Multiple miRNAs jointly regulate the biosynthesis of ecdysteroid in the holometabolous insects, *Chilo suppressalis*

KANG HE,^{1,5} YANG SUN,^{2,3,5} HUAMEI XIAO,^{4,5} CHANG GE,² FEI LI,¹ and ZHAOJUN HAN²

¹Ministry of Agriculture Key Lab of Molecular Biology of Crop Pathogens and Insects, Institute of Insect Sciences, College of Agriculture and Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

²Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China

³Institute of Plant Protection, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Science, Nanchang 330200, China

⁴College of Life Sciences and Resource Environment, Yichun University, Yichun 336000, China

ABSTRACT

The accurate rise and fall of active hormones is important for insect development. The ecdysteroids must be cleared in a timely manner. However, the mechanism of suppressing the ecdysteroid biosynthesis at the right time remains unclear. Here, we sequenced a small RNA library of *Chilo suppressalis* and identified 300 miRNAs in this notorious rice insect pest. Microarray analysis yielded 54 differentially expressed miRNAs during metamorphosis development. Target prediction and in vitro dual-luciferase assays confirmed that seven miRNAs (two conserved and five novel miRNAs) jointly targeted three Halloween genes in the ecdysteroid biosynthesis pathway. Overexpression of these seven miRNAs reduced the titer of 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E), induced mortality, and retarded development, which could be rescued by treatment with 20E. Comparative analysis indicated that the miRNA regulation of metamorphosis development is a conserved process but that the miRNAs involved are highly divergent. In all, we present evidence that both conserved and lineage-specific miRNAs have crucial roles in regulating development in insects by controlling ecdysteroid biosynthesis, which is important for ensuring developmental convergence and evolutionary diversity.

Keywords: insect; miRNA; metamorphosis; development; ecdysteroid

INTRODUCTION

Insect metamorphosis is one of the most successful biological strategies to exploit various food resources and habitats (Truman and Riddiford 1999; Bishop et al. 2006) and may be one of the primary reasons that insects have become the largest group of animals, accounting for more than 90% of the animal species on Earth (Mora et al. 2011). The endocrine regulation of metamorphosis development has been well studied. In brief, ecdysteroids induce moulting into a new instar of larvae/nymph in the presence of juvenile hormones (JHs). However, a large ecdysteroid peak drives pupal differentiation for holometabolous insects or adult differentiation for hemimetabolous insects in the absence of JH (Ismail et al. 2000; Riddiford 2012). Tens of genes are activated by these two families of hormones in opposing ways to finely regulate the development of insect metamorphosis (Shinoda and Itoyama 2003; Consoulas et al. 2005;

Goodisman et al. 2005; Margam et al. 2006). Therefore, the timing of the appearance of ecdysteroids and JHs is important in determining developmental transition. The biosynthesis pathways of ecdysteroids and JH have been well characterized (Christiaens et al. 2010; Yamazaki et al. 2011; Shahzad et al. 2015; Zhu et al. 2017).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous noncoding RNAs that block the translation of messenger RNAs (mRNA) or promote the mRNA degradation by targeting the 3'-untranslated regions (3'UTR) of messenger RNAs (mRNA) (Pasquinelli et al. 2000). It has also been reported that miRNAs can target the 5'-UTR or coding regions (CDS) of mRNA, activating the transcription of target genes (Zhou et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2014; He et al. 2016). At present, 3119 miRNA precursors corresponding to 3824 mature miRNAs have been identified in 26 insects and deposited in miRBase (Ylla et al. 2016). It should be noticed that 1351 miRNAs were from 12 *Drosophila* species, which accounted for about half of known insect miRNAs (Kozomara and

⁵These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author: lifei18@zju.edu.cn

Article is online at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.061408.117>. Freely available online through the RNA Open Access option.

© 2017 He et al. This article, published in RNA, is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Griffiths-Jones 2014). Many studies to elucidate the functions of miRNAs in regulating a variety of insect physiological processes have been carried out, such as in metamorphosis development (Sokol et al. 2008; Gomez-Orte and Belles 2009; Ling et al. 2014; Belles 2017; Wu et al. 2017), cell growth (Wang et al. 2010), behavior (Cohen et al. 2017), sex determination (McJunkin and Ambros 2017), oogenesis (Ge et al. 2015), embryogenesis (Jannot et al. 2016), immunity (Zhu et al. 2013), insect–pathogen interactions (Hussain and Asgari 2010; Asgari 2013; Wu et al. 2016), etc. Several miRNAs have been reported to regulate insect metamorphosis by targeting genes either in the ecdysone cascade or the JH cascade (Zhang et al. 2009; Belles 2017). In *Bombyx mori*, *Bmo-miR-281* participates in developmental regulation by suppressing the *BmEcR-B* isoform but not *BmEcR-A* in the malpighian tubules (Jiang et al. 2013). A miRNA sponge construct targeting *Bmo-let-7* was introduced into transgenic silkworms combined with the binary GAL4/UAS system, showing that a lower level of *Bmo-let-7* possibly induced developmental arrest by targeting *FTZ-F1* and *Eip74EF* (*E74*) in the ecdysone pathway (Ling et al. 2014). An expression profile analysis of 24 miRNAs in *Drosophila melanogaster* found that seven miRNAs were either up-regulated or down-regulated, and of these, the up-regulation of three miRNAs (*let-7*, *miR-100*, and *miR-125*) in the *let-7-Complex* (*let-7C*) and down-regulation of *miR-34* were mediated by the hormone ecdysone (Caygill and Johnston 2008). *Let-7C* is essential to the appropriate remodeling of the abdominal neuromusculature during the larval-to-adult transition in *Drosophila* (Sokol et al. 2008; Tennessen and Thummel 2008). Another *Drosophila* miRNA, *miR-14*, modulates the positive autoregulatory loop that controls ecdysteroid signaling and has a key role in regulating metamorphosis (Varghese and Cohen 2007). The functions of miRNA in the developmental regulation of the hemimetabolous cockroach *Blattella germanica* were elucidated by an elegant experiment design. The silencing of *BgDicer-1* depletes miRNA expression and induces the nymphoid features in the next moult, suggesting that interfering with *BgDicer-1* results in an increase of JH production (Gomez-Orte and Belles 2009). Further experiments proved that the depletion of *BgDicer-1* induces the up-regulation of *Krüppel* homologue 1 (*Kr-h1*), which is the target of the miR-2 family miRNAs (*miR-2*, *miR13a*, and *miR-13b*), indicating that the miR-2 family participates in the regulation of metamorphosis development in *B. germanica* (Lozano et al. 2015). Silencing of *Dicer-1* in the migratory locust *Locusta migratoria* also interfered with the nymph–nymph and nymph–adult transition (Wang et al. 2013). *Lmi-miR-133* mediates phenotypic plasticity by suppressing *henna* and *pale*, genes in the dopamine synthesis pathway (Yang et al. 2014). In the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens*, *Nlu-miR-8-5p* and *Nlu-miR-2a-3p* were negatively regulated by ecdysone via *BR-C*. These two miRNAs target genes in the chitin biosynthesis pathway, the membrane-bound *trehalase* (*Tre-2*) and *phosphoacetylglucosamine mutase*

(*PAGM*), inducing defective moulting and high mortality (Chen et al. 2013). In the beet armyworm *Spodoptera exigua*, *Sex-miR-4924* regulates the larval development and moulting by targeting *chitinase 1* (Zhang et al. 2015). Some lineage-specific miRNAs such as *ael-miR-2942* in *Aedes albopictus* and *miR-2768* in lepidopterans were also reported to have key roles in insect development (Puthiyakunnon et al. 2013).

Most of these studies were conducted with model insects, and little is understood about miRNA functionality in metamorphosis development in rice insect pests even though hundreds of miRNAs have been identified in *Nilaparvata lugens*, *Sogatella furcifera*, and *C. suppressalis* (Xu et al. 2013; Yin et al. 2014; Chang et al. 2016). The rice stem borer, *C. suppressalis*, is one of the most destructive rice pests. *C. suppressalis* larvae feed on rice stems and cause huge yield losses. Understanding the regulatory function of miRNA in this notorious pest would be greatly helpful for developing alternative methods for pest control. We have sequenced the *C. suppressalis* genome and annotated an official set of protein-coding genes (Yin et al. 2014). However, information on small noncoding RNA was still insufficient. While *C. suppressalis* genome sequences can be used to find both conserved and novel miRNAs, sequencing of small RNAs is still necessary to identify the miRNAs in *C. suppressalis*. Here, we identified 300 *C. suppressalis* miRNAs and found that seven targeted the ecdysteroid biosynthesis pathway. These miRNAs ensure the accurate and timely removal of ecdysteroids and jointly modulate the *C. suppressalis* larvae–larvae, larvae–pupa, and pupa–adult transitions by targeting multiple genes in the ecdysone cascade.

