRP1/2 are found in the G1 RNP and bind directly to C35. (A) C35-treated and untreated editosome proteins (E) were incubated with 32 P-labeled gA6[14] RNA and resolved on 4% (w/v) TBE gel. Protein-bound RNA is indicated as G1–G4 RNP complexes. (B) The RNA-binding proteins identified in the G1 complex by tandem mass spectrometry. The corresponding protein identification numbers in *T. brucei* and the number of peptide hits are shown. (C) Covalent conjugation of C35 to the NHS-activated beads through its amine group. (D) Western blot analysis with antibodies against MRP2 (top panel) and KREPA1, KREPA2, KREL1, and KREPA3 (lower panel) analyzing mitochondrial lysate (input), unbound (flow-through), and bound proteins with and without RNase A treatment.

to C35, as that pull-down is unaffected in the presence of RNase A. In contrast, the RECC proteins (especially KREL1) do not bind at all (Fig. 1D). A majority of the interactions between the MRP1/2 protein complex and their target RNA are electrostatic in nature, as these proteins are rich in arginine residues with a theoretical $pI > 9$ (Koller et al. 1997; Schumacher et al. 2006). On the other hand, C35, with its sulfonate groups, is highly electronegative (Durrant et al. 2010), suggesting its interaction with MRP1/2 to also be governed by electrostatic interactions. So, as C35 occupies the RNA-binding regions of the MRP1/2 proteins, they displace any bound RNA and, consequently, the RECC complexes, thereby affecting the association between the two complexes.

Using EMSAs, we next validated C35's effect on the gRNA binding activities of coexpressed recombinant MRP1/2 and KREPA4 (Fig. 2); KREPA4 is an integral RECC protein known to interact with gRNA and is used as a control protein (Salavati et al. 2006; Kala and Salavati 2010). Increasing concentrations of the purified recombinant proteins (0.1–1600 nM) were incubated with a fixed amount of labeled gA6[14] gRNA in the absence or presence of 10 μ M C35. As seen in Figure 2A, untreated MRP1/2 shows the characteristic pattern of the gRNA-MRP1/2 assembly (Zikova et al. 2008): formation of two different complexes corresponding to one or two gRNA molecules bound, which is decreased in the presence of C35, requiring a higher concentration of MRP1/2 to overcome

FIGURE 2. C35 affects the RNA binding activity of recombinant MRP1/2 proteins. Increasing concentrations of the respective proteins were incubated with ^{32}P -labeled gA6[14] gRNA in the absence and presence of C35 at 10 μM : (A) rMRP1/2 proteins and (B) rKREPA4. The positions of free gA6[14] and protein-bound gA6[14] as resolved on the native TBE gels are indicated.

the inhibition. On the other hand, the interaction between KREPA4 and gA6[14] is effectively unperturbed by C35 (Fig. 2B). These data demonstrate that C35 directly binds to and inhibits the RNA binding activity of MRP1/2.

Purified editosomes with lower MRP1/2 abundance exhibit increased susceptibility to C35 and MrB inhibition

To assess the effect of MRP1/2 inhibition in the context of *in vitro* RNA editing activities, functional editosomes with differing abundances of MRP1/2 were prepared using tandem affinity purification (TAP) of tagged KREL1. The TAP-tag fused to KREL1 contains two affinity tags, a protein A domain and a calmodulin-binding peptide, separated by a TEV protease cleavage site. This system allows for sequential purification of the tagged RECC through IgG agarose (TEV protease elution; “TEV eluate”) and calmodulin affinity resins (EGTA elution; “Calmodulin eluate”). The resulting eluates were analyzed against the KREPA1, KREPA2, KREPA3, and KREL1 antibodies on a western blot, and eluate volumes corresponding to equal intensities were used in further experiments (data not shown). Equal band intensities on this western blot correlated to 90 ng/ μL of total protein concentration in the TEV eluate versus 35 ng/ μL of total protein concentration in the Calmodulin eluate, as estimated through Bradford quantification.

