Refined secondary-structure models of the core of yeast and human telomerase RNAs directed by SHAPE
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ABSTRACT
Telomerase catalyzes the addition of nucleotides to the ends of chromosomes to complete genomic DNA replication in eukaryotes and is implicated in multiple diseases, including most cancers. The core enzyme is composed of a reverse transcriptase and an RNA subunit, which provides the template for DNA synthesis. Despite extensive divergence at the sequence level, telomerase RNAs share several structural features within the catalytic core, suggesting a conserved enzyme mechanism. We have investigated the structure of the core of the human and yeast telomerase RNAs using SHAPE, which interrogates flexibility of each nucleotide. We present improved secondary-structure models, refined by addition of five base triples within the yeast pseudoknot and an alternate pairing within the human-specific element J2a.1 in the human pseudoknot, both of which have implications for thermodynamic stability. We also identified a potentially structured CCC region within the template that may facilitate substrate binding and enzyme mechanism. Overall, the SHAPE findings reveal multiple similarities between the Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Homo sapiens telomerase RNA cores.
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INTRODUCTION
Telomerase is a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) that catalyzes the addition of telomere repeats to the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes, which cannot be completely copied by DNA-dependent DNA polymerases. Although telomerase is expressed at extremely low levels in most somatic cells, it is active in early development and in the germline and is found to be up-regulated in >90% of cancers (Shay 1997; Heaphy et al. 2011). Thus, there is intense interest in telomerase as a potential drug target for treating cancers, since its activity is critical for unlimited cell proliferation potential. Additionally, multiple diseases have been linked to mutations in the RNA and protein subunits (Blasco 2005).

Although the telomerase holoenzyme consists of multiple protein subunits, which can vary between species, all telomerase enzymes minimally contain a reverse transcriptase (TERT) and an RNA component, which provides the template for reverse transcription. The TERT and the RNA subunit are sufficient for basal telomerase activity in vitro (Weinrich et al. 1997; Zappulla et al. 2005). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, all accessory protein binding sites can be repositioned with retention of function in vivo, illustrating that the 1157-nt yeast telomerase RNA, TLC1, is a flexible scaffold (Zappulla and Cech 2004; Zappulla et al. 2011; Mefford et al. 2013).

Large portions of the yeast and human RNAs outside of the core region are dispensable for activity. In yeast, the bulk of the three long TLC1 RNA arms can be removed to form 384–500-nt Mini-T alleles that still function in vivo and allow yeast telomerase reconstitution in vitro (Zappulla et al. 2005). The arms are entirely dispensable for in vitro activity, since a further-miniaturized allele consisting only of the 170-nt core is also able to reconstitute activity to Mini-T levels (Qiao and Cech 2008), indicating that the core is the only portion required for basal activity. For the human enzyme, in vitro activity can be reconstituted by similarly combining TERT with RNA core nucleotides 33–191, although human telomerase also requires an 87-nt element outside its core, CR4/5, either provided in trans or tethered to the core (Autexier et al. 1996; Mitchell and Collins 2000; Wu and Collins 2014).

Despite extensive divergence of telomerase RNA in sequence and length (spanning from 147 nt in a ciliate species...
to >2 kb in some fungi), most telomerase RNAs share four common structural elements within the central catalytic core, including the template, a template-boundary element, a core-enclosing helix, and a catalytically important pseudoknot (Lin et al. 2004; Mefford et al. 2013). In the core of TLC1, the elements are functionally linked by an Area of Required Connectivity (Mefford et al. 2013). The high conservation of telomerase RNA core structures in spite of rapid evolution of the RNA overall suggests that the cores function similarly.

The pseudoknot of TLC1 and human telomerase RNA (hTR) are both large, unlike that of the small ciliate telomerase RNAs. In both S. cerevisiae and H. sapiens, the pseudoknot exists in an equilibrium in vitro with an alternate two-loop–conformation (Theimer et al. 2003; Liu et al. 2012). Mutations that promote the stem–loop conformation are associated with the disease dyskeratosis congenita (Vulliamy et al. 2001), which is characterized by defects in highly proliferative tissues (Dokal 2000), indicating a possible disruption of telomere maintenance. This underscores the importance of appropriate pseudoknot formation in vivo. Another important feature of both the human formation and yeast pseudoknots is a set of base triples, which have been shown to be in close proximity to the template (Theimer et al. 2005; Qiao and Cech 2008). In yeast, the base triples contribute directly to catalysis through an unknown mechanism (Qiao and Cech 2008). One difference between the TLC1 and hTR cores is that whereas TLC1 has both a template boundary-defining helix (Tzfati et al. 2000; Seto et al. 2002) and a core-enclosing helix (Dandjinou et al. 2004; Lin et al. 2004; Zappulla and Cech 2004), hTR has a single paired element that contributes to both of these functions (Chen and Greider 2003).