RESULTS

Three hundred miRNAs were identified in *C. suppressalis*

To maximize our knowledge of miRNAs in *C. suppressalis*, we constructed a small RNA library from a pooled sample by mixing eggs, the first- to the fifth-instar larvae, pupae, and adults. The small RNA library was sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform (San Diego). A total of 17,257,411 raw reads was obtained. Low-quality and short reads, contaminants and adapter-null reads were removed, yielding 15,941,971 clean reads (Supplemental Table S1). A length analysis showed that the clean reads had a distribution peak from 20–28 nt. Reads with a conventional miRNA length of 22–24 nt accounted for 36.68% (Fig. 1A). To identify known noncoding RNAs, all reads were used to Blast against the nr database of the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and the Rfam database. The reads that shared high sequence similarities with known noncoding RNA (the cutoff was $e < 0.01$) were treated as the putative noncoding RNA and were removed. The results indicated that only 2.56% were ribosomal RNA (rRNA), along with 0.56% transfer RNA (tRNA), 0.05% small nuclear RNA

FIGURE 1. Small RNA library sequencing and annotation. (A) Length distribution of small RNA reads. The reads have peaks at 22–24 nt and 26–29 nt, accounting for 36.68% and 24.49% of the total reads, respectively. These two peaks were in accordance with the length characterization of miRNA and piRNA, respectively. (B) Annotation of small RNAs. Among the total small RNAs, rRNA accounts for 2.56%. The percentages of each type of sRNAs are indicated in brackets.

(snRNA), and 0.01% small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA). The low percentage of rRNA reads suggested that the small RNA library was of high quality with little degradation (Fig. 1B). Then, we used two methods to identify miRNAs: homology-based searching against the miRBase, which found conserved miRNAs, and miRdeep (Friedländer et al. 2008) to predict miRNAs with the assistance of the *C. suppressalis* genome. After the removal of redundant reads, a total of 300 miRNAs were identified in *C. suppressalis*, of which 103 were conserved and 197 were novel (Supplemental Table S2).

Temporal expression of miRNAs in *C. suppressalis*

A customized small RNA μ Paraflo microarray was used to investigate the expression profile of *C. suppressalis* miRNAs at seven developmental stages, including the last-instar larval, prepupal, early pupal, compound eye formation, pretarsal formation, pupal elongation, and adult stages. These stages cover the larvae–pupa transition, pupa development, and the pupa–adult transition. The 5S rRNA was used as an internal positive control. The blank and the probes that did not share sequence similarities with known *C. suppressalis* small RNA sequences were used as the negative control. All microarray chips were repeated for three times. The small RNA microarray data were normalized with locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS) (Berger et al. 2004). The results indicated that 54 *C. suppressalis* miRNAs were differentially expressed during pupal de-

velopment (t -test, $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table S3). Among these miRNAs, 21 differentially expressed miRNAs had a high fluorescence signal (>500) on the microarray on at least one development time point. Among these highly expressed miRNAs, 18 were conserved among insects and three were novel. Five miRNAs were highly and specifically expressed at the last-instar larvae and four at the prepupal stage, one at the early pupa stage, four at the compound eye formation stage, one at the pupal elongation stage, and six at the adult stage (Fig. 2B).

To ensure the reliability of the microarray data, we randomly selected 10 miRNAs and confirmed their expression via quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). The expression trends of all tested miRNAs were consistent with the gene chip analysis, suggesting the high reliability of the microarray data (Fig. 3). The expression of these miRNAs can be classified into four categories: (i) a gradual decrease from the last-instar larva to adult, e.g., *Csu-miR-275* and *Csu-miR-6094*; (ii) a decrease at the early pupa stage followed by an increase at the late pupa to the adult stage, e.g., *Csu-miR-34* and *Csu-miR-281-5p*; (iii) an increase at the early pupa stage followed by a decrease at the late pupa to the adult stage, e.g., *Csu-miR-263a*, *Csu-miR-2779*, and *Csu-novel-193*; and (iv) a continual increase from the last-instar larval to the adult stage, e.g., *Csu-miR-14*, *Csu-miR-277*, and *Csu-miR-989a*. The obvious changes in the abundance of these mature miRNAs suggest they might have important roles in regulating the development of metamorphosis.

FIGURE 2. Differently expressed miRNAs during pupation, pupal development, and eclosion in *C. suppressalis* by microarray analysis. (A) Heat map for 54 differentially expressed miRNAs with significant differences ($P < 0.05$). The selected seven development points were ageing larval, prepupal, early pupal, compound eye formation, pretarsus formation, pupal elongation, and adult stages. The microarray data were clustered after normalization by LOWESS (locally weighted regression). The statistical analysis was conducted with ANOVA t -test. (B) Six miRNA expression patterns in *C. suppressalis*. Highly expressed miRNAs with signal value more than 500 at more than one time point are shown. The miRNA abundance was normalized to the highest value.

The differentially expressed miRNAs target the ecdysone biosynthesis pathway

The 3'UTRs of *C. suppressalis* messenger RNA (mRNA) genes were identified by analyzing the transcriptome data. The cod-

ing region of assembled transcripts was predicted using TransDecoder (<https://transdecoder.github.io>) with the default parameters. Then we wrote a Perl script to extract the 3'UTRs of mRNA (>18 bp) in *C. suppressalis*. Although the predicted 3'UTRs were not intact, we still predicted the targets

FIGURE 3. qRT-PCR validation of 10 randomly selected miRNAs. Three biological replicates and three technical replicates were performed. The values are the average $\pm$ SD. The microarray signal values are also shown to compare with qPCR results, suggesting the high reliability of microarray analysis.

of all 54 differentially expressed miRNAs with miRanda v3.0, yielding 1403 mRNA targets of 54 differentially expressed miRNAs. The differentially expressed miRNAs in the last-instar larvae targeted 494 mRNA genes, and there were 303, 77, 426, 91, and 725 specific target genes at the prepupal, early pupal, compound eye formation, pupal elongation, and adult stages. We carried out a Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of these target genes and did not find any significant differences between the various developmental time points (Fig. 4A). A Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis indicated that the target genes were enriched in 42 pathways (Table 1). At the early pupa stage, the genes in the amino acid metabolism, glycan biosynthesis, and metabolism and carbohydrate metabolism pathways were significantly enriched (t -test, $P < 0.05$), suggesting that the biosynthesis and metabolism of amino acids, glycan, and carbohydrates were down-regulated. However, at the adult stage, the genes in the endocrine system and genetic information processing pathways were the targets of differentially expressed miRNAs.

The genes in the ecdysteroid biosynthesis pathway were specifically enriched (Fig. 4B), which was very interesting, as the hormone directly regulates the development of metamorphosis. We tried to amplify the intact 3'UTRs of all seven genes in the pathway, including *Neverland* (*Nvd*), *Spook* (*Spo*), *Phantom* (*Phm*), *disembodied* (*Dib*), *Sad*, *Shade* (*Shd*), and *Cyp18A1*, with rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). The intact 3'UTRs of six genes except for *Shd* were successfully obtained (Supplemental Table S4). To increase the reliability of target prediction, we used five software packages to predict the miRNA targets again: miRanda v3.0, TargetScan v7.0, RNAhybrid, Microtar, and PITA v6.0. The genes that could be predicted by at least four packages were retained, indicating that nine miRNAs (*Csui-miR-9b*, *Csui-Bantam*, *Csui-miR-8*, *Csui-novel-80*, *Csui-novel-89*, *Csui-novel-124*, *Csui-novel-239*, *Csui-novel-257*, and *Csui-novel-260*) tar-

geted the three Halloween genes, *CsuiNvd*, *CsuiSpo*, and *CsuiDib* (Fig. 4C).

The three Halloween genes in the ecdysone biosynthesis pathway are the targets of seven miRNAs

To confirm the interactions between the 10 miRNAs and the three Halloween genes, we performed a reporter assay using luciferase constructs. The 3'UTRs of the three target genes were introduced into the pMIR-REPORT vector downstream from a firefly luciferase gene. The constructs were transfected into HEK293T cells. Compared to constructs that did not contain the 3'UTRs of the target genes (i.e., the negative controls), the luciferase reporter activity of seven constructs was significantly reduced in the presence of the corresponding miRNA mimics. The results confirmed that *Csui-miR-9b* targeted *CsuiNvd* (Fig. 5A) and *Csui-novel-260* targeted *CsuiDib* (Fig. 5B). Five miRNAs (*Csui-Bantam*, *Csui-novel-154*, *Csui-novel-80*, *Csui-novel-89*, and *Csui-novel-257*) were confirmed to interact with the 3'UTRs of *CsuiSpo* (Fig. 5C). The target sites of the miRNAs in the 3'UTRs of these three genes are shown in Figure 5D. These results suggested that miRNAs had key roles in regulating the biosynthesis of ecdysteroids in *C. suppressalis*.