Ligase auto-adenylation in the TEV eluate was only affected at a lower protein amount tested (90 ng) and enhanced at higher amounts (450 and 900 ng) (Fig. 3A), as observed previously (Moshiri et al. 2011). As this enhancement was earlier proposed to be a consequence of losing accessible (“ligatable”) endogenous RNA, inhibition of MRP1/2 RNA interaction by the compounds indicates

that these processes could be related. In other words, the compounds added at 10 μM were sufficient for inhibiting the KRELS in 90 ng of TEV eluate, but in larger quantities of TEV eluate, and therefore larger quantities of MRP1/2, the compounds were likely absorbed by MRP1/2 displacing the bound endogenous RNA off the MRP1/2 and RECC complexes and enhancing ligase auto-adenylation. On the other hand, the compounds inhibit ligase auto-adenylation in all quantities of the calmodulin eluate tested (Fig. 3A), as it contains approximately fivefold less MRP1/2 proteins than in the TEV eluate as estimated from band intensities on the western blot using ImageJ software (Fig. 3C). Consistently, the TEV eluate appeared more resistant to inhibition in the “precleaved” deletion assay, requiring U-deletion and ligation activities (Fig. 3B). These data indicate that the MRP1/2 complex preferentially binds to the compounds, limiting inhibition of the ligase in the TEV eluate.

C35 and MrB weaken the association between RECC and MRP1/2 and compromise *in vitro* editing

To determine if inhibition of the MRP1/2 complex by the compounds displaces the heterotetramer off the RECC, KREL1 TEV eluate was bound to the Calmodulin Affinity resin in the presence of C35, MrB (20 μM each), or RNase A (0.1 mg/mL) as a control. The EGTA elutions were performed after adequate washes to eliminate contaminating inhibitor or RNase A in the eluates. While western blots revealed a considerable loss in associated MRP2 in the eluates pretreated with the compounds and RNase A (Fig. 4A), these eluates also suffered from reduced *in vitro* editing activities in “full-round” and “precleaved” assays (Fig. 4B,C). This observation is concurrent with a previous study that shows suppression of *in vitro* editing

FIGURE 3. C35 and MrB inhibition of ligase auto-adenylation and in vitro “precleaved” deletion in KREL1–TEV and Calmodulin eluates are correlated with MRP1/2 abundance. (A) KREL1 and KREL2 auto-adenylation activities with various amounts of the KREL1–TEV and calmodulin eluates in the absence or presence of C35 and MrB (at 10 μ M). Endogenous KREL1, KREL2, and KREL1-calmodulin fusion (KREL1-CBP) proteins are indicated. (B) In vitro “precleaved” deletion activities of both eluates in increasing amounts of C35 and MrB. (C) Western blot analysis showing the amount of MRP2 proteins in the two protein preparations. The eluates were precipitated with methanol for the purpose of concentrating them, prior to loading on the gel.

activity upon immunodepletion of MRP1 (Lambert et al. 1999). Remarkably, their activities are recovered upon the addition of the recombinant (r) MRP1/2 proteins at $\sim$ 500 nM (Fig. 4B,C). The required rMRP1/2 concentration was determined through 10-fold serial dilutions in the “full-round” FRET-based editing assay, tested with C35 and MrB pretreated calmodulin eluates (Supplemental Fig. S1 in Supplemental Information).

These data provide compelling experimental evidence that validates the presumed role of MRP1/2 heterotetramer in trypanosomatid RNA editing initiation. The MRP1/2 complex acts potentially through its gRNA/pre-mRNA annealing activity to present the RNA duplex in a form that is accessible to the RECC, which can be inhibited by the sulfonated compounds C35 and MrB, as modeled in Figure 5 (Muller et al. 2001; Muller and Goringer 2002; Aphasizhev et al. 2003; Schumacher et al. 2006; Ammerman et al. 2008; Zikova et al. 2008). This stimulatory effect of MRP1/2 on in vitro editing is concurrent with the effect of another RNA-binding protein with annealing ac-

tivity, RBP16 (Miller et al. 2006), suggesting that the RECC requires accessory annealing factors for editing. While these observations are based on experiments conducted in vitro, these accessory factors may behave similarly in vivo and will require additional experiments to substantiate this conclusion. The other major finding of this study is the inhibition susceptibility of in vitro RNA editing by negatively charged compounds, as these compounds electrostatically compete away the RNA bound to the MRP1/2 proteins. We believe this mode of action extends to the RNA editing inhibitors previously found in the pilot scale screen conducted using the library of pharmacologically active compounds (LOPAC¹²⁸⁰, Sigma), namely aurintricarboxylic acid (ATA), PPNDs and NF449, that not only affect RNA–protein interaction but also all catalytic activities required in editing in vitro (Moshiri et al. 2015). Preliminary analysis against suramin indicates a similar effect (data not shown), as it contains sulfonated naphthalene groups similar to C35 and MrB, and inhibits rKREL1 auto-adenylation (Zimmermann et al. 2016).