Despite the great interest in telomerase in biomedical research, the three-dimensional structure of the catalytic core of telomerase RNA remains unknown. Only the structures of isolated elements have been solved by NMR (Leeper et al. 2003; Leeper and Varani 2005; Theimer et al. 2005, 2007; Chen et al. 2006; Richards et al. 2006a,b; Kim et al. 2008, 2010, 2014; Zhang et al. 2010, 2011). Although there have been some previous chemical structure-probing analyses of telomerase RNAs (Antal et al. 2002; Forstemann and Lingner 2005), even secondary-structure models have been difficult to validate or refine. In this study, we examine the entire catalytically competent core region of both the yeast and human telomerase RNA using Selective 2′-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by Primer Extension (SHAPE), which interrogates the flexibility of each nucleotide (Merino et al. 2005; Wilkinson et al. 2006). We present SHAPE-directed secondary-structure models for both the yeast and human telomerase RNA cores, including newly suggested pairings in their pseudoknots. In comparing the SHAPE-reactivity patterns of each RNA, we also identified conserved patterns in reactivity with potential implications for enzyme coordination. The single-nucleotide resolution of the entire human and yeast telomerase RNA cores provides improved precision in understanding conserved catalytic core structure and enzyme action.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural characterization of the S. cerevisiae telomerase RNA, TLC1, has been challenging due to its large size, the rapid structural evolution of even essential core RNA elements and their considerable mutational tolerance (Lingner et al. 2002; Lin et al. 2004; Zappulla et al. 2005; Lebo and Zappulla 2012; Mefford et al. 2013). A range of secondary-structure models have been proposed for the essential pseudoknot within the catalytic core (Supplemental Fig. 1), as well as other regions (Dandjinou et al. 2004; Zappulla and Cech 2004; Qiao and Cech 2008; Gunisova et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2012; Qi et al. 2013). A biochemical approach would add clarity and help overcome such obstacles. To more specifically investigate the structure of the conserved catalytic core, we optimized folding conditions for the 170-nt yeast telomerase RNA, Micro–TLC1 (Qiao and Cech 2008), and examined its structure by SHAPE chemical mapping. Together with TERT, Micro–TLC1 is able to reconstitute telomerase activity in vitro.

The SHAPE results show that both the pseudoknot and a single-stranded template of the yeast telomerase RNA core form in vitro, even in the absence of TERT. This is in contrast to the reported behavior of the Tetrahymena RNA and the full-length human RNA (Yeoman et al. 2010; Milalusova et al. 2011; Cole et al. 2012). The predicted stems of the template-boundary element and pseudoknot show reproducibly low reactivity, as expected for base-paired nucleotides, whereas there is higher reactivity in most junctions and loops. Overall, the SHAPE-supported secondary-structure model in Figure 1 is largely consistent with the previously observed DMS-reactivity pattern in the pseudoknot of full-length, protein-bound TLC1 (Forstemann and Lingner 2005), and therefore protein binding may not influence the conformation of the yeast pseudoknot to a great extent.

The junctions within the yeast core are generally reactive, with every nucleotide in J3 and J4 being modified. These regions may introduce considerable physical flexibility in the core, particularly J3, which is 16 nt long and tolerates a wide variety of mutations (Mefford et al. 2013). However, both J1 and J2 are comparatively less reactive (Fig. 1). Interestingly, while we observe reactivity within the template, nucleotides 44–46 (CCC) consistently did not show reactivity above background levels, suggesting they may be stacked or involved in tertiary interactions. Polycytidylic acid alone can form a helix stabilized by base stacking or hydrogen bonding between adjacent bases (Arnott et al. 1976; Freier et al. 1981; Broido and Kears 1982; Tubbs et al. 2013). The CCC residues within the template could be adopting a similar structure, resulting in low NMIA reactivity. The structured CCC residues could also be related to NMIA-
Tertiary base triples within the pseudoknot (nucleotides 83–87, 108–112, and 135–139), which we name “stem 2a.” The structure is phylogenetically supported (Supplemental Fig. 1), however, mutations in this region of larger yeast telomerase RNAs have resulted in only a slight decrease in activity in vivo and in vitro (Lin et al. 2004; Shefer et al. 2007; Qiao and Cech 2008). These base triples have been previously proposed based on thermodynamic characterization and were observed in Klyuyveromyces lactis (Liu et al. 2012; Cash et al. 2013) and their main function is likely to favor pseudoknot formation and stability without directly contributing to catalysis.