Seven miRNAs control ecdysone production

Because *CsuiNvd*, *CsuiSpo*, and *CsuiDib* are essential genes for ecdysteroid synthesis, we determined the effect of these miRNAs by in vivo overexpression and knockdown experiments. These seven miRNAs showed low expression at the fourth day in the sixth-instar larvae. When the mixture of the agomir (i.e., the synthetic mature miRNA mimics with modifications of their 2'-methoxy groups and phosphorothioates) or the antagomir (i.e., the modified synthetic miRNA inhibitors) of these seven miRNAs was injected into

FIGURE 4. The miRNA target prediction and enrichment analysis. (A) KEGG pathway analysis of 1351 target genes of 54 differentially expressed miRNAs, showing that pathways of signal transduction were specifically enriched. (B) Abundant genes enriched in some signal transduction pathways such as insect hormone biosynthesis. (C) Ten miRNAs were predicted to target the three Halloween genes. Five software packages (miRanda, TargetScan, RNAhybrid, Microtar, and PITA) were used to predict miRNA target. The genes predicted by at least four of the software packages were kept for further analysis.

the rice stem borer, all of the treated individuals were dead within 24 h. Therefore, we overexpressed and knocked down the miRNAs grouped by target. *Csu-miR-9b*, *Csu-novel-260*, and the mixture of five miRNAs that targeted *CsuSpo* were injected on the fourth day into the sixth-instar larvae to generate three agomir-treated groups. The abundance of the corresponding miRNAs in the larvae injected with the agomirs was significantly increased (t -test, $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 6A). We tried to synthesize antibodies against *CsuNVD*, *CsuDIB*, and *CsuSPO*, but unfortunately, only one antibody against *CsuSPO* was obtained. A Western blot analysis indicated that the protein level of *CsuSPO* was reduced in the agomir-treated larvae (Fig. 6B). We determined the titer of 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E) in the agomir-treated groups and found that the 20E titers were significantly reduced (t -test, $P < 0.05$) in all three groups, suggesting that the suppression of any one target gene affected the biosynthesis of ecdysone (Fig. 6C).

Seven miRNAs regulate the development of the metamorphosis of *C. suppressalis*

As ecdysones control insect metamorphosis, we reasoned that these seven miRNAs might be involved in regulating the development of the rice stem borer; therefore, we observed the development phenotypes of the larvae in the agomir- or antagomir-treated groups from 24 h to 192 h post-injection. A significantly high percentage of mortality was observed in the *Csu-miR-9b* and *Csu-novel-260* mimics-treated groups compared with the control. However, a low mortality percentage was observed in the mixed mimics of the five miRNAs that targeted *CsuSpo* (Fig. 6D). Compared to the control, all three agomir-treated groups showed significantly low pupation rates (Fig. 6E). Unexpectedly, we found that the mixture of five miRNAs was less effective than *Csu-miR-9b* or *Csu-novel-260*. Injection of the mixture of the five miRNAs

TABLE 1. KEGG pathway analysis of the predicted 1351 target genes

Level I	Level II	Number	Percentage (%)
Metabolism	Global and overview maps	41	2.31
	Carbohydrate metabolism ^a	91	5.13
	Energy metabolism	26	1.47
	Lipid metabolism	55	3.10
	Nucleotide metabolism ^b	28	1.58
	Amino acid metabolism	58	3.27
	Metabolism of other amino acids	22	1.24
	Glycan biosynthesis and metabolism	15	0.85
	Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins	19	1.07
	Metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides ^b	11	0.62
	Biosynthesis of other secondary metabolites	9	0.51
	Xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism	12	0.68
	Transcription ^b	34	1.92
Genetic information process	Translation	53	2.99
	Folding, sorting, and degradation	50	2.82
	Replication and repair	17	0.96
	Membrane transport ^b	4	0.23
Environmental information process	Signal transduction ^a	238	13.4
	Signaling molecules and interaction	9	0.51
	Transport and catabolism	61	3.44
Cellular process	Cell motility ^b	17	0.96
	Cell growth and death	27	1.52
	Cellular community	51	2.87
	Immune system ^a	90	5.07
Organismal systems	Endocrine system ^a	106	5.98
	Circulatory system ^b	21	1.18
	Digestive system	26	1.47
	Excretory system	13	0.73
	Nervous system ^a	84	4.74
	Sensory system	13	0.73
	Development	25	1.41
	Environmental adaptation	16	0.90
	Cancers: overview ^a	95	5.36
	Cancers: specific types ^a	97	5.47
Human diseases	Immune diseases	7	0.39
	Neurodegenerative diseases	51	2.87
	Substance dependence ^b	19	1.07
	Cardiovascular diseases	8	0.45
	Endocrine and metabolic diseases ^b	11	0.62
	Infectious diseases: bacterial	56	3.16
	Infectious diseases: viral	62	3.49
	Infectious diseases: parasitic	26	1.47

^aLevel II pathway with most abundant target genes by KEGG analysis.

^bMore than half of the target genes in the pathway are enriched in a specific pathway, such as “purine metabolism” (ko00230) in pathway of “nucleotide metabolism,” “insect hormone biosynthesis” (ko00981) in pathway of “metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides,” “spliceosome” (ko03040) in pathway of “transcription,” “ABC transporters” (ko02010) in pathway of “membrane transport,” “regulation of actin cytoskeleton” (ko04810) in pathway of “cell motility,” “vascular smooth muscle contraction” (ko04270) in pathway of “circulatory system,” “alcoholism” (ko05304) in pathway of “substance dependence” and “nonalcoholic fatty liver disease” (ko04932) in pathway of “endocrine and metabolic diseases.”

affected pupation but was associated with only a very low mortality. In contrast, injection of the agomiR-9b induced high mortality and pupation failure. AgomiR-260 had a similar effect on the mortality and development defects. These results suggested that *Csu-miR-9b* and *Csu-novel-260* suppress their targets more efficiently than the other five miRNAs. Compared with the control group, the survivors in all three agomir-treated groups showed a high percentage

of a pigmentation disorder with melanization, retarded development, and malformation, which resembled the phenotype of ecdysteroid-defective organisms (Fig. 7). Knockdown of the *Csu-miR-9b* at the third day of the fifth rice stem borer instar before its peak expression induced high mortality compared with the control (Fig. 8A). However, injecting inhibitors against the five miRNAs that targeted *CsuSpo* had no effect on survival or development, suggesting that they might

FIGURE 5. In vitro dual luciferase reporter assays of miRNA-mRNA interactions in *C. suppressalis*. The mean $\pm$ SEM of the relative luciferase expression ratio (firefly luciferase/*Renilla* luciferase, Luc/R-luc) was calculated for three biological replicates, and compared with the negative control (NC), miRNA mimics treatment. All data were analyzed with Dunnett's multiple comparison after an ANOVA ([***] $P < 0.001$). (A) Dual luciferase reporter assays of *Csu-miR-9b* and *Csu-novel-239*, showing that *Csu-miR-9b* can target at *Csu-nvd*. (B) Dual luciferase reporter assays of *Csu-novel-260* confirmed its miRNA-mRNA interaction relationship between *Csu-novel-260* and *CsuDib*. (C) Dual luciferase reporter assays of *Csu-Bantam*, *Csu-miR-8*, *Csu-novel-80*, *Csu-novel-89*, *Csu-novel-124*, *Csu-novel-154*, and *Csu-novel-257*, showing that five miRNAs except for *Csu-miR-8* and *Csu-novel-124* can efficiently target *CsuSpo*. (D) The miRNAs target sites predicted in the *CsuNvd*, *CsuSpo*, and *CsuDib* of *C. suppressalis*. Five miRNAs were confirmed to interact with *CsuSpo*.

have a minor impact on the organism (Fig. 8B). Knocking down the *Csu-novel-260* at the second day of pupation led to premature eclosion (Fig. 8C). Although injecting the mixture of inhibitors of all seven miRNAs resulted in the death of almost all treated individuals, knockdown of the miRNAs grouped by target did not cause any apparent developmental defects or malformation (Fig. 8D), suggesting that the miRNA regulation of ecdysone biosynthesis is more complex than expected.