FIGURE 4. C35 and MrB disengage MRP1/2 association with RECC and suppress in vitro RNA editing activity. KREL1 Calmodulin eluates were prepared after treatment with C35, MrB, and RNase A while binding to the Calmodulin affinity resin. (A) Western blot analysis against KREPA1, KREPA2, KREL1, and KREPA3 antibodies in the *top* panel and against MRP2 antibody in the *bottom* panel. Each lane contains ~900 ng of the respective eluate. (B) “Full-round” and (C) “precleaved” RNA editing activities of these eluates in absence and presence of rMRP1/2 (500 nM).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of RNA and radiolabeling

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays were performed with synthetic gA6[14] gRNA that specifies editing of the first editing site of the ATPase subunit 6 (A6) pre-mRNA. Preparation of gA6[14] gRNA was carried out via in vitro transcription of PCR amplified DNA template as described previously (Seiwert and Stuart 1994), and radiolabeled at the 3'-terminus by incubating 50 pmol gA6[14] gRNA with 50 μ Ci of P α P [5'- 32 P] (3000 Ci/mmol, 10 mCi/mL) at 4°C overnight, in a total reaction volume of 20 μ L, containing 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT, 15% glycerol, 10% DMSO, and 10 units of T4 RNA ligase 1 (New England Biolabs). RNA substrates required for the in vitro precleaved deletion editing assay (U5-5'CL, U5-3'CLpp, and gA6[14]PC-del) were prepared as described previously (Igo et al. 2002). The 5' fragment was radiolabeled at its

5' terminus by incubating 50 pmol of the RNA with 50 μ Ci of ATP [γ - 32 P] (3000 Ci/mmol, 10 mCi/mL) at 37°C for 1 h, in a 10 μ L reaction, containing 70 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.6], 10 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM DTT, and 10 units of T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs). The radiolabeled RNA was PAGE-purified on a 9% (w/v) 7 M urea denaturing gel and quantified by scintillation counting. RNA substrates required in the in vitro FRET-based editing assay (pre-A6Rbz, gA6Rbz, gA6Rbz-comp, and FRET substrate) were prepared as described previously (Moshiri and Salavati 2010; Moshiri et al. 2014).

Preparation of active proteins for functional studies

The crude mitochondrial extract was prepared through hypotonic lysis of the procyclic stage *T. brucei* (strain IsTaR 1.7A) cells grown in SDM-79 media to late log phase ($\sim 2 \times 10^7$ cells/mL). Following glycerol gradient sedimentation (10%–30%) of the crude

(0.175 µg of total protein) with the RNA mixture, in a 20 µL solution containing 25 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM CaCl₂, 10 µM ATP, 0.1% Triton X-100 (w/v), 16.7 ng/µL *Torula* yeast RNA, 15 units of RNasin plus ribonuclease inhibitor, and 0.5 mM DTT. rMRP1/2 was added in the reactions as indicated. The editing reactions were allowed to proceed for 3 h at 28°C and stopped by the addition of 2 µL of 260 mM EDTA-2.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate mixture. RNA was then extracted using phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), precipitated and resuspended in 10 M urea dye before running onto 15% (w/v) 7 M urea denaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized by phosphorimaging. Full-round FRET-based RNA editing assay was performed identically as described previously (Moshiri and Salavati 2010; Moshiri et al. 2014). rMRP1/2 was added in the reactions as indicated.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Eva Černotíková-Stříbrná for the purification of recombinant MRP1/2. This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (grant number: 119464) to R.S., the Training Initiative in Chemical Biology to V.M., and the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (fund number: 204/09/1667) and the Praemium Academiae award to J.L.

Received March 29, 2020; accepted April 6, 2020.