To test the SHAPE-supported pseudoknot model, we designed two pseudoknot-disrupting mutants, dmPK-1 and dmPK-2. Each mutant has 7 nt on each side of stem 1 changed to its Watson–Crick cognate, which is predicted to abolish base-pairing with minimal disruption to proposed alternate conformations (Fig. 2A; Zappulla and Cech 2004; Liu et al. 2012). Both mutants dmPK-1 and -2 showed severely reduced telomerase activity in vitro (Fig. 2C) and an increase in SHAPE reactivity throughout stem 1, showing that the mutations disrupted pseudoknot formation (Fig. 2B). While both mutants disrupt pseudoknot formation, they do not appear to result in the same RNA conformation (Fig. 2B). In contrast, the double-mutant allele (dmPK-R) showed greater telomerase activity than either single mutant and NMIA-reactivity restored to that of wild type. These results support the conclusion that the pseudoknot is forming in vitro and independent reverse transcriptase pausing at some of the neighboring nucleotides.

To investigate the nature and importance of the five base triples, we first mutated the 3’-most and middle two strands to their Watson–Crick cognate. In both cases, the mutations greatly decreased telomerase activity (Supplemental Fig. 2C). Combining these changes in a compensatory mutant reduced telomerase activity further and did not return the wild-type SHAPE-reactivity pattern, indicating the base-compensatory Watson–Crick interaction had not formed (Supplemental Fig. 2B). However, the low SHAPE reactivity of the 5’ and middle strands in the double mutant is consistent with these mutations simply lengthening stem 1 of the pseudoknot. Therefore, in the next mutation strategy, we instead changed the three U–A–U predicted triples to C-G-C, and vice versa for the other two triples to generate the best structural mimic of the wild-type interactions. We also made the single- and double-mutant-strand combinations. Again, we observed decreased telomerase activity for many of the mutants, including the triple-strand substitution allele (Supplemental Fig. 2C). We conclude from these results that the native sequence of each of these three strands is important for activity. X-ray crystallography and/or NMR studies on the S. cerevisiae pseudoknot region should help reveal the nature and geometry of these interactions more precisely. Finally, it appears that this structure is more important for telomerase function in the context of Micro-T RNA than it is in longer TLC1 alleles (Lin et al. 2004; Qiao and Cech 2008).

FIGURE 1. SHAPE-directed secondary-structure model of the core of S. cerevisiae telomerase RNA. (A) The refined yeast telomerase RNA secondary-structure model incorporating SHAPE results. GU wobble pairs indicated by open circles. Other non-Watson–Crick interactions are denoted with filled circles. Open triangles correspond to deletions relative to TLC1 and exogenous sequence is lowercase. (B) SHAPE reactivity at each position in the yeast telomerase RNA core. Values represent the average of between 5 and 18 independent trials with standard error shown.
To explore evolutionarily conserved structure–function relationships within telomerase, we next examined the folding of the human telomerase RNA core. We focused on nucleotides 33–191 of the human RNA, hTR, which reconstitute telomerase activity in vitro when expressed in a transcription–translation system with the CR4/5 domain and hTERT (Ly et al. 2003). We find that SHAPE results with the core of hTR are generally consistent with current structural models and suggest that the RNA alone is able to form a catalytically competent structure with both a pseudoknot and a single-stranded template (Fig. 3; Theimer et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2011; Hengesbach et al. 2012). We observe high reactivity of nucleotides throughout J2a/3 and, reciprocally, generally low reactivity throughout each pseudoknot stem. Interestingly, the region surrounding the proposed catalytically important “hinge-point” at J2a/b (Zhang et al. 2010) is reactive, suggesting this location may be particularly dynamic, or else held in a reactive conformation due to a unique, conserved local structure (Zhang et al. 2010).

