Regulation of COX-2 expression by miR-146a in lung cancer cells

ASHLEY L. CORNETT and CAROL S. LUTZ

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Jersey Medical School and the Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Newark, New Jersey 07103, USA

ABSTRACT

Prostaglandins are a class of molecules that mediate cellular inflammatory responses and control cell growth. The oxidative conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin H_2 is carried out by two isozymes of cyclooxygenase, COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 is constitutively expressed, while COX-2 can be transiently induced by external stimuli, such as pro-inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly, COX-2 is overexpressed in numerous cancers, including lung cancer. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small RNA molecules that function to regulate gene expression. Previous studies have implicated an important role for miRNAs in human cancer. We demonstrate here that miR-146a expression levels are significantly lower in lung cancer cells as compared with normal lung cells. Conversely, lung cancer cells have higher levels of COX-2 protein and mRNA expression. Introduction of miR-146a can specifically ablate COX-2 protein and the biological activity of COX-2 as measured by prostaglandin production. The regulation of COX-2 by miR-146a is mediated through a single miRNA-binding site present in the 3' UTR. Therefore, we propose that decreased miR-146a expression contributes to the up-regulation and overexpression of COX-2 in lung cancer cells. Since potential miRNA-mediated regulation is a functional consequence of alternative polyadenylation site choice, understanding the molecular mechanisms that regulate COX-2 mRNA alternative polyadenylation and miRNA targeting will give us key insights into how COX-2 expression is involved in the development of a metastatic condition.

Keywords: COX-2; gene expression; miRNA; regulation

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-related death in both men and women in the United States (Siegel et al. 2013). The predominant type of lung cancer is non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). This type is further classified into subgroups, the most prevalent NSCLC-type being adenocarcinoma (Travis et al. 1995). Cancer progression is marked by the acquisition of several properties, including altered cellular growth (Hanahan and Weinberg 2011). One specific group of macromolecules that function in cellular growth and paracrine signaling are prostaglandins. The rate-limiting step of the prostaglandin synthesis pathway is the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin intermediates, carried out by cyclooxygenase (COX) isoenzymes (also known as PTGS) (Hla et al. 1999). There are two isoforms of COX enzymes responsible for COX function, COX-1 and COX-2, and both mediate the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin intermediates (Smith et al. 1996). COX-1 (PTGS-1) is responsible for basal levels of prostaglandins, while COX-2 (PTGS-2) expression is induced in response to various pro-inflammatory cytokines, e.g., LPS, TNF- α , IL-1, EGF

(Williams et al. 1999). COX-2 is present at sites of inflammation, injury, and disease (Mitchell et al. 1995; Williams et al. 1999). The induction of COX-2 protein expression is usually transient and returns to baseline within 24–48 h post-cytokine stimulation. However, COX-2 is constitutively and sustainably overexpressed in numerous cancers (Dubois et al. 1998; Hida et al. 1998; Wolff et al. 1998; Prescott and Fitzpatrick 2000).

COX-2 not only plays a role in the inflammatory response and is overexpressed in cancer, but also has distinct messenger RNA (mRNA) features. The COX-2 mRNA is comprised of 10 exons and possesses an unusually large 3' untranslated region (UTR) that is ~2.5 kb, almost half the length of the processed mRNA molecule (Fig. 1A; Appleby et al. 1994). The 3' UTR encompasses several sequence elements that function to regulate gene expression, including two polyadenylation signals that are utilized in a tissue-specific manner (Hall-Pogar et al. 2005, 2007). Along with the alternative poly(A) signals, the COX-2 3' UTR has 22 AU-rich elements, 5'-AUUUA-3' (Fig. 1A; Appleby et al. 1994; Newton et al.

Corresponding author: lutzcs@njms.rutgers.edu

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.044149.113>.

© 2014 Cornett and Lutz This article is distributed exclusively by the RNA Society for the first 12 months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After 12 months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

FIGURE 1. COX-2 is overexpressed in lung cancer cells. (A) Schematic illustration of composition of exonic (blue boxes) and intronic (black lines) regions of the COX-2 gene. This illustration also highlights two polyadenylation signals (red) and potential miRNA-binding sites (green) that were predicted using microRNA.org and TargetScan algorithms. (B, left) Western blot of Beas2B and A549 cell lysates indicated overexpression of COX-2 protein in A549 cells (second lane) and no expression in Beas2B cells (first lane). (B, right) qPCR data confirmed relative abundance of COX-2 mRNA in each cell type. A549 cells exhibited ~163-fold increase in COX-2 mRNA expression relative to Beas2B cells. COX-2 expression was normalized to U6 RNA. Values were significantly different (two-tailed *t*-test) between Beas2B and A549 cells. (*) *P*-value < 0.016, *n* = 3. (C) qPCR data confirmed relative abundance of COX-2 mRNA in other NSCLC cell lines, H1373, H1299, and H1975 with respect to Beas2B cells. COX-2 expression was normalized to U6 RNA. Values were significantly different (two-tailed *t*-test) between Beas2B and the other cells. (*) *P*-value < 0.001, *n* = 3.

