HNRNPH1-dependent splicing of a fusion oncogene reveals a targetable RNA G-quadruplex interaction

CARLA NECKLES,¹ ROBERT E. BOER,^{2,5} NICHOLAS ABOREDEN,¹ ALLISON M. CROSS,¹ ROBERT L. WALKER,³ BONG-HYUN KIM,^{4,6} SUNTAE KIM,^{1,7} JOHN S. SCHNEEKLOTH JR.,² and NATASHA J. CAPLEN¹

¹Functional Genetics Section, Genetics Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA

²Chemical Biology Laboratory, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland 21702, USA

³Molecular Genetics Section, Genetics Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA

⁴CCR Collaborative Bioinformatics Resource, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc., Frederick, Maryland 21702, USA

ABSTRACT

The primary oncogenic event in ~85% of Ewing sarcomas is a chromosomal translocation that generates a fusion oncogene encoding an aberrant transcription factor. The exact genomic breakpoints within the translocated genes, *EWSR1* and *FLI1*, vary; however, in *EWSR1*, breakpoints typically occur within introns 7 or 8. We previously found that in Ewing sarcoma cells harboring *EWSR1* intron 8 breakpoints, the RNA-binding protein HNRNPH1 facilitates a splicing event that excludes *EWSR1* exon 8 from the *EWS-FLI1* pre-mRNA to generate an in-frame mRNA. Here, we show that the processing of distinct *EWS-FLI1* pre-mRNAs by HNRNPH1, but not other homologous family members, resembles alternative splicing of transcript variants of *EWSR1*. We demonstrate that HNRNPH1 recruitment is driven by guanine-rich sequences within *EWSR1* exon 8 that have the potential to fold into RNA G-quadruplex structures. Critically, we demonstrate that an RNA mimetic of one of these G-quadruplexes modulates HNRNPH1 binding and induces a decrease in the growth of an *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion-positive Ewing sarcoma cell line. Finally, we show that *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion-positive cell lines are more sensitive to treatment with the pan-quadruplex binding molecule, pyridostatin (PDS), than *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion-negative lines. Also, the treatment of *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion-positive cells with PDS decreases *EWS-FLI1* transcriptional activity, reversing the transcriptional deregulation driven by *EWS-FLI1*. Our findings illustrate that modulation of the alternative splicing of *EWS-FLI1* pre-mRNA is a novel strategy for future therapeutics against the *EWSR1* exon 8 containing fusion oncogenes present in a third of Ewing sarcoma.

Keywords: HNRNPH1; G-quadruplex; splicing; Ewing sarcoma; *EWS-FLI1*; *EWSR1*

INTRODUCTION

Members of the RNA-binding protein family heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) are linked to the development of distinct cancers and neurodegenerative diseases, making these proteins attractive unexploited therapeutic targets (Han et al. 2013; Geuens et al. 2016). However, because hnRNPs are involved in numerous path-

ways of nucleic acid metabolism, it is essential that any modulation of their function not disrupt transcriptome-wide RNA processing (Han et al. 2005). To this end, a more appropriate approach is to selectively target disease-specific hnRNP interactions, which may limit off-target inhibition of the global mRNA processing machinery. Compounds that block hnRNP interactions are currently underexplored but are not unprecedented. For example, the anti-tumor natural product camptothecin was found to inhibit hnRNP A1-topoisomerase 1 interactions (Manita et al. 2011), while a synthetic phenanthrene-based tylophorine derivative was determined to bind to hnRNP A2/B1 and reduce lung adenocarcinoma growth and metastasis (Chen et al. 2014). Thus, understanding the molecular

⁵**Present address:** Quantum-Si, Incorporated, Guilford, Connecticut 06437, USA

⁶**Present address:** Bioinformatics Division, Kite, a Gilead Company, Santa Monica, California 90404, USA

⁷**Present address:** Clinical Operations, KCRN Research, LLC, Germantown, Maryland 20874, USA

Corresponding author: ncaplen@mail.nih.gov

Article is online at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.072454.119>. Freely available online through the RNA Open Access option.

This is a work of the US Government.

mechanisms of hnRNPs cellular functions may help identify new therapeutic strategies to combat cancers and neurodegenerative diseases.

A subgroup of hnRNPs which includes HNRNPH1, HNRNPH2, and HNRNPF, regulate alternative splicing by binding to poly(G) tracts (Caputi and Zahler 2001; Black 2003; Han et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007). There is precedent that poly(G) tract sequences have the potential to fold into stable secondary structures known as RNA G-quadruplexes and sequester hnRNP H/F proteins (Decorsiere et al. 2011; Fisette et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2014; Conlon et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2017). The mechanism by which these proteins regulate splicing is multifaceted since the hnRNP H/F proteins can mediate both exon inclusion and exclusion (Katz et al. 2010). For instance, when HNRNPH1 binds intronic elements in transcripts, such as *BCLX* (Garneau et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007), *GRIN1* (Han et al. 2005), and *PLP1* (Wang et al. 2007), exon inclusion is enhanced. In contrast, when HNRNPH1 interacts with exonic elements in transcripts, such as α/β -tropomyosin (Chen et al. 1999; Expert-Bezançon et al. 2004; Crawford and Patton 2006) and human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (Jacquenot et al. 2001; Domsic et al. 2003), exon exclusion is enhanced. Furthermore, exon inclusion and exclusion for a given pre-mRNA may be independently regulated by HNRNPH1 as seen with different reported splice variants of *ERBB2* (*HER2*) in breast cancer (Gautrey et al. 2015).

Ewing sarcoma (EWS) is a cancer of soft tissues and bones that occurs most frequently in children and young adults (Hawkins et al. 2011). We recently determined that HNRNPH1 is required to alternatively splice *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion transcripts in cell lines representing a subgroup of EWS (Grohar et al. 2016). Briefly, the pathology in ~85% of EWS is caused by a translocation involving chromosomes 11 and 22, which results in a fusion between the 5' end of the *EWSR1* (Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1) and the 3' end of the *FLI1* (Friend leukemia virus integration site 1) genes (Delattre et al. 1992; May et al. 1993). The generated *EWS-FLI1* fusion oncogene encodes an aberrant transcription factor upon which EWS cells are dependent for proliferation and survival (May et al. 1993; Bailly et al. 1994). In about a third of EWS cases, the tumors that harbor *EWS-FLI1* fusions must exclude *EWSR1* exon 8 from the chimeric pre-mRNA to generate an in-frame transcript (Hawkins et al. 2011). Our previous study demonstrated that to facilitate the exclusion of *EWSR1* exon 8, HNRNPH1 binds to G-rich regions within the fusion pre-mRNA transcripts (Grohar et al. 2016). However, the molecular mechanism that promotes HNRNPH1 binding and processing of *EWS-FLI1*, as well as whether HNRNPH1 plays a role in regulating the splicing of *EWSR1* necessitates further elucidation.

Herein, we demonstrate using biochemical and cell-based studies that HNRNPH1 binds to a G-rich region at

the 3' end of *EWSR1* exon 8 that can fold into RNA G-quadruplexes. Our analysis suggests HNRNPH1 may regulate the expression of two rare *EWSR1* transcripts, facilitating the removal of all, or part, of *EWSR1* exon 8. In contrast, HNRNPH1-mediated splicing becomes dominant and pivotal for the processing of *EWS-FLI1* pre-mRNAs in EWS cells with *EWSR1* intron 8 breakpoints. We also show that a single-stranded RNA oligomer can mimic one of the G-rich HNRNPH1 binding sites in *EWSR1* exon 8 and, upon transfection, decrease *EWS-FLI1* mRNA and protein expression in an EWS cell line harboring an *EWSR1* intron 8 breakpoint. Furthermore, we can phenocopy these biological effects with a quadruplex-specific compound, pyridostatin (PDS), which blocks the interaction between HNRNPH1 and a G-rich region within *EWSR1* exon 8. Critically, PDS treatment also leads to the reversal of transcriptional deregulation driven by *EWS-FLI1*. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the targeting of a fusion pre-mRNA transcript using a tool compound that modulates alternative splicing and provides a foundation for the direct targeting of the fusion transcript expressed in approximately one-third of Ewing sarcomas.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The processing of distinct *EWS-FLI1* pre-mRNAs by HNRNPH1 resembles alternative splicing of transcript variants of *EWSR1*

HNRNPH1 mediates the exclusion of *EWSR1* exon 8 from *EWS-FLI1* pre-mRNAs in EWS cells that express fusion transcripts containing this exon (Grohar et al. 2016). However, removal of *EWSR1* exon 8 is atypical because the inclusion of exon 8 is crucial to express the dominant full-length, protein-coding transcripts of *EWSR1*. To better understand the possible functions of HNRNPH1 in the processing of *EWS-FLI1* and *EWSR1* pre-mRNAs, we performed silencing studies of *HNRNPH1* in four different EWS cells (TC32 and SKNMC—*EWSR1* intron 8 breakpoints, TC71 and RD-ES—*EWSR1* intron 7 breakpoints) and a non-EWS line, HEK-293T. As expected, silencing of *HNRNPH1* reduces *EWS-FLI1* mRNA levels in cell lines expressing *EWSR1* exon 8 containing fusion pre-mRNAs (TC32 and SKNMC; Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1A), but not in cell lines that harbor *EWSR1* intron 7 breakpoints (Supplemental Fig. S1A). qPCR analysis also showed reduced *EWSR1* mRNA levels upon depletion of HNRNPH1 in all cell lines tested (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1A). An examination of *HNRNPH2* and *HNRNPF* mRNA levels upon silencing of *HNRNPH1* showed reduced mRNA expression suggesting that HNRNPH1 may function in the regulation of the expression of these other family members. Critically, however, the silencing of *HNRNPH2* or *HNRNPF* directly had no significant effects on HNRNPH1 expression, nor *EWSR1* or *EWS-FLI1* mRNA levels (Fig.

FIGURE 1. HNRNPH1-mediated processing of *EWSR1* exon 8 containing pre-mRNAs. (A) qPCR assessment of *EWS-FLI1*, *EWSR1*, *HNRNPH1*, *HNRNPH2*, and *HNRNPF* mRNA expression in *HNRNPH1*, *HNRNPH2*, or *HNRNPF* silenced TC32 EWS cells (48 h). Data are normalized to the geomean of reference genes, *ACTB*, *RPL27*, and *NACA*, and expressed relative to siNeg-transfected cells (mean $\pm$ SEM, $n = 3$). (***) $P < 0.001$; (**) $P < 0.01$ compared to siNeg-transfected cells. For additional experimental details, refer to Supplemental Table S7. (B) Expression of selected *EWSR1* and *EWS-FLI1* exon-exon junctions from targeted RNA-seq data analyzed using splicing junction analysis for *HNRNPH1*-silenced HEK-293T cells (top graph) and TC32 EWS cells (bottom graph). Data are expressed relative to siNeg-transfected cells (48 h, mean $\pm$ SEM, $n = 3$). (C) Schematic representation of putative HNRNPH1-dependent regulation of *EWSR1* exon 8 containing pre-mRNAs.

pression of *EWSR1*, consistent with its role in the regulation of RNA processing and metabolism (Uren et al. 2016), we next analyzed the effects of silencing *HNRNPH1* on the expression of *EWSR1* in more detail.