The junctions in hTR show a similar reactivity pattern to the yeast core, with reactive nucleotides in the region 3′ of the template and between P1b and P3 (J4 in yeast) (Fig. 3). Again, we see a region of low reactivity 5′ of the template where it has been shown that length but not sequence is important for template-boundary definition (Chen and Greider 2003), suggesting a potential structural role for these nucleotides in this process. Furthermore, we see nucleotides 50–52 (CCC) within the template are unreactive, which is consistent with previous work (Antal et al. 2002) and the yeast RNA (see above and Fig. 1A). The equivalent CCC nucleotides are also unreactive in SHAPE studies on the active TERT-bound...
Tetrahymena telomerase RNA conformation, so this may be an additional conserved structural feature of telomerase RNAs (Cole et al. 2012). In yeast, these nucleotides are known to be in close proximity to the catalytically important base triples when bound to a DNA substrate and FRET studies of hTR also suggest that the template is near the base triples (Qiao and Cech 2008). In all three species, the extendable 3′ end of the telomere likely consists of between one to four G nucleotides that anneal to the CCC residues described. This suggests the template may be preorganized structurally to favor productive annealing and to specifically position the extendable end of the substrate within the RNP active site (see Fig. 4).

Pseudoknot formation in hTR requires magnesium (Hengesbach et al. 2012), so to investigate the structure of the core we next sought to identify and characterize elements that show magnesium-dependent folding. In the absence of magnesium, we observed two major RNA conformations by native gel (Supplemental Fig. 3A), suggesting the core of hTR RNA exists in an equilibrium between two folded states, consistent with previous work on the pseudoknot alone (Theimer et al. 2003). This indicates the pseudoknot is the structural element primarily driving this equilibrium. We observe an overall decrease in reactivity in the P2b/P3 pseudoknot region as magnesium concentration increases, supporting the conclusion that Mg2+ promotes pseudoknot formation (Supplemental Fig. 3B). We also find that the disease-associated allele hTRDKC (nucleotides 108 and 109, CG→GA) exhibits two bands like those present in wild-type hTR, although equilibrium between the two appears unaffected by magnesium, consistent with previous observations (Supplemental Fig. 3; Hengesbach et al. 2012).

The SHAPE data in optimized magnesium are consistent with a fully formed P2a.1 region leading into a surprisingly unreactive J2a.1. Here we propose three additional base pairs form, resulting in a 12-bp long P2a.1 and a smaller J2a.1, as shown in Figure 3A. Previous work has suggested J2a.1 can adopt alternate base-pairing conformations in vitro, though mutational and phylogenetic analyses indicate that any pairing is dispensable (Chen et al. 2000; Martin-Rivera and Blasco 2001; Zhang et al. 2011).
Comparing the reactivity patterns in hTR and Micro-TLC1, we note several similarities (Fig. 4A). Both RNAs exhibit comparatively low reactivity 5' of the template and higher reactivity 3' of the template and between the pseudoknot and core-enclosing helix. These elements could coordinate positioning of the template near the catalytically important base triples and ending in the active site, shown as an open oval. The template is indicated by a thick line. Telomeric DNA is shown in blue.

In summary, we present secondary-structure models refined by SHAPE analysis that include base-triple interactions in the yeast core additional to those previously implicated in catalysis (Qiao and Cech 2008) and an alternate conformation within hTR J2a.1. In so doing, we have also shown that the cores of both yeast and human telomerase RNAs independently adopt their native conformation, as indicated by formation of the pseudoknot, base triples, and a single-stranded template. This is in contrast to the in vitro folding of the T. thermophila telomerase RNA, which was shown to require TERT to adopt an active conformation (Mihalusova et al. 2011; Cole et al. 2012). The ciliate pseudoknot is small and may lack stable base triples, potentially making its folding more protein-dependent (Mihalusova et al. 2011; Cole et al. 2012). Finally, we also note a conserved region of low reactivity within the template of telomerase RNAs suggesting a mechanism to favor substrate binding and subsequent positioning near the catalytically important base triples within the TERT active site.