1997). AU-rich elements (AREs) confer post-transcriptional control of expression through mRNA stability and/or instability and are found in many transiently expressed cytokines or inducible growth factor mRNAs, such as TNF- α and COX-2 (Clark 2000). AREs can regulate the rate of turnover of a transcript by promoting or inhibiting decay, depending on which *trans*-acting factor binds to the element(s) (Chen and Shyu 1995; Wilusz et al. 2001). Another mode of post-transcriptional regulation is one that is mediated by another class of RNA molecules, microRNAs (miRNAs). The COX-2 3' UTR contains several putative miRNA-binding sites (Fig. 1A). miRNAs are small, noncoding RNAs that are predicted to regulate expression of thousands of genes. miRNAs function as translational repressors of mRNAs through an RNA interference mechanism (Bartel et al. 2004; Liu et al. 2008). When associated with the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), miRNAs imperfectly base-pair with the 3' UTR of target mRNAs, leading to either target mRNA degradation or translational repression. Therefore, aberrant expression of miRNAs could, in turn, contribute to the development and progression of cancers (Calin and Croce 2006; Williams et al. 2008). In lung cancer pathogenesis, miRNA expression

often becomes misregulated leading to skewed expression patterns of their target genes (Liu et al. 2009, 2011).

Recent studies have identified several miRNAs that contribute to miRNA-mediated regulation of COX-2 (Strillacci et al. 2009; Su et al. 2009; Yoon et al. 2011; Akhtar and Haqqi 2012). These miRNAs include but are not limited to miR-101, miR-26b, miR-137, miR-16, and miR-146a. One miRNA in particular, miR-146a, is known to negatively regulate inflammatory responses mediated through the NF κ B pathway (Perry et al. 2008; Rusca and Monticelli 2011). Other known targets of miR-146a include TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) and IL-1 receptor-associated kinase 1 (IRAK1), as well as inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α , iNOS, and IL-6 in human articular synovial cells (Taganov et al. 2006; Li et al. 2010; Rusca and Monticelli 2011). Interestingly, miR-146a expression is also found to be misregulated in a variety of tumors including but not limited to papillary thyroid carcinoma (Jazdzewski et al. 2008), hormone-refractory prostate cancer (Lin et al. 2008), and cervical cancer (Wang et al. 2008).

Here, we demonstrate an inverse relationship between miR-146a and COX-2 expression in lung cancer cells. In this study, we examined sustained COX-2 protein expression in several

binding site is present downstream from a poly(A) signal, then the RNA is cleaved and polyadenylated upstream of that miRNA-binding site and the resulting mRNA loses the potential to be regulated by that particular miRNA. Moreover, the combination of both alternative polyadenylation and miRNA regulation could underlie COX-2 overexpression. In order to evaluate possible regulation of COX-2 expression by miRNAs that target its 3' UTR, we investigated differences of miRNA expression in our two lung cell types, Beas2B and A549, using a microarray to determine the expression levels of endogenous miRNAs. Our microarray analysis revealed significant variations in several miRNA expression levels between the two cell lines. From those miRNAs that exhibited a significant difference of expression, a class of miRNAs was selected based on their predicted ability to base-pair with the COX-2 3' UTR (Table 1). These predictions were based upon two algorithmic databases, miRanda (<http://www.microRNA.org>) and TargetScan (<http://www.targetscan.org>). From this subclass, miR-146a was selected for further investigation because its level of expression was significantly lower in the A549 cells as compared with the Beas2B cells. In addition, a single miR-146a binding site was predicted in the COX-2 3' UTR downstream from the first polyadenylation signal, and upstream of the second polyadenylation signal (Fig. 1A). An interesting finding was the high level of miR-146a-binding site conservation within the 8-mer seed sequence in COX-2 orthologs. Although most of the 3' UTR of the COX-2 gene is highly divergent in evolution, the single miR-146a-binding site present in the COX-2 3' UTR is highly evolutionarily conserved across 10 mammalian species (Fig. 3). In addition, the miR-146a mature sequence is also almost

identical across several species. These findings further support the intriguing nature of this particular miRNA and its potential target COX-2.