There are over twenty reported transcript variants of *EWSR1* (Supplemental Table S6). To assess the putative function of *HNRNPH1* in the generation of one or more *EWSR1* transcript variants, we used an enriched RNA-seq approach. Briefly, we harvested RNA from control and *HNRNPH1*-silenced HEK-293T and TC32 EWS cells, generated cDNA libraries, and enriched for *EWSR1* sequences using a Chr. 22 fosmid clone containing the entire *EWSR1* locus. Alignment of paired-end reads to *EWSR1* transcripts (human assembly GRCh37), mapped RNA-seq reads to 20 out of the 21 reported splice variants (Supplemental Table S6; Supplemental Fig. S1C). To filter out the likelihood for *EWSR1* transcript artifacts versus lowly expressed *EWSR1* transcripts, we retained only those transcripts that represent at least 1% of the per-component (IsoPct) expression level. This analysis resulted in the detection of eight highly expressed and ten rare transcripts in both cell lines (Supplemental Fig. S1D,E), in which eight out of the 18 transcripts were protein-coding variants (Supplemental Fig. S1F). Consistently, depletion of *HNRNPH1* was associated with a loss of *EWS-FLI1* transcripts that skip only *EWSR1* exon 8 and a substantial increase in an out-of-frame transcript that retains exon 8 in TC32 EWS cells (Fig. 1B). Analysis of read counts across exon junctions revealed that depletion of *HNRNPH1* in HEK-293T and TC32 EWS cells was associated with loss of a rare transcript—*EWSR1*-201—that excludes both exon 8 and 9 (Fig. 1B). Also, silencing of *HNRNPH1* had a minor effect on another rare variant that uses an alternative donor site in *EWSR1* exon 8

1A). See Supplemental Figure S1B for immunoblot analysis of the siRNAs targeting the *HNRNPH1/F* genes. As our findings also indicate a function for *HNRNPH1* in the ex-

(150 nt) and an alternative acceptor site in exon 11 (*EWSR1*-007). According to RNA expression data deposited in GTEx, *EWSR1*-007 is more readily expressed in

skeletal muscle and different compartments of the brain where *HNRNPH1* levels are decreased compared to other tissues such as skin (Supplemental Figs. S2, S3). Together with the targeted RNA-seq results, we hypothesize that *HNRNPH1* interacts directly at the same binding site in *EWSR1* exon 8 to mediate alternative splicing of *EWSR1* and *EWS-FLI1* transcripts (Fig. 1C).

Identification and validation of the rG1 oligomer to investigate *HNRNPH1* binding specificity

We previously reported that *HNRNPH1* could bind oligonucleotides corresponding to G-rich sequences located at the 3' end of *EWSR1* exon 8 (Grohar et al. 2016). To determine the *HNRNPH1* recognition sequence required for binding, we used chemiluminescent electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) and a series of 3' biotinylated single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) oligomers corresponding to different regions within the 3' end of *EWSR1* exon 8 (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table S1). We observed mobility shifts for rG1, rG2a, and rG2b in the presence of purified *HNRNPH1*. Significantly, no mobility shifts were seen upon G to A nucleotide mutations (rG1^{mt1}, rG2a^{mt1}, and rG2b^{mt1}) (Fig. 2B). To confirm *HNRNPH1* binding, we performed an antibody-based RNA binding assay with these biotinylated oligomers and purified *HNRNPH1*, as illustrated in Figure 2C. We saw an enrichment of *HNRNPH1* binding in the order of rG1 > rG2b > rG2a (Fig. 2D). As expected, mutant RNAs (rG1^{mt1}, rG2a^{mt1}, and rG2b^{mt1}) exhibited significantly diminished enrichment of *HNRNPH1* binding. Notably, we observed little to no *HNRNPH1* binding of the linker sequence using either binding assay.

Next, we tested whether RNA mimetic of the three *EWSR1* G-rich regions (rG1, rG2b, and rG2a) that bind *HNRNPH1* could compete with the *EWS-FLI1* pre-mRNA for protein binding in cells. We first used a reporter assay of the transcriptional activity of *EWS-FLI1* (Grohar et al. 2011). This assay makes use of TC32 EWS cells that express a luciferase reporter protein via either a CMV promoter (TC32-CMV-luc) or a promoter of the *EWS-FLI1* regulated gene *NR0B1* (TC32-NR0B1-luc). We transfected each reporter line with increasing concentrations of the rG1, rG2a, rG2b, or rG1^{mt1} ssRNA oligomers used for the binding studies and compared their effects on the readout of *EWS-FLI1* transcriptional activity observed following transfection of siFLI1 or siHNRNPH1. As concentrations of rG1 increase, we observed a selective decrease in the TC32-NR0B1-luc reporter relative to the TC32-CMV-luc reporter, not seen for the rG2a, rG2b, or rG1^{mt1} oligomers (Fig. 2E). Importantly, transfection of the rG1 ssRNA oligomer at the higher concentrations (80 and 160 nM) recapitulated the effects of depleting *EWS-FLI1* or *HNRNPH1* by RNAi. Loss of *EWS-FLI1* transcriptional activity should alter the viability of EWS cells. We thus assessed the effect of the rG1, rG2a, rG2b, or rG1^{mt1} ssRNA oligomers on the viability

of TC32 and TC71 cells (Fig. 2F). Increasing concentrations of rG1 ssRNA oligomer altered the viability of TC32 cells with minimal decrease in TC71 cells, supporting a selective growth inhibition of EWS cells harboring *EWSR1* exon 8 fusions.

To confirm that the changes in transcriptional activity and cell viability mediated by rG1 result from disruption of the expression of *EWS-FLI1* in TC32 cells, we evaluated fusion transcript and protein levels 48 h posttransfection of the rG1 or rG1^{mt1} ssRNA oligomers. qPCR analysis of *EWS-FLI1* mRNA levels showed a significant reduction by ~50% at 80 and 160 nM rG1 compared to untreated control (Fig. 2G). Also, at rG1 concentrations ≥40 nM, immunoblot analysis revealed substantial depletion of *EWS-FLI1* protein levels (Fig. 2H). In contrast, transfections of the negative control oligomer, rG1^{mt1}, showed no significant effects on *EWS-FLI1* mRNA or protein.

To establish in cells that the rG1 ssRNA oligomer interacts with *HNRNPH1*, we transfected TC32 cells with varying concentrations of the biotinylated rG1 or control rG1^{mt1} RNA oligomers for 24 h. We then prepared cell lysates, UV crosslinked and used streptavidin beads to pull-down protein associated with the biotinylated rG1 or the rG1^{mt1} RNA oligomers. Western blot analysis using an anti-*HNRNPH1* antibody showed a concentration-dependent enrichment for *HNRNPH1* binding to the rG1 oligomer not observed for the mutant RNA (Fig. 3A). These results suggest that the mode of action for rG1 is through direct interaction with *HNRNPH1*. We further verified rG1 specificity for *HNRNPH1* using orthogonal in vitro assays. Using chemiluminescent EMSA, we observed that the intensity of the rG1:*HNRNPH1* shifted band diminished as we decreased the concentration of purified *HNRNPH1* (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, we performed antibody-based RNA binding assays at constant concentrations of purified *HNRNPH1* and biotinylated rG1 with varying concentrations of non-biotinylated rG1 as the RNA competitor. Nonbiotinylated rG1 was able to compete with labeled RNA with an IC₅₀ of 84 nM (Fig. 3C), confirming *HNRNPH1* binding specificity.

The *HNRNPH1* protein includes three RNA binding domains known as quasi-RNA recognition motifs (qRRMs), a glycine-tyrosine-arginine-rich (GYR) domain, and a carboxy-terminal glycine-rich (GY) domain (Fig. 3D). To quantify the binding affinity of *HNRNPH1* and its different domains for rG1, we performed SPR experiments with full-length protein and a series of recombinant proteins corresponding to different regions of *HNRNPH1* (Fig. 3D). Table 1 summarizes the rate and binding constants for complexes of the different *HNRNPH1* recombinant proteins with rG1 (sensorgrams are shown in Supplemental Fig. S4) compared to full-length *HNRNPH1*. The binding data for the full-length *HNRNPH1* and rG1 interaction were fitted to a Langmuir (1:1 binding) model, yielding an equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of 4.8 nM (Table 1;

FIGURE 2. Identification of the HNRNPH1 binding site, rG1, within the 3' end of *EWSR1* exon 8. (A) The sequence of the 3' end of *EWSR1* exon 8 (top) and the sequences of a series of 3'-biotinylated RNA oligomers corresponding to this exon (bottom). (B) Chemiluminescent EMSA gel for 3'-biotinylated *EWSR1* exon 8 RNA oligomers shown in (A) in the absence or presence of purified HNRNPH1 protein. Arrowhead indicates mobility shift for each labeled probe. (C) Schematic representation of the antibody-based RNA oligomer binding assay. (D) The fold-enrichment for HNRNPH1-bound RNA oligomers determined by comparison of chemiluminescent signals from pull-down performed using HNRNPH1 antibody and an IgG isotype control. Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM of three technical replicates. (***) $P < 0.001$. Recombinant, purified HNRNPH1 was used as the protein source. (E) Ratio of the TC32-NR0B1-luc and TC32-CMV-luc reporter signals 72 h posttransfection of the stated siRNA (20 nM) or synthetic RNA oligomer at the concentrations indicated. Data are normalized to control, siNeg (mean normalized, $\pm$ SEM, $n = 4$). (*) $P < 0.05$; (**) $P < 0.01$; (***) $P < 0.001$ compared to siNeg. (F) The relative viability of EWS cell lines TC32 (top panel) and TC71 (bottom panel) 72 h posttransfection of the stated siRNA (20 nM) or synthetic RNA oligomer at the concentrations indicated. Data are normalized to control, siNeg (mean normalized, $\pm$ SEM, $n = 4$). (*) $P < 0.05$; (**) $P < 0.01$; (***) $P < 0.001$ compared to siNeg. (G) qPCR assessment of *EWS-FLI1* mRNA expression in siRNA or synthetic RNA oligomer transfected-TC32 EWS cells (48 h). Data are normalized to the reference gene *NACA* and expressed relative to untreated cells (mean $\pm$ SEM, $n = 3$). (*) $P < 0.05$; (**) $P < 0.01$; (***) $P < 0.001$ compared to untreated cells. For additional experimental details, refer to Supplemental Table S7. (H) Immunoblot analysis of whole-cell lysates prepared from siRNA or synthetic RNA oligomer transfected TC32 EWS cells using antibodies against the proteins indicated (48 h). (UTR) Untreated cells.