To ensure that the developmental defects in the agomir-treated group were the direct effect of the reduced ecdysteroid levels caused by the miRNA mimics, we performed rescue experiments by topical application. We dropped 0.25 μ L 20E (1 ng/ μ L in acetone) on the pronotum of individuals in the ago-

mir-treated groups. A drop of 0.25 μ L acetone was used as the control. As expected, the results indicated that the developmental defects were rescued by the application of 20E, showing low mortality, a high pupation rate, and a low percentage of malformation compared with those of the agomir-treated group (Fig. 6D). However, mortality remained high in the agomir-9b group, with ~40% individuals dead within 24 h, suggesting that *Csu-miR-9b* had a very strong effect.

Modulating ecdysone biosynthesis requires varied miRNAs at different development time points

We investigated the expression profile of the seven miRNAs with the small RNA microarray and found that these

FIGURE 6. The overexpression of seven miRNAs shows that these miRNAs control the ecdysteroid biosynthesis. (A) The abundance of the seven miRNAs was significantly elevated 24 h after injecting the agomir mimics on day 4 of the sixth-instar larvae. (B) A Western blot analysis confirmed that the protein level of CsuSPOOK was significantly reduced at 24 h after injection with miRNA mimics. A slightly weak band with a molecular weight of 61.3 kDa was detected with a polypeptide antibody, and actin was used as the control. (C) The determination of the 20E titer at 24 and 48 h after injection with the miRNA mimics, showing that the 20E titer was significantly reduced in the presence of the miRNA mimics. (D) The miRNA mimic treatment induced high mortality compared with that of the control. The rescue experiments treated with 0.25 ng 20E successfully reduced the mortality, suggesting that the high mortalities were caused by reduced ecdysteroids. (E) The pupation rates of miRNA-mimics-treated larvae were significantly reduced, which can be rescued by 20E.

miRNAs were generally coexpressed with their target genes (Fig. 9A). However, these miRNAs had different expression patterns. In the small RNA microarray, *Csu-miR-9b* was highly expressed at the prepupal, early pupal, and compound eye formation stages. Of the five miRNAs that targeted *CsuSpo*, *Csu-Bantam*, *Csu-novel-89*, and *Csu-novel-80* showed a similar expression pattern but *Csu-novel-257* and *Csu-novel-154* were different. *Csu-novel-260* that targeted *Csu-Dib* was highly expressed at the prepupal stage, but its expression was lower during the other stages.

To further clarify the expression of these miRNAs, we investigated the miRNA abundance each day during the fifth- and sixth-instar larval and pupal stages. The results confirmed that these seven miRNAs had different expression patterns (Fig. 9B). *Csu-miR-9b* was specifically highly expressed in the fifth-instar larvae, while *Csu-novel-260* and *Csu-novel-257* were abundant only during the middle and late pupal stages. *Csu-Bantam*, *Csu-novel-80*, and *Csu-novel-154* were universally expressed but primarily abundant during the

middle of each stage. The abundance of *Csu-novel-89* had an apparent peak at the middle pupal stage. The dissimilar expression patterns of these seven miRNAs indicated that different miRNAs participate in the regulation of ecdysone biosynthesis.

The miRNA regulation of the development of metamorphosis is lineage specific

We confirmed that the seven miRNAs involved in the development of metamorphosis in *C. suppressalis* form a mRNA-miRNA regulatory network (Fig. 10). To address whether miRNA-mediated regulation is a conserved process, we carried out a comparative analysis of the miRNAs involved. Of these seven miRNAs, *Bantam* and *miR-9b* were conserved in insects (Supplemental Fig. S1a,b), but the other five miRNAs (i.e., *miR-257*, *miR-260*, *miR-80*, *miR-89*, and *miR-154*) had no homologues in miRBase. We investigated the expression of the seven miRNAs on the microarray and

FIGURE 7. Morphological traits of agomir-treated individuals at moulting and pupation at 144 h after injection of mimics. (A) Mimics-treated groups showed abnormal development. (B) Rescue groups of *Csu-novel-260* and five miRNA mixture that were treated with 0.25 ng 20E showed normal development. However, the malformations in the *Csu-miR-9b* group were not well rescued by 20E. (C) Abnormal prepupae of *C. suppressalis* after treatment with *Csu-miR-9b* mimics. (D) The abnormal prepupae with an abdomen of dehydration but a “larvae” head as indicated by a black arrow. (E) The negative control treated with agomir with a random shuffled sequence.

found that the conserved *Csu-Bantam* and *Csu-miR-9b* were apparently more abundant than the other five newly emerged miRNAs. This was consistent with previous reports that conserved miRNAs were generally more highly expressed than newly emergent miRNAs (Ason et al. 2006). We used the mature sequences of these five miRNAs to identify their homologous in 10 available Lepidopteran genomes with previously reported methods (Wang et al. 2005; Jia et al. 2010). When an unrestricted cutoff was set as ≤ 3 mismatches, homologous sequences of *Csu-novel-80* could be found in all ten lepidopterans (Supplemental Fig. S1c); however, *Csu-novel-89* had homologs in eight insects except for *Papilio polytes* and *Plutella xylostella* (Supplemental Fig. S1d). The homologs of *Csu-novel-154* were found in *P. polytes* and *Danaus plexippus* (Supplemental Fig. S1e). From an evolutionary perspective, the navel orangeworm *Amyelois transitella* is the insect closest to *C. suppressalis* in the Pyralidae family in which these genome sequences were present. Homologous sequences of the three Chilo miRNAs *Csu-novel-89*, *Csu-novel-80*, and *Csu-novel-257* were found in this insect. We used a computational pipeline to predict whether the homologous sequences in these lepidopteran insects were from actual miRNA precursors (Wang et al. 2005; Jia et al. 2010). The results indicated that only *Mse-miR-89* in *Manduca sexta* was predicted to be a true miRNA (Supplemental Fig. S1d), suggesting that the miRNAs *Csu-novel-80*, *Csu-novel-154*, and *Csu-novel-257* were novel miRNAs that specifically existed in the Chilo lin-

age of Lepidoptera (Supplemental Fig. S1c,e,f). Moreover, we did not find any homologous sequences of *Csu-novel-260* in non-Chilo insects, which showed that this miRNA might be *Chilo* specific or even species specific. These findings suggest that ecdysone biosynthesis in *C. suppressalis* is jointly regulated by diverse miRNAs.

We then turned our attention to the miRNA regulation of ecdysone biosynthesis in the fruit fly *D. melanogaster* and the silkworm *B. mori*, as the 3'UTRs of the genes in the ecdysone biosynthesis pathway were available in these two model organisms (Supplemental Table S5). A similar strategy was used to predict the miRNA-mRNA interactions with five software packages (i.e., miRanda v3.0, TargetScan v7.

FIGURE 8. The mortality and phenotype observation of tested individuals treated with antagomir of miRNAs. (A) Mortality rate of *C. suppressalis* larvae after injection with 100 pmol antagomir-9b on L5D3, suggesting that the knockdown of *Csu-miR-9b* induced a slightly high mortality. (B) Mortality rate after treatment with a mixture of five antagomir inhibitors on L6D2. The joint knockdown of five miRNAs did not induce a high mortality compared with that of the control. (C) The eclosion rate of *C. suppressalis* pupae after injection with 100 pmol antagomir-260 inhibitor on the second day of pupation. The eclosion rate was slightly reduced by the antagomir. (D) The development phenotype of antagomir-treated individuals, showing that knockdown of miRNAs did not induce apparent developmental defects compared with the control.

existing ecdysteroids by enzymes such as CYP18A1 (Guittard et al. 2011) and the suppression of the biosynthesis of the new ecdysteroids. Several ways of inactivating the existing ecdysteroids such as hydroxylation and conjugation have been identified (Meister et al. 1985; You 2004), suggesting that ecdysteroid inactivation processes are redundant in animals to ensure the accurate “fall” of active hormones. Here, we showed that miRNA is an efficient cleanser of ecdysteroids in *C. suppressalis*. The *in vivo* overexpression/knockdown of these seven miRNAs grouped by their targets led to a decrease in the 20E content and apparent phenotypic changes, suggesting that miRNA regulation is an efficient but little studied way to ensure the effective clearing of active hormones.