REFERENCES

- Allen TE, Heidmann S, Reed R, Myler PJ, Goring HU, Stuart KD. 1998. Association of guide RNA binding protein gBP21 with active RNA editing complexes in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Cell Biol* **18**: 6014–6022. doi:10.1128/MCB.18.10.6014
- Amaro RE, Schnauffer A, Interthal H, Hol W, Stuart KD, McCammon JA. 2008. Discovery of drug-like inhibitors of an essential RNA-editing ligase in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 17278–17283. doi:10.1073/pnas.0805820105
- Ammerman ML, Fisk JC, Read LK. 2008. gRNA/pre-mRNA annealing and RNA chaperone activities of RBP16. *RNA* **14**: 1069–1080. doi:10.1261/ma.982908
- Ammerman ML, Presnyak V, Fisk JC, Foda BM, Read LK. 2010. TbRGG2 facilitates kinetoplastid RNA editing initiation and progression past intrinsic pause sites. *RNA* **16**: 2239–2251. doi:10.1261/ma.2285510
- Ammerman ML, Hashimi H, Novotna L, Cicova Z, McEvoy SM, Lukes J, Read LK. 2011. MRB3010 is a core component of the MRB1 complex that facilitates an early step of the kinetoplastid RNA editing process. *RNA* **17**: 865–877. doi:10.1261/ma.2446311
- Ammerman ML, Tomasello DL, Faktorova D, Kafkova L, Hashimi H, Lukes J, Read LK. 2013. A core MRB1 complex component is indispensable for RNA editing in insect and human infective stages of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e78015. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078015
- Aphasizhev R, Aphasizheva I. 2011. Uridine insertion/deletion editing in trypanosomes: a playground for RNA-guided information transfer. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **2**: 669–685. doi:10.1002/wrna.82
- Aphasizhev R, Aphasizheva I, Nelson RE, Simpson L. 2003. A 100-kD complex of two RNA-binding proteins from mitochondria of *Leishmania tarentolae* catalyzes RNA annealing and interacts with several RNA editing components. *RNA* **9**: 62–76. doi:10.1261/ma.2134303
- Aphasizheva I, Zhang L, Wang X, Kaake RM, Huang L, Monti S, Aphasizhev R. 2014. RNA binding and core complexes constitute the U-insertion/deletion editosome. *Mol Cell Biol* **34**: 4329–4342. doi:10.1128/MCB.01075-14
- Blom D, Burg J, Breek CK, Speijer D, Muijsers AO, Benne R. 2001. Cloning and characterization of two guide RNA-binding proteins from mitochondria of *Crithidia fasciculata*: gBP27, a novel protein, and gBP29, the orthologue of *Trypanosoma brucei* gBP21. *Nucleic Acids Res* **29**: 2950–2962. doi:10.1093/nar/29.14.2950
- Blum B, Bakalara N, Simpson L. 1990. A model for RNA editing in kinetoplastid mitochondria: “guide” RNA molecules transcribed from maxicircle DNA provide the edited information. *Cell* **60**: 189–198. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90735-W
- Dixit S, Lukeš J. 2018. Combinatorial interplay of RNA-binding proteins tunes levels of mitochondrial mRNA in trypanosomes. *RNA* **24**: 1594–1606. doi:10.1261/rna.066233.118
- Doherty AJ, Suh SW. 2000. Structural and mechanistic conservation in DNA ligases. *Nucleic Acids Res* **28**: 4051–4058. doi:10.1093/nar/28.21.4051
- Drozd M, Palazzo SS, Salavati R, O’Rear J, Clayton C, Stuart K. 2002. TbMP81 is required for RNA editing in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *EMBO J* **21**: 1791–1799. doi:10.1093/emboj/21.7.1791
- Durrant JD, Hall L, Swift RV, Landon M, Schnauffer A, Amaro RE. 2010. Novel naphthalene-based inhibitors of *Trypanosoma brucei* RNA editing ligase 1. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **4**: e803. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000803
- Fisk JC, Ammerman ML, Presnyak V, Read LK. 2008. TbRGG2, an essential RNA editing accessory factor in two *Trypanosoma brucei* life cycle stages. *J Biol Chem* **283**: 23016–23025. doi:10.1074/jbc.M801021200
- Fisk JC, Presnyak V, Ammerman ML, Read LK. 2009. Distinct and overlapping functions of MRP1/2 and RBP16 in mitochondrial RNA metabolism. *Mol Cell Biol* **29**: 5214–5225. doi:10.1128/MCB.00520-09
- Gao G, Simpson L. 2003. Is the *Trypanosoma brucei* REL1 RNA ligase specific for U-deletion RNA editing, and is the REL2 RNA ligase specific for U-insertion editing? *J Biol Chem* **278**: 27570–27574. doi:10.1074/jbc.M303317200
- Hashimi H, Cicova Z, Novotna L, Wen YZ, Lukes J. 2009. Kinetoplastid guide RNA biogenesis is dependent on subunits of the mitochondrial RNA binding complex 1 and mitochondrial RNA polymerase. *RNA* **15**: 588–599. doi:10.1261/ma.1411809
- Huang CE, Cruz-Reyes J, Zhelonkina AG, O’Hearn S, Wirtz E, Sollner-Webb B. 2001. Roles for ligases in the RNA editing complex of *Trypanosoma brucei*: band IV is needed for U-deletion and RNA repair. *EMBO J* **20**: 4694–4703. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.17.4694
- Igo RP Jr, Weston DS, Ernst NL, Panigrahi AK, Salavati R, Stuart K. 2002. Role of uridylate-specific exoribonuclease activity in *Trypanosoma brucei* RNA editing. *Eukaryot Cell* **1**: 112–118. doi:10.1128/EC.1.1.112-118.2002
- Kala S, Salavati R. 2010. OB-fold domain of KREPA4 mediates high-affinity interaction with guide RNA and possesses annealing activity. *RNA* **16**: 1951–1967. doi:10.1261/ma.2124610
- Koller J, Muller UF, Schmid B, Missel A, Kruff V, Stuart K, Goring HU. 1997. *Trypanosoma brucei* gBP21. An arginine-rich mitochondrial protein that binds to guide RNA with high affinity. *J Biol Chem* **272**: 3749–3757. doi:10.1074/jbc.272.6.3749
- Lambert L, Muller UF, Souza AE, Goring HU. 1999. The involvement of gRNA-binding protein gBP21 in RNA editing—an in vitro and in