FIGURE 3. Specificity of the HNRNPH1 and rG1 oligomer interaction. (A) TC32 cells were transfected with siRNA or synthetic ssRNA oligomer for 24 h and followed by streptavidin pull-down. Interaction between HNRNPH1 and rG1/rG1^{mt1} was confirmed by immunoblotting for HNRNPH1. (UTR) Untreated cells. (B) Chemiluminescent EMSA gel for 3'-biotinylated *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1 and rG1^{mt1} at varying concentrations of purified HNRNPH1. Wedges indicate decreasing amounts of purified HNRNPH1 (20, 10, 5, 2.5, and 1.25 nM). Arrowhead indicates mobility shift for each labeled probe. (C) The fold-enrichment for HNRNPH1-bound rG1 at varying concentrations of nonbiotinylated rG1 determined by comparison of chemiluminescent signals from pull-down performed using HNRNPH1 antibody and an IgG isotype control. Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM of three technical replicates. (D) A schematic representation of the protein domains of HNRNPH1 and a panel of recombinant His-tagged HNRNPH1 domains used in this study. All domain annotations are adapted from Van Dusen et al. (2010). Schematic diagrams were constructed using Domain Graph (DOG), version 2.0 (Ren et al. 2009). (E) The binding of full-length HNRNPH1 protein to a rG1 RNA target surface by SPR is shown. Black lines represent the binding responses for HNRNPH1 at the indicated concentrations on each sensorgram. HNRNPH1 was exposed to the surface for 2 min (association phase) followed by 10 min flow of running buffer (dissociation phase). Data were fit globally to a 1:1 binding model and the respective fits are shown in red. The resulting parameter values are provided in Table 1. (F) The binding of full-length HNRNPH1 protein to a rG1^{mt1} RNA target surface by SPR is shown. Black lines represent the binding responses for HNRNPH1 at 15.6, 31.3, 62.5, and 125 nM. HNRNPH1 was exposed to the surface as described in E; however, no binding was observed.

Fig. 3E). The mutated RNA oligomer, rG1^{mt1}, exhibited no binding at all HNRNPH1 concentrations tested (Fig. 3F). Out of the three individual qRRM domains, qRRM1 and

qRRM2 showed the highest affinity for rG1 with K_D values of 30 and 22 nM, respectively (Table 1). qRRM3 displayed a 10-fold weaker affinity (K_D = 315 nM). Precise K_D values

TABLE 1. Kinetic and affinity constants for HNRNPH1 domains and rG1 interactions

Protein	K_D (nM)	k_a ($\times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$)	k_d ($\times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$)	χ^2
Full-length HNRNPH1	4.82 ± 0.11	0.586 ± 0.00948	0.282 ± 0.0075	1.54
qRRM1	30 ± 10	4.69 ± 0.213	13.9 ± 0.215	6.07
qRRM2	22 ± 5.9	17.5 ± 0.882	41.8 ± 1.09	3.85
qRRM3	315 ± 108	0.126 ± 0.0101	3.74 ± 0.0686	8.07
qRRM1–2 ^a	1.52 ± 0.35	346 ± 53.6	54.0 ± 9.19	26.5
qRRM2–3	97 ± 17	0.0934 ± 0.0017	0.886 ± 0.0143	32.3
$\Delta 1$ –103	2.39 ± 0.4	6.24 ± 0.088	1.43 ± 0.0169	11.5

Sensorgrams are shown in Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure S4.

^aData for qRRM1–2 were fit globally to a 1:1 binding model with mass transfer; all other data were fitted using a 1:1 binding model.

were more challenging to determine for proteins consisting of multiple domains of HNRNPH1 based on the model fit statistics. Nevertheless, a protein consisting of both the qRRM1 and qRRM2 domains showed comparable binding to full-length protein to rG1 (K_D of 1.5 nM). We also detected weaker binding for the protein consisting of the qRRM2, GYR, and qRRM3 domains (K_D of 97 nM), while a protein lacking the qRRM1 domain of HNRNPH1 ($\Delta 1$ –103 = qRRM2, GYR, qRRM3, and GY domains) displayed high-affinity interactions for rG1 with a K_D of 2.4 nM. The SPR results show that the three individual qRRM domains and the multidomain recombinant proteins all bind to rG1. Overall, our in vitro and cell-based data revealed that HNRNPH1 displays enhanced binding to the rG1 sequence within *EWSR1* exon 8 in comparison to other G-rich sequences within the 3' end of this exon. More importantly, we conclude this rG1 sequence is most likely the biologically relevant HNRNPH1 binding site within *EWSR1* exon 8.

The rG1 oligomer forms RNA G-quadruplexes in vitro

DNA and RNA sequences containing G-rich nucleotides have the potential to self-associate and form quadruplexes (Gellert et al. 1962; Arnott et al. 1974; Zimmerman et al. 1975; Howard et al. 1977). Quadruplexes are stable structures formed by Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding of G nucleotides around a central cation (Williamson 1994; Davis 2004). Since rG1 contains three successive G-runs in its sequence, we assessed the computational likelihood of rG1 forming stable quadruplexes using the quadruplex-forming G-rich sequences (QGRS) Mapper (Kikin et al. 2006). QGRS mapper predicted 53 quadruplexes in the rG1 sequence, in which the highest scoring QGRS comprised of repeats of two guanines and is consistent with a noncanonical, two-quartet quadruplex structure (Qin et al. 2015). To confirm RNA G-quadruplex formation, we utilized thioflavin T (ThT), a probe that is known to fluoresce in the presence of quadruplex structures (Xu et al. 2016). The rG1 oligomer generated ThT fluorescence while the rG1^{mt1} had a significantly lower signal than the nonmu-

tated version of the oligomer (Fig. 4A). The linker region was utilized as a negative control and displayed a comparable fluorescence signal as rG1^{mt1}. Our data suggest that rG1 forms RNA G-quadruplexes consistent with QGRS Mapper prediction.

Next, we evaluated the effects metal cations have on rG1 folding and stability. It is known that monovalent cations found in the central cavity of quadruplexes impact stability, formation, and polymorphism (Bhattacharyya et al. 2016). Hence, the stability of rG1 was evaluated using CD spectroscopy in the presence of lithium (Li^+), potassium (K^+), or no added cations. The CD spectra for rG1 had a positive peak at 262 nm and a negative peak at 240 nm under K^+ conditions (Fig. 4B), characteristic of parallel-stranded RNA G-quadruplexes (Vorlíčková et al. 2012; Randazzo et al. 2013). Furthermore, the intensity of the peak at 262 nm was higher in the presence of K^+ than with either Li^+ or no salt added, confirming that K^+ induces a more folded state. Also, the spectra for rG1^{mt1} differed from rG1 under K^+ conditions, having a lower intensity in the positive peak at 262 nm and the negative peak slightly shifts to 245 nm. Overall, our CD results for rG1 in the presence of K^+ are consistent with findings for RNA G-quadruplexes reported in *VEGF*, *TRF2*, *BCL-2*, and *NRAS* transcripts (Xu et al. 2015).

To directly confirm quadruplex formation in the presence of metal cations, we then compared the folded state for rG1 in the presence and absence of a quadruplex-specific antibody (BG4-antibody) using chemiluminescent EMSA. In the RNA only samples, we observed multiple bands for rG1 under Li^+ and K^+ conditions, suggesting metal ions induce various structural conformations and/or multimerization of rG1 (Fig. 4C). Addition of the BG4-antibody to rG1 led to several mobility shifts in the presence of K^+ only, which corroborates quadruplex formation. The multiple bands observed upon the addition of the BG4-antibody indicate that various quadruplex structures could interact with this antibody. Given that there are 53 putative QGRS found in the rG1, it is plausible that this structure may be polymorphic. Since CD spectra of rG1

FIGURE 4. HNRNPH1 directly binds to unstructured G-tracts and RNA G-quadruplex structures within *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1. (A) Fluorescence intensity enhancement (F/F_0) of 6 μM thioflavin T with 2 μM RNA at the excitation and emission wavelengths of 440/487 nm in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl at 25°C for the indicated RNA oligomers. (***) $P < 0.001$. (B) Smoothed circular dichroism (CD) spectra for the 3'-biotinylated *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1 or rG1^{mt1} oligomer (10 μM) at 25°C in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 with either no added salt, 150 mM LiCl, or 150 mM KCl. (C) Chemiluminescent EMSA gel for biotinylated *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1 and rG1^{mt1} incubated in the absence and presence of the BG4-antibody under different salt conditions at 25°C in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. Arrowheads indicate mobility shifts for each labeled probe. (D) Smoothed CD melt curve of *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1 (25 μM) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and 150 mM KCl. (E) Fold-enrichment determined using a quadruplex-specific antibody for biotinylated *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1 and rG1^{mt1} under different salt conditions at 25°C in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), which was determined by a change in the chemiluminescent signal using BG4-antibody and IgG1 isotype control. Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM of three technical replicates. ns, not significant; (***) $P < 0.001$. (F) Chemiluminescent EMSA gel for biotinylated *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1 and rG1^{mt1} incubated in the absence and presence of purified HNRNPH1 under different salt conditions at 25°C in 20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5. Arrowheads indicate mobility shifts for each labeled probe. (G) Fold-enrichment plots for rG1–HNRNPH1 (black) and rG1–BG4 (red) immunocomplexes obtained at 30 nM HNRNPH1 and varying concentrations of BG4-antibody. Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM of three technical replicates. (H) Fold-enrichment plots for rG1–HNRNPH1 (black) and rG1–BG4 (red) immunocomplexes obtained at 0.5 nM BG4-antibody and varying concentrations of HNRNPH1. Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM of three technical replicates.

display characteristics of a parallel-stranded G-quadruplex, all guanines in a quartet must adopt the same glycosidic bond angle and the groove dimensions must remain identical (Tran et al. 2013). These distinct features allow for the possible formation of tetramolecular quadruplexes, which can resist high temperatures (e.g., 95°C–100°C) in the presence of potassium. Therefore, we tested the thermal stability of rG1 by CD melting experiments (Fig. 4D). We observed no distinct melting temperature transition, possibly confirming tetramolecular interactions.

We continued to assess BG4-antibody binding using the antibody-based RNA binding assay because this assay can capture both tight-binding and transient interactions through UV-crosslinking. Our results show that the binding affinity of rG1 for BG4-antibody was salt-dependent (Fig. 4E). The BG4-antibody binding was enriched by six- and 14-fold in the presence of Li^+ and K^+ , respectively, compared to no salt. Overall, these salt-dependent studies demonstrate that potassium ions induced the most stable quadruplex formation for rG1.

HNRNPH1 interacts with both rG1 unstructured G-tracts and G-quadruplexes in vitro

To determine if the formation of rG1 quadruplexes affect HNRNPH1 recognition, we evaluated HNRNPH1 binding to rG1 under different metal cation conditions. Using EMSA, we observed distinct mobility shift patterns for rG1 in the presence of purified HNRNPH1 under each condition (Fig. 4F). There are three overall shifted bands with the highest signal intensity, which may indicate different conformations for the HNRNPH1–RNA complexes (Fried 1989). In contrast to the no salt and Li^+ conditions, we detected unbound RNA after the addition of HNRNPH1 in the presence of K^+ . It is plausible that HNRNPH1 binding is modulated in the presence of K^+ because rG1 has the potential to adopt multiple conformations and multimerizes. Moreover, we observed a dominant supershifted band for one of the HNRNPH1–RNA complexes under the K^+ condition, compared to the no added salt and Li^+ condition. This result suggests that multimerization of HNRNPH1 itself may be another reason for alteration of its binding specificity. Heterodimers and homodimers between members of the hnRNP H/F family have been implicated in modulating RNA binding in other studies (Alkan et al. 2006). Overall, these studies reveal that HNRNPH1 can interact with rG1 under conditions where the RNA is unfolded or stably folded into G-quadruplexes.