The function of miRNAs in developmental regulation has been well studied (Belles et al. 2011; Asgari 2013; Lucas and Raikhel 2013). Tens of miRNAs have been reported to be involved in metamorphosis development in insects, including *Dme-let-7*, *Dme-miR-100*, *Dme-miR-125*, *Dme-miR-34*, and *Dme-miR-14* in *D. melanogaster* (Varghese and Cohen 2007; Caygill and Johnston 2008; Sokol et al. 2008; Tennessen and Thummel 2008); *Bmo-let-7* and *Bmo-miR-281* in *B. mori* (Zhang et al. 2009; Jiang et al. 2013); the

miR-2 family (*Bge-miR-2*, *Bge-miR-13a*, and *Bge-miR-13b*) in the cockroach *B. germanica* (Lozano et al. 2015); *Nlu-miR-8-5p* and *Nlu-miR-2a-3p* in the rice planthopper *N. lugens* (Chen et al. 2013); *Sex-miR-4924* in the beet armyworm *S. exigua* (Zhang et al. 2015); and *Aael-miR-2942* in the mosquito *A. albopictus* (Puthiyakunnon et al. 2013). Most targets of these miRNAs were hormone-inducible genes. Here, to the best of our knowledge, we found for the first time that miRNAs directly regulate the genes responsible for ecdysteroid biosynthesis, which ensure the timely clearance of the ecdysteroids. miRNA-mediated regulation of metamorphosis development has been reported in many insects, including fruit fly, silkworm, rice planthopper, cockroach, beet armyworm, rice stem borer, and others (Jiang et al. 2013; Rubio and Belles 2013; Ling et al. 2014; Lozano et al. 2015). Several conserved miRNAs such as *let-7*, *Bantam*, and *miR-14* have been reported to participate in the regulation cascade (Caygill and Johnston 2008; Tennessen and Thummel 2008; Kucherenko et al. 2012). However, some nonconserved miRNAs are also involved. We predicted the miRNA-mRNA interactions associated with ecdysteroid biosynthesis in *D. melanogaster* and *B. mori*, indicating that the miRNAs involved were very

FIGURE 9. The expression patterns of seven miRNAs as estimated by microarray and qRT-PCR. (A) The expression of miRNAs and their target genes determined by microarray, showing that the miRNAs were generally coexpressed with their target genes. (B) The expression profiles of seven miRNAs at different developmental stages from every day of the fifth-instar larvae, the sixth-instar larvae, and pupae in *C. suppressalis*. The values are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM determined by three biological replicates. All data were analyzed with Dunnett's multiple comparison with (*) at 0.05 and (**) at 0.01 significance level. The results indicated that these seven miRNAs have different expression patterns.

different. Several conserved miRNAs were found, but most miRNAs were new and young without homologs in other insects, suggesting that developmental regulation requires both “old” and “young” miRNAs in insects. During the divergence of hemimetabolous and holometabolous insects, a rapid miRNA turnover and an episode of miRNA fixation occurred. Many lineage-specific or species-specific young miRNAs appeared (Tarver et al. 2013). These young miRNAs participate in various biological processes. Our work proved that some young miRNAs are involved in the metamorphosis development regulation, which should be important to enhance the adaptation ability with plasticity. Lineage-specific miRNA regulation of metamorphosis development has been less understood. It has been reported that *miR-281* targets the *EcR* and regulates development specifically in silkworm (Jiang et al. 2013). The lineage-specific miRNA *miR-2768* in *A. albopictus* participated in the regulation of lepidopteran developmental (Puthiyakunnon et al. 2013).

We also found that these seven miRNAs were not coexpressed, suggesting that different miRNAs were required at specific life stages of *C. suppressalis*. *Csu-miR-9b*, *Csu-Bantam*, and *Csu-novel-80* were responsible for the larval–larval transition; *Csu-Bantam*, *Csu-novel-80*, and *Csu-novel-89* were involved in the moulting process; and five miRNAs (*Csu-Bantam*, *Csu-novel-89*, *Csu-novel-154*, *Csu-novel-257*, and *Csu-novel-260*) participated in pupal development and eclosion. The conserved miRNA *Bantam* was involved in all three metamorphosis processes. In contrast, the young miRNAs were involved in only one or two processes.

In summary, we present evidence that both conserved and lineage-specific miRNAs participate in regulating metamorphosis development in insects by directly controlling the ecdysteroid biosynthesis. This joint regulation network should be important for ensuring developmental stability, convergence, and evolutionary diversity of insects.

FIGURE 10. The model of multiple miRNA-mediated regulation of ecdysteroid biosynthesis, which controls the moulting and pupation in striped rice stem borer. *Csu-miR-9b* might block the cholesterol dehydrogenation by targeting *CsuNvd*, but *Csu-novel-260* controls the hydroxyl group addition by targeting *CsuDib*, and five miRNAs control the conversion of dehydrocholesterol to diketol by jointly targeting *CsuSpo*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect rearing and sampling

C. suppressalis larvae were reared on germinating rice in a 500-mL glass bottle at $28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ with 85% humidity under a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod. Late pupae were placed in a Petri dish with rice plants at the tillering stage in a nylon mesh cage, in which *C. suppressalis* pupa eclosion, *C. suppressalis* adult copulation, and oviposition could occur. For small RNA sequencing, eggs laid within 24 h, larvae in the middle stage of each instar (the first to sixth), pupae on day 4, and adults (both males and females) were collected and pooled. The mixed samples were frozen with liquid nitrogen immediately and stored in -80°C until use.

Small RNAs sequencing and prediction

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's instructions. Small RNA fragments of approximately 15–30 nt in length were separated on a 15% denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) apparatus. Then, a 3' RNA adaptor (5'-pUCGUAUGCCGUCUUCUGCUUGdT-3') and a 5' RNA adaptor (5'-GUUCAGAGUUCUACAGUCCGAC GAUC-3') were ligated to small purified RNA fragments. These

small RNAs were subjected to RT-PCR with 15 cycles of amplification to construct the sequencing library. Subsequently, the library was sequenced at BGI-Shenzhen (Guangdong) using the Illumina Solexa sequencing platform HiSeq 2000 (San Diego). The statistical information on the library sequencing is provided in Supplemental Table S1.

After contaminant reads, null-insert vectors and adapters were discarded and poly (A) and reads shorter than 18 nt were filtered by a customized Perl script, the clean data were retained for further analysis. To predict small RNAs in *C. suppressalis*, the clean reads were first annotated by Blastn against GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank) (Benson et al. 2017) and Rfam (<http://rfam.xfam.org/>) (Daub et al. 2015) to remove the exon-antisense, exon-sense, intron-antisense, intron-sense, rRNA, tRNA sequences. Then, the reads that mapped to the RepBase (www.girinst.org/repbase) (Bao et al. 2015) were also discarded.

We used two methods to predict the *C. suppressalis* miRNAs. One was a homology search against arthropod miRNAs in miRBase (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones 2014) with a cutoff of 0–2 nt mismatches or deletions allowed. The other was the prediction of both conserved and novel *C. suppressalis* miRNAs with miRDeep (Friedländer et al. 2008) with the default parameters against the *C. suppressalis* genome sequences. As the *C. suppressalis* genome was not well assembled, we also used the *B. mori* genomic sequence as a reference.

The miRNAs predicted by the two methods were pooled, and the redundancies were removed, producing a final set of miRNAs for *C. suppressalis*.

Small RNA microarray and data analysis

Seven developmental time points in the process of pupation, pupal development, and adults in *C. suppressalis* were selected for a microarray assay, including aging larval, prepupal, early pupal, compound eye formation, pretarsus formation, pupa elongation, and adult stages. Total RNA was isolated via the TRIzol method according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA quality was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis and a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After the uniformity of 20-mer oligo RNA in the microarray was detected, miRNA microarray analysis, including probe labeling, hybridization, hybridization image scanning, and an initial data analysis, was performed by LC Sciences. Using the μ Parafllo microfluidic chip technology (Gao et al. 2004; Zhu et al. 2007), fluorescence images were collected with a GenePix 4000B laser scanner (Molecular Device) and digitized using Array-Pro image analysis software (Media Cybernetics). The signals were normalized using the cyclic locally weighted regression (LOWESS) method (Bolstad et al. 2003; Berger et al. 2004). The

TABLE 2. The primer sequences used for RACE, qPCR, mimics, and inhibitor synthesis