**SHAPE of yeast and human telomerase RNA cores**

![Diagram showing models of yeast and human TR cores](image)

**FIGURE 4.** Models of the yeast and human TR cores. (A) Micro-TLC1 and the hTR core show similar reactivity patterns. Summary diagram of general reactivity patterns for each core. Regions of low reactivity common to both hTR and Micro-TLC1 are shown in black, while common regions of high reactivity are colored red. (B) A general model for telomerase RNA core domain coordination with TERT. A generic telomerase RNA core with common structural elements is shown. Regions that may introduce physical flexibility based on SHAPE data are highlighted in red. The potential conserved structural element consisting of CCC nucleotides in the template is drawn in proximity to the catalytically important base triples and ending in the active site, shown as an open oval. The template is indicated by a thick line. Telomeric DNA is shown in blue.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**RNA preparation**

To obtain data at the 3' end of the RNAs, templates were designed with an additional sequence cassette at the 3' end as previously described (Supplemental Fig. 3; Wilkinson et al. 2006). In vitro transcription templates of Micro-TLC1 and hTR were prepared by FokI digestion of the corresponding pUC19 plasmids, while templates containing the RNA structure cassette were generated by PCR amplification. RNAs were purified by excising them from denaturing polyacrylamide gels and isolated by phenol–chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation.

**SHAPE analysis**

Two picomoles of Micro-TLC1 or hTR 33–191 constructs were suspended in 12 μL 0.5× TE pH 8.0 and subsequently denatured at 95°C for 2 min, then cooled on ice for 3 min. Micro-TLC1 constructs were folded by treatment on ice with 6 μL 3.3× folding buffer (333 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 333 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl₂). hTR 33–191 constructs were folded in the same manner but with a modified 3.3× folding buffer (333 mM HEPES, pH 8.0, 333 mM NaCl, 33 mM MgCl₂). Folded RNAs were divided and treated with either 1 μL 65 mM N-methylisatoic anhydride (NMIA) (Sigma) in anhydrous DMSO or 1 μL DMSO. Modification reactions were carried out at 37°C for 22 min (Steen et al. 2012). Reaction volumes were then adjusted to 100 μL with 0.2 M NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 and 0.2 mg/mL glycogen; the modified RNA was then ethanol precipitated and resuspended in 9 μL 0.5× TE pH 8.0.

Primer extension reactions were carried out as described in the SuperScript III reverse transcriptase protocol (Invitrogen) with the following modifications. One picomol of each RNA was denatured at 95°C for 1 min and placed on ice for 2 min. Three microliters of [γ³²P]-end-labeled primer was added and the solution was incubated at 65°C for 2 min followed by annealing at 35°C for 10 min. Six microliters of the supplied SuperScript III buffer supplemented with 5 mM DTT (final concentration) and 1 mM dNTPs (final concentration) was added to the mixture, which was then preheated for 1 min at 52°C. One hundred units of SuperScript III were added to each reaction at room temperature. Reactions were then incubated...
at 52°C for 5 min prior to running on a 10% polyacrylamide/1× TBE/7 M urea gel at 90 W. Gel electrophoresis was performed for between 1.75 and 4 h to obtain appropriate resolution of each given region of the RNAs in order to quantify reactivity of individual nucleotides to NMIA. Gels were exposed on a phosphorimager screen, and imaged using a Typhoon 9410 Variable Mode Imager. Representative gels are shown in Supplemental Figure 4.

Modification intensities were measured using SAFA software (Das et al. 2005). Results were scaled by selecting the least-variable band across lanes, calculating lane-by-lane normalization factors to make the reference band equal across all lanes and scaling each lane accordingly. Normalized SHAPE reactivity was calculated by subtracting the intensity of the corresponding DMSO-only band from the NMIA band. To quantify the SHAPE reactivity, the average of the 10 most-reactive bands was set as 100% reactivity and all other bands were scaled accordingly (negative values were set to zero). Data were averaged from multiple experiments as indicated and were obtained using RNA from at least three independent transcription reactions. All RNAs were folded independently as described above.

Secondary-structure model generation
SHAPE-guided secondary-structure refinement was achieved by first mapping relative SHAPE-reactivities onto the most recent models. Inconsistencies between the SHAPE data and the model for the RNA were resolved using SHAPE-constrained secondary-structure predictions by “RNAstructure” (Deigan et al. 2009). In the event of a mismatch (e.g., 1 nt of a predicted base pair is reactive while the other is not) pairing status was left as described by current models.

Telomerase assays
Telomerase assays were performed essentially as previously described (Zappulla et al. 2005). Briefly, DNA template encoding Pro-A tagged Est2 (TERT) and telomerase RNA were added to the coupled rabbit reticulocyte lysate (RRL) transcription and translation system containing T7 RNA polymerase (Promega). Telomerase coupled rabbit reticulocyte lysate (RRL) transcription and translation system containing T7 RNA polymerase (Promega). Telomerase RNA pseudoknot is essential for the yeast telomerase RNA. EMBO J 15: 5926–5935.
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