To further assess HNRNPH1 substrate specificity, we performed antibody-based binding assays containing both purified HNRNPH1 and BG4-antibody in the presence of rG1. To conduct these experiments, we first evaluated the apparent dissociation constant ($K_{\text{D}}^{\text{app}}$) for each immunocomplex. We determined $K_{\text{D}}^{\text{app}}$ constants for the rG1–HNRNPH1 and rG1–BG4 immunocomplexes of $30 \pm$

2 nM and 0.5 ± 0.05 nM, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S5A,B). Then, we performed protein binding assays at the $K_{\text{D}}^{\text{app}}$ for each immunocomplex while varying the concentration of the other protein. As the concentration of BG4-antibody increased, there was a partial loss of HNRNPH1 binding to rG1 (Fig. 4G). However, as the concentration of HNRNPH1 increased, there was a complete loss of BG4-antibody binding to rG1 (Fig. 4H). The loss of protein binding (BG4 or HNRNPH1) to rG1 in these assays is most likely due to substrate sequestration that prevents the other protein from binding to RNA. We conclude that the differences in the RNA binding patterns observed are because the BG4-antibody solely recognizes quadruplexes, while HNRNPH1 binding is both structural- and sequence-dependent.

Insights into global recognition and selectivity of exonic RNA elements by HNRNPH1

To investigate the broader context of RNA structural dependency for HNRNPH1 recognition within exonic elements, we analyzed the reported transcriptome-wide profiles for RNA G-quadruplexes and RNA targets of HNRNPH1. First, the RNA G-quadruplex sequencing data set GSE77282 was used to identify quadruplexes within polyadenylated-enriched RNA transcriptome-wide (Kwok et al. 2016). The RNA targets and binding sites of HNRNPH1 were identified from individual-nucleotide UV crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (iCLIP) or RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) data sets that utilized total RNA (Uren et al. 2016). We then generated an enrichment profile of HNRNPH1 binding sites across different genomic regions and overlapping sequences that form RNA G-quadruplexes using ngs.plot (Shen et al. 2014). This analysis showed a correlation of 3346 RNA G-quadruplex sites with nearby sequences bound by HNRNPH1 (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S6A). Also, the absolute peak numbers for HNRNPH1 were four- to fivefold higher than other hnRNP family proteins (HNRNPA1, HNRNPK, and HNRNPU), suggesting that a subgroup of RNA targets may depend on structural binding specificity for HNRNPH1. These trends were confirmed using another RNA G-quadruplex sequencing data set GSE83617 that utilized polyadenylated-enriched RNA (Supplemental Fig. S6B; Guo and Bartel 2016). Our analysis is consistent with previous studies showing that the hnRNP H/F family of proteins regulates alternative splicing by binding to poly(G) tracts that fold into RNA G-quadruplexes (Decorsiere et al. 2011; Fisette et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2014; Conlon et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2017). Out of the 3346 RNA G-quadruplex sites that correlated with HNRNPH1 binding sites, 549 sites resided in exonic coding regions, and 313 sites were neither within the first nor the last exon. Critically, the *EWSR1* gene ranked 1486 out of 3346 genes, and the HNRNPH1 binding site mapped to

A

B

FIGURE 5. A subgroup of RNA G-quadruplex regions is enriched near HNRNPH1 binding sites in the human genome. (A) Coverage plots (left) and read density heat maps (right) represent binding read count for the indicated hnRNPs near RNA G-quadruplex sites (± 1 Kb). The RNA targets and binding sites for each hnRNP were obtained from iCLIP or eCLIP data sets (Uren et al. 2016; Van Nostrand et al. 2016). The RNA G-quadruplex sites were obtained from the sequencing data set GSE77282 (Kwok et al. 2016). (B) Overrepresented sequence motifs at HNRNPH1 binding sites that overlap with either the highest-ranked 1500 RNA guanine quadruplex regions (binned into sets of 500) or all exonic regions containing potential RNA guanine quadruplexes.

G-rich regions at the 3' end of *EWSR1* exon 8 (last 70 nt), consistent with our current findings.

To examine sequence context of this identified HNRNPH1–RNA quadruplex interactome, we used multiple EM for motif elicitation (MEME) analysis to predict

HNRNPH1 binding sites in the exonic RNA G-quadruplex regions compared to all RNA G-quadruplex regions. Briefly, we ranked all RNA G-quadruplex regions by HNRNPH1 binding (iCLIP-Seq read counts) and subsequently performed a motif search analysis of all exonic

sequences and the highest-ranked 1500 RNA G-quadruplex regions overall, binned into sets of 500 (Fig. 5B). Most of the first 500 regions (483 out of 499) contain a motif comprising four repeats of three to five guanines (G_{3-5}_4) (Fig. 5B, top panel), which is consistent with the consensus sequence for canonical RNA G-quadruplex structures ($G_{3L1-7}_3G_3$) (Huppert et al. 2008). These results indicate a strong RNA G-quadruplex signal associated with the binding of HNRNPH1. Interestingly, most of the exonic regions (405 out of 549) contain repeats of two guanines with a consensus sequence that is consistent with noncanonical, two-quartet G-quadruplexes $G_2N_XG_2N_YG_2N_ZG_2$ (Fig. 5B, bottom panel; Qin et al. 2015). Thus, two-quartet G-quadruplex configurations, as predicted for rG1 using QGRS mapper, are overrepresented in these exonic HNRNPH1 binding regions, which may indicate sequence motifs associated with exon inclusion or exclusion.

Pyridostatin binds to rG1 oligomer and displaces HNRNPH1

The selective chemical targeting of the HNRNPH1 and *EWS–FLI1* pre-mRNA interaction may provide a rational treatment strategy for a subgroup of Ewing sarcoma patients. Since there are no known inhibitors of HNRNPH1 function to date, we pursued an alternative approach and selected to assess the quadruplex-binding compound pyridostatin (PDS). PDS is a synthetic molecule that stabilizes both RNA and DNA quadruplexes (Mela et al. 2012; Biffi et al. 2014) and is known to quench the fluorescence of fluorophore-labeled quadruplexes by proximal ligand binding at individual G-tetrads (Le et al. 2015). Hence, we first performed fluorescence titration binding assays at varying concentrations of PDS with a 5'-Alexa Fluor 647 labeled rG1 oligomer. This compound resulted in a dose-dependent loss of fluorescence emission for the 5'-Alexa Fluor 647 labeled rG1 oligomer, resulting in a K_D^{app} of 4.6 μ M (Fig. 6A). We subsequently performed an antibody-based RNA binding assay using the rG1 oligomer and HNRNPH1 from TC32 cell lysates at varying concentrations of PDS. We observed a PDS-induced loss of the HNRNPH1–rG1 complex, generating an IC_{50} for PDS of 7.7 μ M (Fig. 6B). Our results indicate that a small molecule, such as PDS, can bind to rG1 and block its interaction with HNRNPH1. Since PDS is known to have a planar aromatic scaffold that can facilitate pi-stacking interactions with the G-tetrad ends (Le et al. 2015), the loss of HNRNPH1 binding could be attributed to gain of an unfavorable RNA conformation and/or limited substrate accessibility to allow HNRNPH1 to interact with the G-tetrad ends directly. Irrespective of the major driver of HNRNPH1 displacement, this mechanism of action differs from another G-quadruplex binding molecule, TMPyP4. TMPyP4 can destabilize and unfold RNA quadruplex structures (Morris et al. 2012; Zamiri et al. 2014), and previous studies have

shown that TMPyP4 enhances HNRNPH1 binding to sequences containing G-quadruplexes within p53 RNA (Decorsiere et al. 2011). However, it is not atypical for PDS to displace nucleic acid-binding proteins as studies by Rodriguez and colleagues showed PDS could dissociate the shelterin component POT1 (protection of telomeres 1) and telomeric DNA complex (Rodriguez et al. 2008). To guide our understanding of how molecules like PDS modulate protein substrate recognition will require future study of the structure of the binary and ternary complexes comprising HNRNPH1, RNA substrate, and G-quadruplex stabilizers.

PDS modulates EWS–FLI1 transcriptional activity and inhibits viability in EWS cell lines harboring *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion transcripts

To understand the effect of modulating quadruplex-specific interactions in cells, we assessed the viability of four EWS and three non-EWS cell lines treated with PDS. We observed that relatively low EC_{50} concentrations of PDS inhibited the growth of the *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion-positive EWS cell lines TC32 (14 μ M) and SKNMC (4.8 μ M) compared to the EWS cell lines with different breakpoints in *EWSR1* (>40 μ M) (Fig. 6C). The differences in the sensitivity of TC32 and SKNMC cells may be attributed to differences in cell line origin and distinctive molecular characteristics (May et al. 2013). DNA G-quadruplexes have been assessed as potential molecular targets in both HCT116 and PC3 cells (McLuckie et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2016; Chilka et al. 2019) and we found the PDS EC_{50} values of 10 and 20 μ M for these cell lines (Fig. 6D) to be comparable to that seen in the EWS cells harboring *EWSR1* exon 8 fusions (TC32 and SKNMC). In contrast, the EC_{50} values observed for RD-ES and TC71 cells were closer to the higher concentrations of PDS treatment needed to inhibit the growth of HEK-293T cells (EC_{50} > 50 μ M) (Fig. 6D). To our knowledge, there is no experimental evidence establishing that targeting DNA or RNA G-quadruplexes in this cell line alters the growth of this cell line.

We also examined more prolonged effects of PDS treatment with a clonogenic endpoint (Fig. 6E). Treatment of TC32, TC71, HCT116, and HEK-293T cells with PDS for 72 h decreased the number of colony-forming cells versus control in all cell lines to varying percentages up to the highest PDS concentration tested. Treatment at the lowest PDS concentration (5 μ M) reduced the number of colony-forming cells for TC32 and HCT116 to ~40%–50% versus controls, and this reduction was comparable to that observed at the highest PDS treatment (15 μ M) for TC71 and HEK-293T cells. Moreover, treatment with 15 μ M PDS drastically reduced the number of colony-forming cells to ~10% for TC32 and HCT116. These results corroborate the selective growth inhibition of cell lines that have links to specific G-quadruplex molecular targets.

FIGURE 6. PDS interacts with rG1 and selectively inhibits the growth of EWS cell lines harboring *EWSR1* exon 8 fusion transcripts. (A) PDS-induced fluorescence quenching of 5'-Alexa Fluor 647 labeled *EWSR1* exon 8 rG1 oligomer. (B) The fold-enrichment for HNRNPH1-bound rG1 at varying concentrations of PDS determined by comparison of chemiluminescent signals from pull-down performed using HNRNPH1 antibody and an IgG isotype control. HNRNPH1 within TC32 whole cell lysates was used as the protein source. (C) Relative viability of EWS cell lines (TC32, SKNMC, RD-ES, and TC71) 72 h posttreatment at varying concentrations of pyridostatin normalized to control, 0.1% DMSO (mean normalized, $\pm$ SEM, $n = 6$). (D) Relative viability of non-EWS cell lines (HCT116, PC3, and HEK-293T) 72 h posttreatment at varying concentrations of pyridostatin normalized to control, 0.1% DMSO (mean normalized, $\pm$ SEM, $n = 6$). (E) Colony formation assay using cells pretreated with indicated concentrations of pyridostatin for 72 h and then grown for 7 d in compound-free medium. We imaged and counted colonies using a Celigo microwell image cytometer (mean normalized, $\pm$ SEM, $n = 4$). Colonies on plate are pseudocolored in green. (*) $P < 0.05$; (**) $P < 0.01$; (***) $P < 0.001$ compared to control, 0.1% DMSO. (CI) confidence interval, (UTR) untreated cells.