Purpose	Primer name	Primer sequence (5'–3')
3'UTR cloning	CsNvd 3'-GSP	CTGATATCGGCTCTGGGGGACAAGTATC
	CsNvd 3'-NGSP	GACGCCAAAGTGACGCAGAATG
	CsPhm 3'-GSP	ACTGGAAAAACGCATCGCTGCTGGC
	CsPhm 3'-NGSP	GGTTTCGTCAGATCCAAGAAGAAGGGTG
	CsDib 3'-GSP	CGCAGGAGTATTTGGAAAAGGTGG
	CsDib 3'-NGSP	GCTTGAGATTGAACCTGTGCTATTGG
	CsShd 3'-GSP	CCGTGCTGCTGCGATGGAAGCCTTCAG
	CsShd 3'-NGSP	ATACCTGCCCAGCGGTGGGTCACTGT
	CsBabo 3'-GSP	CGCTGACAACAAAGACAACGGCAGC
	CsBabo 3'-NGSP	CACTCCCGACTACATCAAACGTAG
	CsDsmad2 3'-GSP	CTACGGATGGCATCCGGCTACT
	CsDsmad2 3'-NGSP	TACCCTGTCTCTGTGTCTTAG
	CsErk 3'-GSP	CAAGCAAACCAACGAAGGCGCGTAA
	CsErk 3'-NGSP	TGTCTTCGATGACTTCGCCCCACG
	CsPtth 3'-GSP	GGAGAAACACAATCAAGCCATACCG
	CsPtth 3'-NGSP	GTGTCGACTTCCATTAAAGTGCCAGC
	CsPut 3'-GSP	CTTTATCCCGACCACCATCAGCCAA
	CsPut 3'-NGSP	TGGATTGGTGGGGCAAGTGTCT
	CsRas 3'-GSP	CCGAATATAAACTGGTGGTGGTAGGC
	CsRas 3'-NGSP	AAGCGGGTCAAAGACGCAGAGG
	UPM-Long	CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGT
	UPM-Short	CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGC
	NUPM	AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGT
Mimics and inhibitors synthesis ^a	Csu-miR-9b	TCTTTGGTTATCTAGCTGTATGA
	Csu-Bantam	TGAGATCATTGTGAAAGCT
	Csu-novel-80	AGTATCTCATCTTCAGTAGACA
	Csu-novel-89	ATTGAACTCAACCAAGCTCTTG
	Csu-novel-154	TAGTGATTAAAGTTGGGTTTTTGT
	Csu-novel-257	TTTGAATTTGGGCTGGCACCGGT
	Csu-novel-260	TTTTGGATGACTGGCCCATGTCGGCGT
qRT-PCR ^b	qCsNvd-F	GGTCCACAGAAATATACTCGCC
	qCsNvd-R	AGCCCGTATAGTTTTGTCCG
	qCsSpo-F	TCCAACCTACAAACCAGACG
	qCsSpo-R	ATCGCAGAGTTTGGATGAGG
	qCsDib-F	TGGTCCACGTTCTTGATTGC
	qCsDib-R	CAGATGAGTTCGAATACCCGG
	<i>actin</i> -F	GTCGCTTCCCAAATTACATC
	<i>actin</i> -R	CTCCATATCGTTCCAGTCG
	18s rRNA-F	TCGAGCCGCACGAGATTGAGCA
	18s rRNA-R	CAAAGGGCAGGGACGTAATCAAC

^aSpecific mimics and inhibitors were designed according to the respective miRNA sequence.^bTo quantify miRNA, mature miRNA sequences were sent to customize the specific miRNA primer.

normalized microarray data were analyzed and visualized with a TIGR Multiexperiment Viewer v4.9 (MeV). The experiments were repeated three times.

3'-RACE

To obtain the full-length 3'UTRs of the seven genes in the ecdysteroid biosynthesis pathway of *C. suppressalis*, 3'RACE reactions were performed using a SMARTer RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech) according to the user manual. The genes included *CsuNvd*, *CsuSpo*, *CsuPhm*, *CsuDib*, *CsuSad*, *CsuShd*, and *CsuCyp18A1*. Gene-specific primers (GSPs) and nested gene-specific primers (NGSPs) used for the 3'-RACE reactions were designed based on the coding region sequences (CDSs) using PRIMER

PREMIER 5.0 (Table 2). The first-step PCRs were performed with the GSPs and universal primer mix. The PCR conditions were incubated at 94°C for 3 min; five cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 3 min; five cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 70°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 3 min; and 25 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 68°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 3 min, with a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. In the nested PCRs, the first-round PCR products were diluted 100× and then used as templates with the NGSPs and nested universal primer mix. The PCR program was incubation at 94°C for 3 min followed by 20 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 68°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 3 min, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were separated on an agarose gel and purified using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega). The purified cDNA was ligated into the pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega) and then bidirectionally sequenced (GenScript).

miRNA target prediction

We developed a Perl script to identify the 3' UTR sequences using transcriptome and genome data, which were deposited in InsectBase (www.insect-genome.com) (Yin et al. 2016). The coding region of assembled transcripts was first predicted using TransDecoder with the default parameters. Then the 3'UTRs of mRNA were extracted with a Perl script. To improve the accuracy of the miRNA prediction, five software packages—miRanda v3.0 (www.microrna.org/) (Wang et al. 2014), TargetScan v7.0 (www.targetscan.org) (Lewis et al. 2005), RNAhybrid (<http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/rnahybrid/>) (Krüger and Rehmsmeier 2006), Microtar (<http://tiger.dbs.nus.edu.sg/microtar/>) (Thadani and Tammi 2006), and PITA v6.0 (<http://genie.weizmann.ac.il/pubs/mir07/index.html>) were used to predict the target genes of differentially expressed miRNAs. The default parameters were used for all five softwares. The target genes predicted by at least four algorithms were kept for further analysis. GO analyses of predicted target genes were performed using Blast2Go software (Conesa et al. 2005), and enrichment analyses were carried out with GeneMerge (Castillo-Davis and Hartl 2003). The pathway analyses were performed using iPathCons with the default parameters (Zhang et al. 2014).

3'UTRs cloning and qPCR

For cloning the 3'UTRs, the total RNA was isolated using an SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega) following the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase I (Ambion) for 30 min at 37°C to eliminate traces of genomic DNA. The 3'-end of mRNA was amplified with GSP and NGSP by SMARTer RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech). The RACE primers were designed at Integrated DNA Technologies (www.idtdna.com). The fragments were validated by sequencing and analysis. The stem-loop primers for qRT-PCR were synthesized by Geneups Biotechnology. Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) and qPCR were carried out with an S-Poly(T) miRNA qPCR-assay Kit. The program was as follows: 95°C, 3-min initial action step, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 sec, 60°C for 30 sec. Both 18s rRNA and *actin* were used as internal controls. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method was used for data analysis.

Cell culture and luciferase assay

The pMIR-REPORT vector (Obio) was used as a firefly luciferase reporter vector, and the 3'UTR fragments tested were cloned downstream from the firefly luciferase gene. The pRL-CMV vector (Promega) was used as a *Renilla* luciferase control reporter vector. The HEK293T cell line was used for the assay, and the cells were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ with DMEM (Gibco) + 10% FBS (Hyclone), and plated in 96-well culture plates at a density of 2×10^6 cells per well for 24 h incubation. The DNA transfection mixture contained a proportion of 0.2 µg of reporter vector, 0.01 µg of control reporter, and 0.25 µL Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) per well. The miRNA mimics were synthesized by RiboBio and diluted to a concentration of 100 nM. After incubation at room temperature for 5 min, DNA and miRNA mixed with the Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent were incubated for 20 min. After removal of 50 µL culture medium per well, 25 µL of the DNA transfection mixture and 25 µL of the miRNA mixture were cotransfected for almost 6 h. Each

sample had six replicates. At 48 h post-transfection, cell lysates were prepared and the firefly luciferase activity assay was conducted using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol with Infinite M1000 (Tecan). The experiment had three replicates. The mean of the relative luciferase expression ratio (firefly luciferase/*Renilla* luciferase, Luc/R-luc) of the control was set to one. The data were analyzed with a two-tailed *t*-test.

miRNA mimics and inhibitors' injection

The synthetic mature miRNA mimics (sense sequences of miRNAs) with modifications of 2'-methoxy groups and phosphorothioates were used as the agomir, and the synthetic miRNA inhibitors (anti-sense sequences of miRNAs) with the same modifications were used as the antagomir. The agomir and antagomir were synthesized by the RiboBio company. After dilution to 250 pmol/µL, 0.4 µL miRNA mimics or random shuffled sequences (negative control) were injected into the larvae using a microinjector and kept still for 10 sec to prevent leakage. For the overexpression of miRNAs, larvae on day 4 of the sixth instar were prepared for injection of miRNA mimics because all seven tested miRNAs showed low expression at this stage. The time just before the peak expression was selected for the miRNA knockdown. Larvae on the third day of the fifth instar were chosen for knockdown of the *Csu-miR-9b*, second-day larvae of the sixth instar were selected for knocking down the five miRNAs that targeted *CsuSpo*, and second-day pupae were selected for *Csu-novel-260*.