- vivo analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **27**: 1429–1436. doi:10.1093/nar/27.6.1429
- Miller MM, Halbig K, Cruz-Reyes J, Read LK. 2006. RBP16 stimulates trypanosome RNA editing in vitro at an early step in the editing reaction. *RNA* **12**: 1292–1303. doi:10.1261/rna.2331506
- Moshiri H, Salavati R. 2010. A fluorescence-based reporter substrate for monitoring RNA editing in trypanosomatid pathogens. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**: e138. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq333
- Moshiri H, Acoca S, Kala S, Najafabadi HS, Hogues H, Purisima E, Salavati R. 2011. Naphthalene-based RNA editing inhibitor blocks RNA editing activities and editosome assembly in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 14178–14189. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.199646
- Moshiri H, Mehta V, Salavati R. 2014. RNA catalyst as a reporter for screening drugs against RNA editing in trypanosomes. *J Vis Exp* **2014**: 51712. doi:10.3791/51712
- Moshiri H, Mehta V, Yip CW, Salavati R. 2015. Pilot-scale compound screening against RNA editing identifies trypanocidal agents. *J Biomol Screen* **20**: 92–100. doi:10.1177/1087057114548833
- Muller UF, Goring HU. 2002. Mechanism of the gBP21-mediated RNA/RNA annealing reaction: matchmaking and charge reduction. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**: 447–455. doi:10.1093/nar/30.2.447
- Muller UF, Lambert L, Goring HU. 2001. Annealing of RNA editing substrates facilitated by guide RNA-binding protein gBP21. *EMBO J* **20**: 1394–1404. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.6.1394
- Osato D, Rogers K, Guo Q, Li F, Richmond G, Klug F, Simpson L. 2009. Uridine insertion/deletion RNA editing in trypanosomatid mitochondria: in search of the editosome. *RNA* **15**: 1338–1344. doi:10.1261/rna.1642809
- Panigrahi AK, Schnauffer A, Ernst NL, Wang B, Carmean N, Salavati R, Stuart K. 2003. Identification of novel components of *Trypanosoma brucei* editosomes. *RNA* **9**: 484–492. doi:10.1261/rna.2194603
- Read LK, Lukeš J, Hashimi H. 2016. Trypanosome RNA editing: the complexity of getting U in and taking U out. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **7**: 33–51. doi:10.1002/wrna.1313
- Salavati R, Ernst NL, O'Rear J, Gilliam T, Tarun S Jr, Stuart K. 2006. KREPA4, an RNA binding protein essential for editosome integrity and survival of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *RNA* **12**: 819–831. doi:10.1261/rna.2244106
- Salavati R, Moshiri H, Kala S, Najafabadi HS. 2012. Inhibitors of RNA editing as potential chemotherapeutics against trypanosomatid pathogens. *Int J Parasitol* **2**: 36–46. doi:10.1016/j.ijpddr.2011.10.003
- Schnauffer A, Panigrahi AK, Panicucci B, Igo RP Jr, Wirtz E, Salavati R, Stuart K. 2001. An RNA ligase essential for RNA editing and survival of the bloodstream form of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Science* **291**: 2159–2162. doi:10.1126/science.1058655
- Schnauffer A, Ernst NL, Palazzo SS, O'Rear J, Salavati R, Stuart K. 2003. Separate insertion and deletion subcomplexes of the *Trypanosoma brucei* RNA editing complex. *Mol Cell* **12**: 307–319. doi:10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00286-7
- Schumacher MA, Karamooz E, Zikova A, Trantirek L, Lukes J. 2006. Crystal structures of *T. brucei* MRP1/MRP2 guide-RNA binding complex reveal RNA matchmaking mechanism. *Cell* **126**: 701–711. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.047