To further evaluate the effect of PDS treatment in EWS cells harboring *EWSR1* exon 8 fusions, we compared mRNA and protein levels in PDS-treated TC32 cells with those transfected with siHNRNPH1 or siFLI1. Analysis of

qPCR data shows a dose-dependent decrease in *EWS-FLI1* mRNA levels following PDS treatment that phenocopied the silencing of *HNRNPH1* and *FLI1* (Fig. 7A). Using PCR splicing analysis, we also observe an additional PCR

product for PDS-treated samples compared to control of a size consistent with the retention of *EWSR1* exon 8 (Fig. 7B). Sanger sequencing confirmed retention of *EWSR1* exon 8 following PDS treatment, and these results are comparable with those observed for *HNRNPH1* silencing (Fig. 7B; Supplemental Fig. S7A). The inclusion of *EWSR1* exon 8 results in the generation of a premature stop codon and leads to a loss of full-length EWS–FLI1 protein levels (Supplemental Fig. S7A,C).

We expect lower EWS–FLI1 levels to affect the expression of genes that are typically deregulated by EWS–FLI1; thus, we performed two cell-based reporter assays to examine EWS–FLI1 transcriptional activity. First, we treated TC32-CMV-luc and TC32-NR0B1-luc reporter cells with PDS, and we assessed luciferase activity 48 h post-treatment. PDS-treatment induces a selective decrease in the TC32-NR0B1-luc reporter relative to the TC32-CMV-luc reporter with an IC_{50} of 5 μ M (Fig. 7D). Next, we quantified EWS–FLI1 activity based on the change in fluorescence of a mCherry fluorescent reporter gene inserted at the 3' end of the *NR0B1* gene by CRISPR–Cas9 gene editing (TC32-NR0B1-mCherry). TC32-NR0B1-mCherry cells were treated with PDS at 0–5 μ M to monitor changes in EWS–FLI1 activity. At these concentrations, fluorescence signals decreased in a dose-dependent manner with minimal effects on cell confluence (Fig. 7E). Both cell-based reporter assays demonstrate PDS can modulate EWS–FLI1 transcriptional activity.

To further investigate changes in EWS–FLI1 activity upon PDS-treatment in TC32 cells, we extended our mRNA expression studies to examine 11 genes that are deregulated by EWS–FLI1. Analysis of qPCR data 48 and 72 h posttreatment shows the mRNA levels of EWS–FLI1 target genes are significantly altered after 72 h, which is analogous to the observed trends for *HNRNPH1* silencing (Fig. 7F; Supplemental Fig. S7B). These results demonstrate that PDS treatment in TC32 cells can reverse the expression of genes deregulated by EWS–FLI1.

Conclusion

Recent studies have shown an emerging role of HNRNPH1 in atypical splicing in hepatocellular carcinoma (Chettouh et al. 2013), glioblastoma (LeFave et al. 2011), breast cancer (Gautrey et al. 2015), African American prostate cancer (Jia et al. 2006) and a subgroup of Ewing sarcoma (Grohar et al. 2016). Thus, understanding the molecular basis for HNRNPH1 recognition in processing oncogenic fusion and cancer-related transcripts is imperative to develop novel RNA-binding small molecules and therapeutics. In this study, we have rigorously dissected RNA sequence and structural elements within *EWSR1* exon 8 that led to identification and validation of the recruitment of HNRNPH1 to the rG1 binding site within this exon. Our

studies show that HNRNPH1 can interact with both rG1 unstructured G-tracts and G-quadruplexes in vitro, though the conformation of the HNRNPH1–RNA complexes differs under conditions that can modulate RNA structure. A bioinformatic enrichment profile of HNRNPH1 binding sites and overlapping RNA G-quadruplex sites revealed a subgroup of exonic HNRNPH1 binding sites that contain sequences with two-quartet G-quadruplex configurations. However, it is still unclear if the mode of HNRNPH1-mediated exon exclusion is strictly dependent on RNA structure or sequence, as our studies suggest that both features may be mechanistically important. Future studies will focus on investigating this gap in knowledge as it will provide better insights into whether hnRNP H/F bind G-quadruplexes or prevent G-quadruplex formation. Furthermore, insights into the sequence and structural features of rG1 supplied information that allowed us to exploit a breakpoint-dependent vulnerability in a subgroup of Ewing sarcoma and modulate EWS–FLI1 pre-mRNA processing by both a synthetic RNA substrate mimic and a generic quadruplex-binding molecule, pyridostatin. Our findings confirm that HNRNPH1-mediated exon exclusion can be targetable through alteration of HNRNPH1 substrate recognition for a specific binding site.

The existence of RNA quadruplexes in vivo is currently controversial because current methods used to examine these structures may predispose experimental outcomes to lean toward a folded or unfolded RNA structure. For example, the use of quadruplex-specific antibodies in immunofluorescence studies can be beneficial to detect these distinct structural motifs in cells (Biffi et al. 2013, 2014; Henderson et al. 2014). However, it is possible that antibody specificity may induce the formation of quadruplexes not typically folded in a cellular context. Another example includes the use of chemical probes that can help modify nucleic acid substituents that are not directly participating in quadruplex-specific interactions (Guo and Bartel 2016). However, in vivo chemical modifications could nonspecifically alter the structure and destabilize the quadruplex depending on the concentration of the chemical reagent. Captivatingly, the processing of both *EWSR1* and *EWS–FLI1* transcripts, via HNRNPH1 recognition, provides a thought-provoking model system to address the ongoing debate of quadruplex cellular relevance. The exclusion of all or part of *EWSR1* exon 8 within wild-type *EWSR1* is rare; however, once an exon 8-containing segment of *EWSR1* fuses to *FLI1*, this alternative splicing event becomes pivotal and supports the recruitment of HNRNPH1. Thus, future work will focus on understanding additional *cis*- and *trans*-acting elements that alter alternative splicing decisions for *EWSR1* and its fusion transcripts. Overall, our study provides a glimpse into the molecular mechanisms of HNRNPH1 that orchestrate transcript fate and provides a foundation for a novel approach to target fusion oncogenes.

FIGURE 7. In TC32 cells, PDS modulates *EWS-FLI1* mRNA processing, decreases *EWS-FLI1* protein levels, and restores mRNA expression of *EWS-FLI1* deregulated targets. (A) qPCR assessment of *HNRNPH1* and *EWS-FLI1* mRNA expression in PDS-treated or siRNA transfected TC32 EWS cells (48 h). Data are normalized to the geomean of reference genes, *ACTB* and *RPL27*, and expressed relative to untreated cells (mean $\pm$ SEM, $n = 3$). (*) $P < 0.05$; (**) $P < 0.01$ compared to untreated cells. For additional experimental details, refer to Supplemental Table S7. (B) PCR analysis of the splicing of *EWS-FLI1* using primers corresponding to *EWSR1* exon 7 forward and *FLI1* exon 7 reverse primer pair for PDS-treated or siRNA transfected TC32 EWS cells (48 h). Arrowhead indicates additional PCR product band. (C) Immunoblot analysis of whole-cell lysates prepared from PDS-treated or siRNA transfected TC32 cells using antibodies against the proteins indicated (48 h). (D) Ratio of the TC32-NR0B1-luc and TC32-CMV-luc reporter signals 48 h posttreatment at varying concentrations of pyridostatin normalized to control, 0.1% DMSO (mean normalized, $\pm$ SEM, $n = 6$). (E) Relative fluorescence units at the excitation and emission wavelengths of 585/635 nm (top) and phase confluence (bottom) of TC32-NR0B1-mCherry cells at varying concentrations of pyridostatin normalized to control, 0.1% DMSO (48 h, mean normalized, $\pm$ SEM, $n = 6$). (*) $P < 0.05$; (***) $P < 0.001$ compared to control. (F) qPCR assessment of *EWS-FLI1*-regulated genes in PDS-treated (top) or *HNRNPH1*-silenced (bottom) TC32 EWS cells (72 h). Data normalized to *ACTB* are expressed relative to control, 0.1% DMSO or siNeg-transfected cells (mean $\pm$ SEM, $n = 3$). (**) $P < 0.01$; (***) $P < 0.001$ compared to control. For additional experimental details, refer to Supplemental Table S7. (CI) confidence interval, (UTR) untreated cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and reagents

All single-stranded RNA oligomers were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies or Dharmacon. Supplemental Table S1 details the sequences and modifications of these oligomers used in each experiment. Control (siNegative [siNeg]) and gene-specific siRNAs (siFLI1, siHNRNPH1, siHNRNPH2, and siHNRNPF), and PCR primers are detailed in Supplemental Tables S2–S4. Antibodies against: HNRNPH1 (A300-511A, Bethyl Laboratories, Inc.), HNRNPH2 (ab181171, Abcam), HNRNPF (ab50982, Abcam), FLI1 (ab15289, Abcam), ACTB (sc-47778, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), BG4 (Ab00174-1.1, Labscoop), anti-Flag (sc-166355, Santa Cruz), and anti-6X His-tag (ab9108, Abcam) were used to analyze protein expression using standard immunoblotting and/or for the detection of protein–RNA complexes. See Supplemental Figure S1B for validation of HNRNPH/F siRNAs and antibodies. Purified full-length HNRNPH1 was purchased from OriGene Technologies. We designed DNA sequences for the expression of truncated HNRNPH1 proteins qRRM1, qRRM2, qRRM3, qRRM1-2, and qRRM2-3 (Supplemental Table S5) and the recombinant proteins were expressed and purified (see Supplemental Methods) by the Protein Expression Laboratory, NCI Frederick. The purified HNRNPH1 proteins Δ 1–103 and qRRM2–3 were purchased from Creative BioMart. Pyridostatin (cat# S7444) was obtained from Selleckchem. The 96-well black plates, polystyrene (cat# 237105) and streptavidin-coated (cat# 15119), were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific. The 384-well black, polystyrene plates (cat# 09-761-86) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. The 96-well white tissue culture-treated, CulturPlate-96 plates (cat# 6005680) were purchased from PerkinElmer.

Cell culture and transfection and compound treatments

All cell lines were grown in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS (Invitrogen). The EWS cell lines TC32 (*EWSR1* intron 8 breakpoint) and TC71 (*EWSR1* intron 7 breakpoint) were gifted from Tim Triche (The Saban Research Hospital, Children's Hospital of Los Angeles) and we obtained the SKNMC cell line (*EWSR1* intron 8 breakpoint) from Javed Khan (Genetics Branch, CCR, NCI). We purchased the RD-ES (*EWSR1* intron 7 breakpoint), HEK-293T (human embryonic kidney), PC3 (human prostate cancer), and HCT116 (human colorectal cancer) cell lines from ATCC. The Pediatric Oncology Branch, CCR supplied the TC32-NR0B1-luc and TC32-CMV-luc reporter lines (Grohar et al. 2011). The generation of mCherry-NR0B1 tagged TC32 cells is described in Supplemental Methods. We confirmed the identity of cell lines by short tandem repeat analysis (ATCC; November 2015 and February 2018) and in the case of EWS cell lines expression of the *EWS-FLI1* fusion transcript. We checked that cell lines were mycoplasma free twice a year.