Rescue experiments

To perform the rescue experiments, the larvae were first treated with the agomir as previously detailed. After 24 h, 0.25 µL 20E (1 ng/µL in acetone) was dropped at the pronotum of the larvae. The control was 0.25 µL acetone without 20E. The experiments were conducted in triplicate. The larvae were maintained in a cell culture plate for phenotypic observation.

Ecdysteroid titer determination

To estimate the titer of the ecdysteroids, 10 µL hemolymph was collected from the agomir-treated larvae at 24 and 48 h post-injection. A 20E EIA Kit (Cayman Chemical) was used to determine the 20E titer by following the manufacturers' instructions. All experiments were conducted three times.

Western blotting

The tissues were lysed using a Tris-saturated phenol method, and the cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000g for 10 min at 4°C, after which the supernatant was collected. The protein was quantified using a bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) and separated on 10% polyacrylamide gels, then transferred to a nitrocellulose filter membrane (Sigma-Aldrich). The membrane was incubated with a polypeptide antibody produced by AbMart against *CsuSPOOK* overnight at 4°C and then detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG. The bands were visualized using an

ECL kit (Millipore). Anti- β -actin was used as an endogenous protein for normalization.

Statistical analysis

Three replicates of each experiment were performed. The data were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison and Tukey's tests using SPSS v22.0 software (SPSS). The values are expressed as the mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM). Differences were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F.L. was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFC1200600, 2017YFD0200900), National Science Foundation of China (31772238).

Author contributions: F.L. designed the research; Y.S. performed the microarray experiments; C.G. performed miRNA expression, 3'RACE and overexpression experiments; K.H. performed the miRNA knockdown experiments; H.X., Y.S., and K.H. analyzed the data; Y.S., H.X., K.H., Z.H., and F.L. discussed the data; F.L., K.H., and H.X. wrote the manuscript.

Received March 20, 2017; accepted August 18, 2017.

REFERENCES

- Asgari S. 2013. MicroRNA functions in insects. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **43**: 388–397.
- Ason B, Darnell DK, Wittbrodt B, Berezhikov E, Kloosterman WP, Wittbrodt J, Antin PB, Plasterk RH. 2006. Differences in vertebrate microRNA expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**: 14385–14389.
- Bao W, Kojima KK, Kohany O. 2015. Repbase Update, a database of repetitive elements in eukaryotic genomes. *Mob DNA* **6**: 11.
- Belles X. 2017. MicroRNAs and the evolution of insect metamorphosis. *Annu Rev Entomol* **62**: 111–125.
- Belles X, Cristino AS, Tanaka ED, Rubio M, Piulachs M-D. 2011. Insect microRNAs: from molecular mechanisms to biological roles. In *Insect molecular biology and biochemistry* (ed. Gilbert LI), pp. 30–56. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW. 2017. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**: D37–D42.
- Berger JA, Hautaniemi S, Järvinen AK, Edgren H, Mitra SK, Astola J. 2004. Optimized LOWESS normalization parameter selection for DNA microarray data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**: 194.
- Bishop CD, Erezilmaz DF, Flatt T, Georgiou CD, Hadfield MG, Heyland A, Hodin J, Jacobs MW, Maslakova SA, Pires A, et al. 2006. What is metamorphosis? *Integr Comp Biol* **46**: 655–661.
- Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA, Astrand M, Speed TP. 2003. A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. *Bioinformatics* **19**: 185–193.
- Castillo-Davis CI, Hartl DL. 2003. GeneMerge—post-genomic analysis, data mining, and hypothesis testing. *Bioinformatics* **19**: 891–892.
- Caygill EE, Johnston LA. 2008. Temporal regulation of metamorphic processes in *Drosophila* by the let-7 and miR-125 heterochronic microRNAs. *Curr Biol* **18**: 943–950.
- Chang ZX, Tang N, Wang L, Zhang LQ, Akinyemi IA, Wu QF. 2016. Identification and characterization of microRNAs in the white-backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera*. *Insect Sci* **23**: 452–468.
- Chen J, Liang Z, Liang Y, Pang R, Zhang W. 2013. Conserved microRNAs miR-85p and miR-2a-3p modulate chitin biosynthesis in response to 20-hydroxyecdysone signaling in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **43**: 839–848.
- Christiaens O, Iga M, Velarde RA, Rougé P, Smagghe G. 2010. Halloween genes and nuclear receptors in ecdysteroid biosynthesis and signalling in the pea aphid. *Insect Mol Biol* **19**(Suppl 2): 187–200.
- Cohen JL, Jackson NL, Ballester ME, Webb WM, Lubin FD, Clinton SM. 2017. Amygdalar expression of the microRNA miR-101a and its target Ezh2 contribute to rodent anxiety-like behaviour. *Eur J Neurosci*. doi: 10.1111/ejn.13624
- Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. 2005. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3674–3676.
- Consoulas C, Levine RB, Restifo LL. 2005. The steroid hormone-regulated gene Broad Complex is required for dendritic growth of motoneurons during metamorphosis of *Drosophila*. *J Comp Neurol* **485**: 321–337.
- Daub J, Eberhardt RY, Tate JG, Burge SW. 2015. Rfam: annotating families of non-coding RNA sequences. *Methods Mol Biol* **1269**: 349–363.
- Friedländer MR, Chen W, Adamidi C, Maaskola J, Einspanier R, Knespel S, Rajewsky N. 2008. Discovering microRNAs from deep sequencing data using miRDeep. *Nat Biotechnol* **26**: 407–415.
- Gao X, Gulari E, Zhou X. 2004. In situ synthesis of oligonucleotide microarrays. *Biopolymers* **73**: 579–596.
- Ge W, Deng Q, Guo T, Hong X, Kugler JM, Yang X, Cohen SM. 2015. Regulation of pattern formation and gene amplification during *Drosophila* oogenesis by the miR-318 microRNA. *Genetics* **200**: 255–265.
- Gomez-Orte E, Belles X. 2009. MicroRNA-dependent metamorphosis in hemimetabolous insects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 21678–21682.
- Goodisman MA, Isoe J, Wheeler DE, Wells MA. 2005. Evolution of insect metamorphosis: a microarray-based study of larval and adult gene expression in the ant *Camponotus festinus*. *Evolution* **59**: 858–870.
- Guittard E, Blais C, Maria A, Parvy JP, Pasricha S, Lumb C, Lafont R, Daborn PJ, Dauphin-Villemant C. 2011. CYP18A1, a key enzyme of *Drosophila* steroid hormone inactivation, is essential for metamorphosis. *Dev Biol* **349**: 35–45.
- He J, Chen Q, Wei Y, Jiang F, Yang M, Hao S, Guo X, Chen D, Kang L. 2016. MicroRNA-276 promotes egg-hatching synchrony by up-regulating *brm* in locusts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **113**: 584–589.
- Hussain M, Asgari S. 2010. Functional analysis of a cellular microRNA in insect host-ascovirus interaction. *J Virol* **84**: 612–620.
- Ismail SM, Goin C, Muthumani K, Kim M, Dahm KH, Bhaskaran G. 2000. Juvenile hormone acid and ecdysteroid together induce competence for metamorphosis of the Verson's gland in *Manduca sexta*. *J Insect Physiol* **46**: 59–68.
- Jannot G, Michaud P, Quévillon Huberdeau M, Morel-Berryman L, Brackbill JA, Piquet S, McJunkin K, Nakanishi K, Simard MJ. 2016. GW182-free microRNA silencing complex controls post-transcriptional gene expression during *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryogenesis. *PLoS Genet* **12**: e1006484.
- Jia Q, Lin K, Liang J, Yu L, Li F. 2010. Discovering conserved insect microRNAs from expressed sequence tags. *J Insect Physiol* **56**: 1763–1769.
- Jiang J, Ge X, Li Z, Wang Y, Song Q, Stanley DW, Tan A, Huang Y. 2013. MicroRNA-281 regulates the expression of ecdysone receptor (EcR) isoform B in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **43**: 692–700.
- Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S. 2014. miRBase: annotating high confidence microRNAs using deep sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**: D68–D73.
- Krüger J, Rehmsmeier M. 2006. RNAhybrid: microRNA target prediction easy, fast and flexible. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**: W451–W454.