- Seiwert SD, Stuart K. 1994. RNA editing: transfer of genetic information from gRNA to precursor mRNA in vitro. *Science* **266**: 114–117. doi:10.1126/science.7524149
- Simpson L, Thiemann OH, Savill NJ, Alfonzo JD, Maslov DA. 2000. Evolution of RNA editing in trypanosome mitochondria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **97**: 6986–6993. doi:10.1073/pnas.97.13.6986
- Simpson L, Sbicego S, Aphasizhev R. 2003. Uridine insertion/deletion RNA editing in trypanosome mitochondria: a complex business. *RNA* **9**: 265–276. doi:10.1261/rna.2178403
- Sturm NR, Simpson L. 1990. Kinetoplast DNA minicircles encode guide RNAs for editing of cytochrome oxidase subunit III mRNA. *Cell* **61**: 879–884. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90198-N
- Vondruskova E, van den Burg J, Zikova A, Ernst NL, Stuart K, Benne R, Lukes J. 2005. RNA interference analyses suggest a transcript-specific regulatory role for mitochondrial RNA-binding proteins MRP1 and MRP2 in RNA editing and other RNA processing in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J Biol Chem* **280**: 2429–2438. doi:10.1074/jbc.M405933200
- Weng J, Aphasizheva I, Etheridge RD, Huang L, Wang X, Falick AM, Aphasizhev R. 2008. Guide RNA-binding complex from mitochondria of trypanosomatids. *Mol Cell* **32**: 198–209. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.023
- Worthey EA, Schnauffer A, Mian IS, Stuart K, Salavati R. 2003. Comparative analysis of editosome proteins in trypanosomatids. *Nucleic Acids Res* **31**: 6392–6408. doi:10.1093/nar/gkg870
- Zikova A, Horakova E, Jirku M, Dunajcikova P, Lukes J. 2006. The effect of down-regulation of mitochondrial RNA-binding proteins MRP1 and MRP2 on respiratory complexes in procyclic *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **149**: 65–73. doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2006.04.007
- Zikova A, Kopecna J, Schumacher MA, Stuart K, Trantirek L, Lukes J. 2008. Structure and function of the native and recombinant mitochondrial MRP1/MRP2 complex from *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Int J Parasitol* **38**: 901–912. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.12.009
- Zimmermann S, Hall L, Riley S, Sorensen J, Amaro RE, Schnauffer A. 2016. A novel high-throughput activity assay for the *Trypanosoma brucei* editosome enzyme REL1 and other RNA ligases. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: e24. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv938

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

Sulfonated inhibitors of the RNA editing ligases validate the essential role of the MRP1/2 proteins in kinetoplastid RNA editing

Vaibhav Mehta, Houtan Moshiri, Akshaya Srikanth, et al.

RNA 2020 26: 827-835 originally published online April 10, 2020

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.075598.120](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.075598.120)

Supplemental Material

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2020/04/10/rna.075598.120.DC1>

References

This article cites 49 articles, 28 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/26/7/827.full.html#ref-list-1>

Open Access

Freely available online through the RNA Open Access option.

Creative Commons License

This article, published in *RNA*, is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>