For gene expression, splicing, and protein analysis, the following number of cells per well of a six-well plate were plated in 2 mL of growth medium: TC32, 1.35×10^5 cells per well; SKNMC, 2.7×10^5 cells per well; TC71, 1.8×10^5 cells per well; RD-ES, 1.8×10^5 cells per well; and HEK-293T, 1.8×10^5 cells per well. For RNAi-based studies, cells were transfected with 20 nM siRNA com-

plexed with Lipofectamine RNAi-Max (Invitrogen) as follows: TC32, 4.5 μ L/well; SKNMC, 4 μ L/well; TC71 and RD-ES, 3.5 μ L/well; and HEK-293T, 5 μ L/well. For the synthetic RNA transfection studies, ssRNA oligomers were first heated and cooled to enable self-assembly and formation of stable tertiary structures and then transfected into the cells. We heated 50 μ M of ssRNA oligomer in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM KCl to 95°C for 3 min and then cooled the RNA slowly to room temperature for 1.5 h. The RNA was diluted and complexed with 4.5 μ L/well Lipofectamine RNAi-Max (final concentrations of 20, 40, 80, or 160 nM). Freshly passaged cells were then added to preplated transfection complexes. For compound treatment studies, cells were grown overnight and treated with 5, 10, or 15 μ M pyridostatin (0.1% final DMSO). Cells were harvested 48 and/or 72 h post-transfection or -treatment. For reporter assays of *EWS-FLI1* transcriptional activity or assessment of cell viability, cells were plated in a 96-well plate (cell viability and luciferase assays—6000 cells per well; mCherry assay—4000 cells per well), grown overnight, and then treated at varying concentrations of pyridostatin (0 to 50 μ M; 0.1% DMSO) for 24–72 h.

For clonogenic assays, cells plated in a six-well plate were grown overnight and followed by 72 h of pyridostatin treatment (0, 5, 10, or 15 μ M; 0.1% DMSO). After compound treatment, we collected each sample and plated 200–250 cells per well of a 12-well plate. We then incubated cells for 7 d in compound-free medium, after which we fixed colonies with 100% ethanol and stained with crystal violet (0.04% crystal violet, 2% methanol in PBS). Each experiment was performed in biological quadruplicates. The number of colonies was determined using a Celigo microwell image cytometer and software (Nexcelom Bioscience).

For the RNA pull-down experiments, transfected TC32 cells were irradiated, lysed with RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) buffer (20 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitor cocktail and RNase inhibitor), sonicated three times for 5 sec, and centrifuged at 20,000g at 4°C for 30 min. Then, 80 μ g of cellular extract was incubated overnight at 4°C with 25 μ L Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidin (ThermoFisher Scientific) that were blocked in RIP buffer containing 0.5 mg/mL of BSA (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 0.05 mg/mL of tRNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 4 h at 4°C. Beads were washed four times with RIP buffer. Bound proteins were eluted by heating the samples at 95°C for 5 min in 40 μ L SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Twenty microliters of each sample was then loaded into a 3%–8% polyacrylamide gel (ThermoFisher Scientific), and a western blot against HNRNPH1 using anti-HNRNPH1 primary and anti-rabbit HRP secondary was performed.

Gene expression and splicing analysis

For PCR-based gene expression and splicing analysis, RNA was purified using Maxwell 16 LEV simplyRNA Kits (Promega) and reverse-transcribed using iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix (Bio-Rad). The synthesized first-strand cDNA was subsequently assayed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR) using Fast SYBR Green Master Mix and StepOne Plus Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The expression of *ACTB*, *RPL27*, and/or *NACA* was used for normalization. Additional experimental details regarding each qPCR data set are provided in Supplemental Table S7. To detect gene splice variants, we

conducted parallel analysis, synthesizing the first-strand cDNA using Platinum PCR SuperMix High Fidelity (Invitrogen). For Sanger sequencing, PCR products were further amplified using T7-forward and T3-reverse tagged primers, and after gel electrophoresis, the amplified products isolated utilizing an x-tractra Gel Extractor tool (LabGadget).

For RNA-sequencing, we collected poly(A)-selected RNA from three biological replicates, and sequencing libraries were created using a TruSeq Stranded mRNA LT Sample Preparation Kit as described by manufacturer's instructions (Illumina). To investigate differential expression of *EWSR1* and *EWS-FLI1*, we incorporated a capture step using fosmid clones (CHORI) of full genomic coverage for *EWSR1* (WIBR2-3436F12; GRCh37/hg19 chr22:29662533-29698996) or *EWS-FLI1* (WIBR2-3436F12 and WIBR2-1520I3; GRCh37/hg19 chr11:128640224-128682737). Capture bait was prepared by Klenow extension of biotinylated random hexamers priming on a purified fosmid DNA template. The prepared bait was bound to streptavidin-coated Dynabeads C1 (Invitrogen) and hybridized to denatured, pooled libraries for 48 h. The enriched library was recovered after a series of stringency washes by PCR using primers homologous to library end-sequences (Forward: 5'-AATGATACGCGCACCACC-3', Reverse: 5'-CAAGCA GAAGACGGCATA-3'). Libraries were quantified by qPCR (Kapa Biosystems) and sequenced on a NextSeq 500 Sequencer (Illumina).

Chemiluminescent electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA)

Gel shift assays were performed using the LightShift chemiluminescent RNA EMSA kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Briefly, 125 nM biotinylated RNA oligomer was annealed in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM KCl by heating to 95°C for 3 min and cooling slowly to room temperature for 1.5 h. In a total volume of 20 μ L, 6.25 nM of annealed biotinylated RNA oligomer was incubated in the presence and absence of 0.01 μ g of purified HNRNPH1. The binding buffer contained 2 μ g tRNA, 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.3), 20 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, and 1 mM DTT. For the salt dependency assays, the binding buffer was modified to contain 2 μ g tRNA and 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) with and without 150 mM LiCl or KCl. After 30-min incubation at room temperature, the samples were resolved on a 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 4°C, electrotransferred onto a 0.45 μ m Biotodyne B nylon membrane (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 400 mA for 30 min at 4°C, and cross-linked to the membrane (120 mJ/cm², Stratalinker). The blots were then developed using the Chemiluminescent Nucleic Acid Detection Module (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Antibody-based RNA binding assays

Each biotinylated RNA oligomer (3 μ M) was annealed in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 150 mM KCl by heating to 95°C for 3 min and cooling slowly to room temperature for 1.5 h. The RNA sample was then diluted to 20 nM in binding buffer (SuperBlock T20 PBS Blocking Buffer, ThermoFisher Scientific) and 100 μ L aliquots were added in triplicate to a streptavidin-coated 96-well plate that was prewashed three times with wash buffer (Gibco PBS buffer, pH 7.4, containing 0.1% Tween-20).

Subsequently, the plate was incubated with gentle shaking for 1 h at room temperature and then washed three times with wash buffer to remove unbound RNA. We then added 100 μ L aliquots of 3 μ g/mL TC32 whole cell lysate or 0.35 μ g/mL purified HNRNPH1 in the binding buffer to the respective wells, incubated for 30 min, and crosslinked the samples by UV light irradiation (250 mJ/cm², Stratalinker). Unbound protein was removed by washing three times with wash buffer, and the plate was then incubated with antibodies against HNRNPH1 (100 μ L per well, 0.125 μ g/mL) or rabbit IgG (cat # 02-6102, Invitrogen) as the negative control for 30 min at room temperature. The plate was washed three times with wash buffer and bound protein-RNA complexes were detected using 100 μ L HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:200 dilution, cat# 7074, Cell Signaling Technology) per well (20 min, room temperature). The signal was developed using chemiluminescent reagents (SuperSignal West Dura, ThermoFisher Scientific), incubated at 37°C for 5 min, and luminescence was read on an EnSight Multimode plate reader (PerkinElmer). For compound treatment studies, varying concentrations of pyridostatin (0 to 100 μ M; 1% DMSO) were added to the wells at the same step as the HNRNPH1 protein source. Data were normalized to DMSO only control and plotted against pyridostatin concentration. The IC_{50} value was determined using a dose-response inhibition model with variable slope (GraphPad Prism software).

To examine RNA salt-dependency and structural conformations, we modified the above procedure as follows. To evaluate salt-dependency, we changed the binding buffer to 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) with and without 150 mM LiCl or KCl and the wash buffer to 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, containing 0.1% Tween-20. BG4-antibody (100 μ L per well, 3 ng/mL) or mouse IgG1 (cat# 02-6100, Invitrogen) as negative control were added to the respective wells following the removal of unbound RNA, incubated for 1 h and crosslinked by UV light irradiation (250 mJ/cm², Stratalinker). The plate was washed three times with wash buffer, and RNA G-quadruplexes were detected using 100 μ L HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:200 dilution, cat# 7076, Cell Signaling Technology) per well (20 min, room temperature). Detection of the developed signal was as described above.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy

RNA oligomers were prepared at a concentration of 10 or 25 μ M in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) in the presence and absence of either 150 mM LiCl or KCl. The RNA oligomers were folded by heating to 95°C for 3 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature for 1.5 h. CD spectra were recorded on an Aviv Biomedical Inc. Model 420 CD spectrometer at 25°C. The scans were performed in the wavelength range of 200–320 nm using a 3 sec averaging time, 1 nm step size, and 1 nm bandwidth. The melting curves were obtained by recording the change of molar circular dichroism or delta epsilon ($\Delta\epsilon$) at 262 nm in a range of temperatures from 25°C to 97°C with 1°C intervals. CD spectra shown were averaged from three individual spectra after subtraction of the buffer solution and then smoothed. A 1 mm path length quartz cuvette was used in all experiments.

Thioflavin T binding assays

In a black polystyrene 96 well-plate, annealed RNA oligomers (2.5 μ M) were mixed with 6 μ M Thioflavin T in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5),

150 mM KCl in triplicate. The fluorescence emission was collected at 487 nm with excitation at 440 nm at room temperature using an EnSight Multimode plate reader (PerkinElmer).

Fluorescence intensity assay

In a black polystyrene 384 well-plate, pyridostatin was diluted to final concentrations ranging from 0 to 250 μ M in triplicate in 10 mM Tris, 100 mM KCl (5% final DMSO). After shaking for 5 min, annealed 5'-Alexa Fluor 647-labeled RNA oligomer was added to a final concentration of 100 nM and the samples equilibrated for 30 min with shaking. The fluorescence intensity was then measured on a Synergy Mx microplate reader (BioTek) at an excitation wavelength of 649 nm and an emission wavelength of 670 nm. The fluorescence intensity was then normalized to the values obtained for RNA incubated with a DMSO control and was plotted against pyridostatin concentration. The apparent dissociation constants were determined using a single site model to fit the curve (GraphPad Prism software).