- Kucherenko MM, Barth J, Fiala A, Shcherbata HR. 2012. Steroid-induced microRNA let-7 acts as a spatio-temporal code for neuronal cell fate in the developing *Drosophila* brain. *EMBO J* **31**: 4511–4523.
- Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. 2005. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* **120**: 15–20.
- Ling L, Ge X, Li Z, Zeng B, Xu J, Aslam AF, Song Q, Shang P, Huang Y, Tan A. 2014. MicroRNA Let-7 regulates molting and metamorphosis in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **53**: 13–21.
- Lozano J, Montañez R, Belles X. 2015. MiR-2 family regulates insect metamorphosis by controlling the juvenile hormone signaling pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **112**: 3740–3745.
- Lucas K, Raikhel AS. 2013. Insect microRNAs: biogenesis, expression profiling and biological functions. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **43**: 24–38.
- Margam VM, Gelman DB, Palli SR. 2006. Ecdysteroid titers and developmental expression of ecdysteroid-regulated genes during metamorphosis of the yellow fever mosquito, *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Insect Physiol* **52**: 558–568.
- McJunkin K, Ambros V. 2017. A microRNA family exerts maternal control on sex determination in *C. elegans*. *Genes Dev* **31**: 422–437.
- Meister MF, Dimarcq JL, Kappler C, Hetru C, Lagueux M, Lanot R, Luu B, Hoffmann JA. 1985. Conversion of a radiolabelled ecdysone precursor, 2,22,25-trideoxyecdysone, by embryonic and larval tissues of *Locusta migratoria*. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **41**: 27–44.
- Mora C, Tittensor DP, Adl S, Simpson AG, Worm B. 2011. How many species are there on Earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biol* **9**: e1001127.
- Pasquinelli AE, Reinhart BJ, Slack F, Martindale MQ, Kuroda MI, Maller B, Hayward DC, Ball EE, Degnan B, Müller P, et al. 2000. Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of let-7 heterochronic regulatory RNA. *Nature* **408**: 86–89.
- Puthiyakunnon S, Yao Y, Li Y, Gu J, Peng H, Chen X. 2013. Functional characterization of three microRNAs of the Asian tiger mosquito, *Aedes albopictus*. *Parasit Vectors* **6**: 230.
- Riddiford LM. 2012. How does juvenile hormone control insect metamorphosis and reproduction? *Gen Comp Endocrinol* **179**: 477–484.
- Rubio M, Belles X. 2013. Subtle roles of microRNAs let-7, miR-100 and miR-125 on wing morphogenesis in hemimetabolous metamorphosis. *J Insect Physiol* **59**: 1089–1094.
- Shahzad MF, Li Y, Ge C, Sun Y, Yang Q, Li F. 2015. Knockdown of Cs-Spook induces delayed larval molting in rice striped stem borer *Chilo suppressalis*. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* **88**: 179–191.
- Shinoda T, Itoyama K. 2003. Juvenile hormone acid methyltransferase: a key regulatory enzyme for insect metamorphosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **100**: 11986–11991.
- Sokol NS, Xu P, Jan YN, Ambros V. 2008. *Drosophila* let-7 microRNA is required for remodeling of the neuromusculature during metamorphosis. *Genes Dev* **22**: 1591–1596.
- Tarver JE, Sperling EA, Nailor A, Heimberg AM, Robinson JM, King BL, Pisani D, Donoghue PCJ, Peterson KJ. 2013. miRNAs: small genes with big potential in metazoan phylogenetics. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**: 2369–2382.
- Tennessen JM, Thummel CS. 2008. Developmental timing: let-7 function conserved through evolution. *Curr Biol* **18**: R707–708.
- Thadani R, Tammi MT. 2006. MicroTar: predicting microRNA targets from RNA duplexes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7**(Suppl 5): S20.
- Truman JW, Riddiford LM. 1999. The origins of insect metamorphosis. *Nature* **401**: 447–452.
- Varghese J, Cohen SM. 2007. microRNA miR-14 acts to modulate a positive autoregulatory loop controlling steroid hormone signaling in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev* **21**: 2277–2282.
- Wang X, Zhang J, Li F, Gu J, He T, Zhang X, Li Y. 2005. MicroRNA identification based on sequence and structure alignment. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3610–3614.
- Wang KC, Garmire LX, Young A, Nguyen P, Trinh A, Subramaniam S, Wang N, Shyy JY, Li YS, Chien S. 2010. Role of microRNA-23b in flow-regulation of Rb phosphorylation and endothelial cell growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **107**: 3234–3239.
- Wang YL, Yang ML, Jiang F, Zhang JZ, Kang L. 2013. MicroRNA-dependent development revealed by RNA interference-mediated gene silencing of LmDicer1 in the migratory locust. *Insect Sci* **20**: 53–60.
- Wang S, Kim J, Jiang X, Brunner SF, Ohno-Machado L. 2014. GAMUT: GPU accelerated microRNA analysis to uncover target genes through CUDA-miRanda. *BMC Med Genomics* **7**(Suppl 1): S9.
- Wu P, Jiang X, Guo X, Li L, Chen T. 2016. Genome-wide analysis of differentially expressed microRNA in *Bombyx mori* infected with nucleopolyhedrosis virus. *PLoS One* **11**: e0165865.
- Wu W, Xiong W, Li C, Zhai M, Li Y, Ma F, Li B. 2017. MicroRNA-dependent regulation of metamorphosis and identification of microRNAs in the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. *Genomics*. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2017.06.001
- Xu HJ, Chen T, Ma XF, Xue J, Pan PL, Zhang XC, Cheng JA, Zhang CX. 2013. Genome-wide screening for components of small interfering RNA (siRNA) and micro-RNA (miRNA) pathways in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). *Insect Mol Biol* **22**: 635–647.
- Yamazaki Y, Kiuchi M, Takeuchi H, Kubo T. 2011. Ecdysteroid biosynthesis in workers of the European honeybee *Apis mellifera* L. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* **41**: 283–293.
- Yang M, Wei Y, Jiang F, Wang Y, Guo X, He J, Kang L. 2014. MicroRNA-133 inhibits behavioral aggregation by controlling dopamine synthesis in locusts. *PLoS Genet* **10**: e1004206.
- Yin C, Liu Y, Liu J, Xiao H, Huang S, Lin Y, Han Z, Li F. 2014. ChiloDB: a genomic and transcriptome database for an important rice insect pest *Chilo suppressalis*. *Database* **2014**: bau065.
- Yin C, Shen G, Guo D, Wang S, Ma X, Xiao H, Liu J, Zhang Z, Liu Y, Zhang Y, et al. 2016. InsectBase: a resource for insect genomes and transcriptomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: D801–D807.
- Ylla G, Fromm B, Piulachs MD, Belles X. 2016. The microRNA toolkit of insects. *Sci Rep* **6**: 37736.
- You L. 2004. Steroid hormone biotransformation and xenobiotic induction of hepatic steroid metabolizing enzymes. *Chem Biol Interact* **147**: 233–246.
- Zhang Y, Zhou X, Ge X, Jiang J, Li M, Jia S, Yang X, Kan Y, Miao X, Zhao G, et al. 2009. Insect-specific microRNA involved in the development of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. *PLoS One* **4**: e4677.
- Zhang Z, Yin C, Liu Y, Jie W, Lei W, Li F. 2014. iPathCons and iPathDB: an improved insect pathway construction tool and the database. *Database (Oxford)* **2014**: bau105.
- Zhang YL, Huang QX, Yin GH, Lee S, Jia RZ, Liu ZX, Yu NT, Pennerman KK, Chen X, Guo AP. 2015. Identification of microRNAs by small RNA deep sequencing for synthetic microRNA mimics to control *Spodoptera exigua*. *Gene* **557**: 215–221.
- Zhou X, Duan X, Qian J, Li F. 2009. Abundant conserved microRNA target sites in the 5′-untranslated region and coding sequence. *Genetica* **137**: 159–164.
- Zhu Q, Hong A, Sheng N, Zhang X, Matejko A, Jun KY, Srivannavit O, Gulari E, Gao X, Zhou X. 2007. microParaflo biochip for nucleic acid and protein analysis. *Methods Mol Biol* **382**: 287–312.
- Zhu S, Pan W, Qian Y. 2013. MicroRNA in immunity and autoimmunity. *J Mol Med (Berl)* **91**: 1039–1050.
- Zhu B, Tang L, Yu Y, Yu H, Wang L, Qian C, Wei G, Liu C. 2017. Identification of ecdysteroid receptor-mediated signaling pathways in the hepatopancreas of the red swamp crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* **246**: 372–381.

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

Multiple miRNAs jointly regulate the biosynthesis of ecdysteroid in the holometabolous insects, *Chilo suppressalis*

Kang He, Yang Sun, Huamei Xiao, et al.

RNA 2017 23: 1817-1833 originally published online August 31, 2017
Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.061408.117](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.061408.117)

Supplemental Material

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2017/08/31/rna.061408.117.DC1>

References

This article cites 72 articles, 12 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/23/12/1817.full.html#ref-list-1>

Open Access

Freely available online through the RNA Open Access option.

Creative Commons License

This article, published in RNA, is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to RNA go to:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>