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR)

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) was conducted on the Biacore 3000 platform (Biacore/GE Healthcare). All experiments were performed at 25°C in a SPR running buffer containing 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 100 mM KCl, 0.01% Tween-20, and 3% DMSO. A flow rate of 5 μ L/min was used to build the Sensor chip. Streptavidin (ThermoFisher) at a concentration of 200 μ g/mL in 10 mM sodium acetate (pH 5) was immobilized to ~3000 response units (RU) in each flow cell using EDC/NHS coupling to a CM5 chip (GE Healthcare). The surface was then blocked with ethanolamine (50 μ L, 1 M, pH 8.4) followed by fast injections at 50 μ L/min of 1M NaCl, 50 mM NaOH (25 μ L) over each flow cell. Next, 250 nM 5'-biotinylated RNA oligomer was annealed in the SPR running buffer by heating at 95°C for 5 min, followed by cooling to room temperature for over 1 h. The RNA was immobilized on one flow cell of the Sensor chip at a flow rate of 5 μ L/min to a density of ~250 RU. Serial dilutions of either full-length HNRNPH1 protein or its His-tagged domains were injected at a flow rate of 50 μ L/min for 2 min. Each protein concentration was assessed twice, and binding data were fit to the Langmuir (1:1 binding) model or 1:1 binding with mass transfer model as indicated. Rate and binding constants for each RNA–protein complexes were determined using BIAevaluation software (GE Healthcare).

Phenotypic and functional assays

Cell viability and luciferase reporter expression were assayed on an EnSight Multimode plate reader (PerkinElmer) using CellTiter Glo and OneGlo assay systems, respectively (Promega) following the manufacturer's instructions. We used an IncuCyte ZOOM (Accela) system to monitor cell confluence and mCherry fluorescence (excitation: 585 nm, emission: 635 nm), taking measurements every 4 h for 2 d.

RNA-sequencing mapping and transcript variant analysis

RNA-seq reads were aligned to the hg19 reference genome using TopHat (Kim et al. 2013). Gene expression values were calculated

as Reads Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (RPKM) using Cufflinks (Trapnell et al. 2012) and the UCSC reference at the gene level. The non-EWS cell line HEK-293T was validated as a suitable model for assessing *EWSR1* variants by comparison of RNA expression data deposited in the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) portal (<http://www.gtexportal.org/>).

RSEM tools were utilized for the analysis of the expression level of protein-coding *EWSR1* transcript variants from targeted RNA-seq data. Reads counts across the signature junction of each transcript variant were extracted and calculated using an in-house splicing junction analysis tool developed in Python (v 3.6.0).

Bioinformatic analysis of RNA G-quadruplexes and hnRNP binding sites

We collected RNA G-quadruplex sequencing data from recently published studies (Guo and Bartel 2016; Kwok et al. 2016). The RNA targets and binding sites of HNRNPH1 were identified from iCLIP and RIP (Uren et al. 2016). The RNA targets and binding sites for the other HNRNP family proteins (A1, K, and U) were collected from eCLIP data (ENCODE) (Van Nostrand et al. 2016). The ngs.plot program was used to generate read density heat maps and the read coverage profiles of HNRNPH1 binding sites nearby each quadruplex region (Shen et al. 2014). The highest-ranked 1500 regions and all exonic regions that contained overlapping RNA G-quadruplexes and HNRNPH1 binding sites were submitted for motif discovery analysis by the meme-chip software (<http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme-chip>) using default parameters. The most significant motifs and *E*-values are reported.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using a Student's *t*-test in GraphPad Prism 7. Multiple comparisons were corrected using the Bonferroni–Dunn method or two-stage linear set-up procedure of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli. *P* < 0.05 was considered significant. Concentration curves, IC₅₀ values, and confidence intervals were generated using GraphPad Prism 7.

DATA DEPOSITION

The data sets containing enriched *EWSR1* sequencing reads are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus repository (accession GSE119974).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Maggie Cam (CCR Collaborative Bioinformatics Resource), Thomas Meyer (CCR Collaborative Bioinformatics Resource), Yuelin Jack Zhu (Genetics Branch, CCR, NCI), and Sven Bilke (Genetics Branch, CCR, NCI) for their support with bioinformatic analyses; and Dr. Paul Meltzer (Genetics Branch, CCR, NCI) for advice on the study of enriched-RNA-seq data. We thank Mrs. Jane Jones (Protein Expression Laboratory, CCR, NCI) for

expression and purification of recombinant HNRNPH1 proteins. Also, we would like to thank Dr. Sergey G. Tarasov for his technical assistance (Biophysics Resource, Structural Biophysics Laboratory, CCR, NCI). The CCR Genomics Core, CCR, NCI performed the Sanger sequencing. This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute (NCI), Center for Cancer Research (CCR); project numbers ZIA BC 011704 (N. J.C.) and ZIA BC 011585 (J.S.S.) and under contract no. HHSN261200800001E. Also, C.N. received funding support through a National Cancer Institute Director's Innovation Award, and N.A. was funded by the NCI Molecular Target and Drug Discovery Fellowship program (ZIJ BC 011133).

Received June 25, 2019; accepted September 8, 2019.

REFERENCES

- Alkan SA, Martincic K, Milcarek C. 2006. The hnRNPs F and H2 bind to similar sequences to influence gene expression. *Biochem J* **393**: 361–371. doi:10.1042/BJ20050538
- Arnott S, Chandrasekaran R, Marttila CM. 1974. Structures for polyinosinic acid and polyguanylic acid. *Biochem J* **141**: 537–543. doi:10.1042/bj1410537
- Bailly RA, Bosselut R, Zucman J, Cormier F, Delattre O, Roussel M, Thomas G, Ghysdael J. 1994. DNA-binding and transcriptional activation properties of the EWS-FLI-1 fusion protein resulting from the t(11;22) translocation in Ewing sarcoma. *Mol Cell Biol* **14**: 3230–3241. doi:10.1128/MCB.14.5.3230
- Bhattacharyya D, Mirihana Arachchilage G, Basu S. 2016. Metal cations in G-quadruplex folding and stability. *Front Chem* **4**: 38. doi:10.3389/fchem.2016.00038
- Biffi G, Tannahill D, McCafferty J, Balasubramanian S. 2013. Quantitative visualization of DNA G-quadruplex structures in human cells. *Nat Chem* **5**: 182–186. doi:10.1038/nchem.1548
- Biffi G, Di Antonio M, Tannahill D, Balasubramanian S. 2014. Visualization and selective chemical targeting of RNA G-quadruplex structures in the cytoplasm of human cells. *Nat Chem* **6**: 75–80. doi:10.1038/nchem.1805
- Black DL. 2003. Mechanisms of alternative pre-messenger RNA splicing. *Annu Rev Biochem* **72**: 291–336. doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.72.121801.161720
- Caputi M, Zahler AM. 2001. Determination of the RNA binding specificity of the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) H/H'/F/2H9 family. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 43850–43859. doi:10.1074/jbc.M102861200
- Chen CD, Kobayashi R, Helfman DM. 1999. Binding of hnRNP H to an exonic splicing silencer is involved in the regulation of alternative splicing of the rat β -tropomyosin gene. *Genes Dev* **13**: 593–606. doi:10.1101/gad.13.5.593
- Chen CY, Yang SC, Lee KH, Yang X, Wei LY, Chow LP, Wang TC, Hong TM, Lin JC, Kuan C, et al. 2014. The antitumor agent PBT-1 directly targets HSP90 and hnRNP A2/B1 and inhibits lung adenocarcinoma growth and metastasis. *J Med Chem* **57**: 677–685. doi:10.1021/jm401686b
- Chettouh H, Fartoux L, Aoudjehane L, Wendum D, Clapron A, Chtien Y, Rey C, Scatton O, Soubrane O, Conti F, et al. 2013. Mitogenic insulin receptor-A is overexpressed in human hepatocellular carcinoma due to EGFR-mediated dysregulation of RNA splicing factors. *Cancer Res* **73**: 3974–3986. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-3824
- Chilka P, Desai N, Datta B. 2019. Small molecule fluorescent probes for G-quadruplex visualization as potential cancer theranostic agents. *Molecules* **24**: 752. doi:10.3390/molecules24040752
- Conlon EG, Lu L, Sharma A, Yamazaki T, Tang T, Shneider NA, Manley JL. 2016. The C9ORF72 GGGGCC expansion forms RNA G-quadruplex inclusions and sequesters hnRNP H to disrupt splicing in ALS brains. *Elife* **5**: e17820. doi:10.7554/eLife.17820
- Crawford JB, Patton JG. 2006. Activation of α -tropomyosin exon 2 is regulated by the SR protein 9G8 and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins H and F. *Mol Cell Biol* **26**: 8791–8802. doi:10.1128/MCB.01677-06
- Davis JT. 2004. G-quartets 40 years later: from 5'-GMP to molecular biology and supramolecular chemistry. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **43**: 668–698. doi:10.1002/anie.200300589
- Decorsiere A, Cayrel A, Vagner S, Millevoi S. 2011. Essential role for the interaction between hnRNP H/F and a G quadruplex in maintaining p53 pre-mRNA 3'-end processing and function during DNA damage. *Genes Dev* **25**: 220–225. doi:10.1101/gad.607011
- Delattre O, Zucman J, Plougastel B, Desmaze C, Melot T, Peter M, Kovar H, Joubert I, de Jong P, Rouleau G, et al. 1992. Gene fusion with an ETS DNA-binding domain caused by chromosome translocation in human tumours. *Nature* **359**: 162–165. doi:10.1038/359162a0
- Domsic JK, Wang Y, Mayeda A, Krainer AR, Stoltzfus CM. 2003. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 hnRNP A/B-dependent exonic splicing silencer ESSV antagonizes binding of U2AF65 to viral polypyrimidine tracts. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**: 8762–8772. doi:10.1128/MCB.23.23.8762-8772.2003
- Expert-Bezanon A, Sureau A, Durosay P, Salesse R, Groeneveld H, Lecaer JP, Marie J. 2004. hnRNP A1 and the SR proteins ASF/SF2 and SC35 have antagonistic functions in splicing of β -tropomyosin exon 6B. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 38249–38259. doi:10.1074/jbc.M405377200
- Fisette JF, Montagna DR, Mihailescu MR, Wolfe MS. 2012. A G-rich element forms a G-quadruplex and regulates BACE1 mRNA alternative splicing. *J Neurochem* **121**: 763–773. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2012.07680.x
- Fried MG. 1989. Measurement of protein-DNA interaction parameters by electrophoresis mobility shift assay. *Electrophoresis* **10**: 366–376. doi:10.1002/elps.1150100515
- Garneau D, Revil T, Fisette JF, Chabot B. 2005. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein F/H proteins modulate the alternative splicing of the apoptotic mediator Bcl-x. *J Biol Chem* **280**: 22641–22650. doi:10.1074/jbc.M501070200
- Gautrey H, Jackson C, Ditttrich AL, Browell D, Lennard T, Tyson-Capper A. 2015. SRSF3 and hnRNP H1 regulate a splicing hotspot of *HER2* in breast cancer cells. *RNA Biol* **12**: 1139–1151. doi:10.1080/15476286.2015.1076610
- Gellert M, Lipsett MN, Davies DR. 1962. Helix formation by guanylic acid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **48**: 2013–2018. doi:10.1073/pnas.48.12.2013
- Geuens T, Bouhy D, Timmerman V. 2016. The hnRNP family: insights into their role in health and disease. *Hum Genet* **135**: 851–867. doi:10.1007/s00439-016-1683-5
- Grohar PJ, Woldemichael GM, Griffin LB, Mendoza A, Chen QR, Yeung C, Currier DG, Davis S, Khanna C, Khan J, et al. 2011. Identification of an inhibitor of the EWS-FLI1 oncogenic transcription factor by high-throughput screening. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **103**: 962–978. doi:10.1093/jnci/djr156
- Grohar PJ, Kim S, Rangel Rivera GO, Sen N, Haddock S, Harlow ML, Maloney NK, Zhu J, O'Neill M, Jones TL, et al. 2016. Functional genomic screening reveals splicing of the EWS-FLI1 fusion transcript as a vulnerability in Ewing sarcoma. *Cell Rep* **14**: 598–610. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.12.063
- Guo JU, Bartel DP. 2016. RNA G-quadruplexes are globally unfolded in eukaryotic cells and depleted in bacteria. *Science* **353**: aaf5371. doi:10.1126/science.aaf5371

- Han K, Yeo G, An P, Burge CB, Grabowski PJ. 2005. A combinatorial code for splicing silencing: UAGG and GGGG motifs. *PLoS Biol* **3**: e158. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030158
- Han N, Li W, Zhang M. 2013. The function of the RNA-binding protein hnRNP in cancer metastasis. *J Cancer Res Ther* **9**: S129–S134. doi:10.4103/0973-1482.122506
- Hawkins DS, Bolling T, Dubois S, Hogendoorn PCW, Jürgens H, Paulussen M, Randall RL, Lessnick SL. 2011. Ewing sarcoma. In *Principles and practice of pediatric oncology* (ed. Pizzo PA, Poplack DG), pp. 987–1014. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA.
- Henderson A, Wu YL, Huang YC, Chavez EA, Platt J, Johnson FB, Brosh RM, Sen D, Lansdorp PM. 2014. Detection of G-quadruplex DNA in mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**: 860–869. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt957
- Howard FB, Frazier J, Miles HT. 1977. Stable and metastable forms of poly(G). *Biopolymers* **16**: 791–809. doi:10.1002/bip.1977.360160407
- Huang H, Zhang J, Harvey SE, Hu X, Cheng C. 2017. RNA G-quadruplex secondary structure promotes alternative splicing via the RNA-binding protein hnRNPF. *Genes Dev* **31**: 2296–2309. doi:10.1101/gad.305862.117
- Huppert JL, Bugaut A, Kumari S, Balasubramanian S. 2008. G-quadruplexes: the beginning and end of UTRs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**: 6260–6268. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn511
- Jacquet S, Méreau A, Bilodeau PS, Damier L, Stoltzfus CM, Branlant C. 2001. A second exon splicing silencer within human immunodeficiency virus type 1 tat exon 2 represses splicing of Tat mRNA and binds protein hnRNP H. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 40464–40475. doi:10.1074/jbc.M104070200
- Jia DW, Davis R, Moparty K, Haque S, Crawford BE, Srivastav SK, Abdel-Mageed A. 2006. hnRNP1, a differentially expressed African American prostate cancer gene induces mitogenic response via a ligand-independent activation of androgen receptor. *J Urol* **175**: 385.
- Katz Y, Wang ET, Airolidi EM, Burge CB. 2010. Analysis and design of RNA sequencing experiments for identifying isoform regulation. *Nat Methods* **7**: 1009–1015. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1528
- Kikin O, D'Antonio L, Bagga PS. 2006. QGRS Mapper: a web-based server for predicting G-quadruplexes in nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**: W676–W682. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl253
- Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. 2013. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol* **14**: R36. doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36
- Kwok CK, Marsico G, Sahakyan AB, Chambers VS, Balasubramanian S. 2016. rG4-seq reveals widespread formation of G-quadruplex structures in the human transcriptome. *Nat Methods* **13**: 841–844. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3965
- Le DD, Di Antonio M, Chan LKM, Balasubramanian S. 2015. G-quadruplex ligands exhibit differential G-tetrad selectivity. *Chem Commun* **51**: 8048–8050. doi:10.1039/C5CC02252E
- LeFave CV, Squatrito M, Vorlova S, Rocco GL, Brennan CW, Holland EC, Pan YX, Cartegni L. 2011. Splicing factor hnRNP1 drives an oncogenic splicing switch in gliomas. *EMBO J* **30**: 4084–4097. doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.259
- Lu YJ, Hu DP, Zhang K, Wong WL, Chow CF. 2016. New pyridinium-based fluorescent dyes: a comparison of symmetry and side-group effects on G-quadruplex DNA binding selectivity and application in live cell imaging. *Biosens Bioelectron* **81**: 373–381. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.03.012
- Manita D, Toba Y, Takakusagi Y, Matsumoto Y, Kusayanagi T, Takakusagi K, Tsukuda S, Takada K, Kanai Y, Kamisuki S, et al. 2011. Camptothecin (CPT) directly binds to human heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 (hnRNP A1) and inhibits the hnRNP A1/topoisomerase I interaction. *Bioorg Med Chem* **19**: 7690–7697. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2011.09.059
- May WA, Lessnick SL, Braun BS, Klemsz M, Lewis BC, Lunsford LB, Hromas R, Denny CT. 1993. The Ewing's sarcoma EWS/FLI-1 fusion gene encodes a more potent transcriptional activator and is a more powerful transforming gene than FLI-1. *Mol Cell Biol* **13**: 7393–7398. doi:10.1128/MCB.13.12.7393
- May WA, Grigoryan RS, Keshelava N, Cabral DJ, Christensen LL, Jenabi J, Ji L, Triche TJ, Lawlor ER, Reynolds CP. 2013. Characterization and drug resistance patterns of Ewing's sarcoma family tumor cell lines. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e80060. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080060
- McLuckie KI, Di Antonio M, Zecchini H, Xian J, Caldas C, Krippendorff BF, Tannahill D, Lowe C, Balasubramanian S. 2013. G-quadruplex DNA as a molecular target for induced synthetic lethality in cancer cells. *J Am Chem Soc* **135**: 9640–9643. doi:10.1021/ja404868t
- Mela I, Kranaster R, Henderson RM, Balasubramanian S, Edvardson JM. 2012. Demonstration of ligand decoration, and ligand-induced perturbation, of G-quadruplexes in a plasmid using atomic force microscopy. *Biochemistry* **51**: 578–585. doi:10.1021/bi201600g
- Morris MJ, Wingate KL, Silwal J, Leeper TC, Basu S. 2012. The porphyrin TmPyP4 unfolds the extremely stable G-quadruplex in MT3-MMP mRNA and alleviates its repressive effect to enhance translation in eukaryotic cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**: 4137–4145. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1308
- Qin M, Chen Z, Luo Q, Wen Y, Zhang N, Jiang H, Yang H. 2015. Two-quartet G-quadruplexes formed by DNA sequences containing four contiguous GG runs. *J Phys Chem B* **119**: 3706–3713. doi:10.1021/jp512914t
- Randazzo A, Spada GP, da Silva MW. 2013. Circular dichroism of quadruplex structures. *Top Curr Chem* **330**: 67–86. doi:10.1007/128_2012_331
- Ren J, Wen L, Gao X, Jin C, Xue Y, Yao X. 2009. DOG 1.0: illustrator of protein domain structures. *Cell Res* **19**: 271–273. doi:10.1038/cr.2009.6
- Rodriguez R, Müller S, Yeoman JA, Trentesaux C, Riou JF, Balasubramanian S. 2008. A novel small molecule that alters shelterin integrity and triggers a DNA-damage response at telomeres. *J Am Chem Soc* **130**: 15758–15759. doi:10.1021/ja805615w
- Shen L, Shao N, Liu X, Nestler E. 2014. ngs.plot: quick mining and visualization of next-generation sequencing data by integrating genomic databases. *BMC Genomics* **15**: 284. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-284
- Smith LD, Dickinson RL, Lucas CM, Cousins A, Malygin AA, Weldon C, Perrett AJ, Bottrill AR, Searle MS, Burley GA, et al. 2014. A targeted oligonucleotide enhancer of SMN2 exon 7 splicing forms competing quadruplex and protein complexes in functional conditions. *Cell Rep* **9**: 193–205. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.08.051
- Tran PL, De Cian A, Gros J, Moriyama R, Mergny JL. 2013. Tetramolecular quadruplex stability and assembly. *Top Curr Chem* **330**: 243–273. doi:10.1007/128_2012_334
- Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, Pimentel H, Salzberg SL, Rinn JL, Pachter L. 2012. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat Protoc* **7**: 562–578. doi:10.1038/nprot.2012.016
- Uren PJ, Bahrami-Samani E, de Araujo PR, Vogel C, Qiao M, Burns SC, Smith AD, Penalva LO. 2016. High-throughput analyses of hnRNP H1 dissects its multi-functional aspect. *RNA Biol* **13**: 400–411. doi:10.1080/15476286.2015.1138030
- Van Dusen CM, Yee L, McNally LM, McNally MT. 2010. A glycine-rich domain of hnRNP H/F promotes nucleocytoplasmic shuttling and

- nuclear import through an interaction with transportin 1. *Mol Cell Biol* **30**: 2552–2562. doi:10.1128/MCB.00230-09
- Van Nostrand EL, Pratt GA, Shishkin AA, Gelboin-Burkhart C, Fang MY, Sundararaman B, Blue SM, Nguyen TB, Surka C, Elkins K, et al. 2016. Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with enhanced CLIP (eCLIP). *Nat Methods* **13**: 508–514. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3810
- Vorlíčková M, Kejnovská I, Sagi J, Renčíuk D, Bednářová K, Motlová J, Kypr J. 2012. Circular dichroism and guanine quadruplexes. *Methods* **57**: 64–75. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2012.03.011
- Wang E, Dimova N, Cambi F. 2007. PLP/DM20 ratio is regulated by hnRNPH and F and a novel G-rich enhancer in oligodendrocytes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**: 4164–4178. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm387
- Williamson JR. 1994. G-quartet structures in telomeric DNA. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* **23**: 703–730. doi:10.1146/annurev.bb.23.060194.003415
- Xu S, Li Q, Xiang J, Yang Q, Sun H, Guan A, Wang L, Liu Y, Yu L, Shi Y, et al. 2015. Directly lighting up RNA G-quadruplexes from test tubes to living human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**: 9575–9586. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1040
- Xu S, Li Q, Xiang J, Yang Q, Sun H, Guan A, Wang L, Liu Y, Yu L, Shi Y, et al. 2016. Thioflavin T as an efficient fluorescence sensor for selective recognition of RNA G-quadruplexes. *Sci Rep* **6**: 24793. doi:10.1038/srep24793
- Zamiri B, Reddy K, Macgregor RB Jr, Pearson CE. 2014. TMPyP4 porphyrin distorts RNA G-quadruplex structures of the disease-associated r(GGGGCC)*n* repeat of the *C9orf72* gene and blocks interaction of RNA-binding proteins. *J Biol Chem* **289**: 4653–4659. doi:10.1074/jbc.C113.502336
- Zimmerman SB, Cohen GH, Davies DR. 1975. X-ray fiber diffraction and model-building study of polyguanylic acid and polyinosinic acid. *J Mol Biol* **92**: 181–192. doi:10.1016/0022-2836(75)90222-3

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

HNRNPH1-dependent splicing of a fusion oncogene reveals a targetable RNA G-quadruplex interaction

Carla Neckles, Robert E. Boer, Nicholas Aborenden, et al.

RNA 2019 25: 1731-1750 originally published online September 11, 2019

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.072454.119](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.072454.119)

Supplemental Material

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2019/09/11/rna.072454.119.DC1>

References

This article cites 67 articles, 18 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/25/12/1731.full.html#ref-list-1>

Open Access

Freely available online through the *RNA* Open Access option.

License

This is a work of the US Government.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>