miR-146a expression in human adenocarcinoma cell lines

Our microarray analysis provided preliminary evidence for the relative expression of miR-146a in Beas2B and A549 cells. To validate miR-146a relative expression, qPCR was utilized. Comparative Threshold Cycle (C_T) analysis ($\Delta\Delta C_T$) data confirmed a 20-fold decrease in miR-146a expression in A549 cells, relative to Beas2B cells (Fig. 4). Although miR-146a expression was strikingly lower in the A549 cells, we postulated that it was possible that a miRNA processing defect could potentially cause the significant decrease in mature miR-146a RNA observed in A549 cells. Therefore, precursor-miR-146a expression levels were investigated by qPCR analysis. We observed ~100-fold less pre-miR-146a expression in A549 cells when compared with expression in Beas2B cells (Supplemental Fig. 1). Therefore, our data suggest that there is not a processing defect that is responsible for the lack of expression of mature miR-146a in the A549 cells. Moreover, we observed low expression levels of miR-146a in H1299 and H1975 cells (Fig. 4). We concluded that an inverse relationship exists between COX-2 expression and miR-146a expression, and this relationship is valid in other NSCLC cancer cell lines (Figs. 1B,C, 4). These data provided the framework to next evaluate the nature of the relationship between miR-146a and COX-2 mRNA, and to determine whether this miRNA directly regulates COX-2 protein expression.

TABLE 1. Microarray data analysis of microRNA expression

miRNA	Fold change	Expression difference	Location	Associated COX-2 mRNA variant target
miR-26b	1.04	Increased	2q35	Short
miR-33a	10.36	Increased	22q13.2	Short
miR-33b	2.53	Increased	17p11.2	Short
miR-137	-3.69	Decreased	1p21.3	Long
miR-146a	-13.43	Decreased	5q34	Long
miR-143	-2.42	Decreased	5q32	Long
miR-181a	-1.21	Decreased	1q32.1	Long
miR-181a-2	-7.96	Decreased	9q33.3	Long
miR-152	-1.63	Decreased	17q21.32	Long
miR-542-3p	-4.34	Decreased	Xq26.3	Long
miR-141	10.50	Increased	12p13.31	Long
miR-181b	-1.30	Decreased	1q32.1	Long
miR-181c	-1.21	Decreased	19p13.13	Long

Expression levels of microRNAs were determined by microarray. MicroRNA expression differences are described as fold change between two cell types, A549 relative to Beas2B cells. MiRNAs included in this table were selected based on algorithms (TargetScan, miRBase) predicted to bind to the COX-2 3' UTR. All tabulated miRNA expression levels were considered significant, $n=3$, $P<0.001$. Each miR gene loci is also shown. Due to alternative polyadenylation of COX-2 mRNA, each miRNA is listed as being associated with a particular COX-2 mRNA isoform (short, long).

Effect of synthetic miR146a introduction on endogenous COX-2 expression

To examine the effect of exogenous miR-146a on endogenous COX-2 protein expression, A549 cells were transfected with mature synthetic miR-146a at 50 nM and 100 nM concentrations. As a control for nonspecific repression from miRNA transfection, miR-433 was transfected into a subset of A549 cells. Synthetic miR-26b, another miRNA predicted to target COX-2 3' UTR, was also tested. Cells were harvested 48 h post-miRNA-transfection, and both whole-cell protein lysates and mRNA isolation were analyzed. Western blot analysis revealed that miR-146a transfected samples, at both 50 and 100 nM, showed decreased COX-2 protein expression levels (Fig. 5). Interestingly, we did not observe any effect of miR-26b on COX-2

A Conservation of miR-146a binding site within COX-2 3'UTR and Homologs

Human 5'-CAAACCAAAUGAUUUCUAGUUCUCAGGAA-3'
 Chimpanzee 5'-CAAACCAAAUGAUUUCUAGUUCUCAGGAA-3'
 Rhesus 5'-CAAACCAAAUGAUUUCUAGUUCUCAGGAA-3'
 Bushbaby 5'-CAAAGCCAAAGACUUCUGAGUAGUUCUCAGGAA-3'
 Treeshrew 5'-GACUAAUUCUCAGGAA-3'
 Mouse 5'-CAAAGACAGUUGU---GAGUAGUUCUGGGA-3'
 Rat 5'-CAGAGUCAGUUGU---GGUAGUUCUGGGA-3'
 Rabbit 5'-UGAACCAAAAGU-UCUGUUCUGUUCUAA-3'
 Hedgehog 5'-AA-----CAAUCCUAAUAGUUCUCCGAA-3'
 Dog 5'-CAAAGCAAA---UUCUGAAUAGUUCUCCGGA-3'
 Cat 5'-CAAAGCCAAACAAUCCUGAAUAGUUCUCCGAA-3'
 Horse 5'-CAAACCAAAACUCCUGAAUAGUUCUCCGAA-3'
 Cow 5'-CAAA-----UAAUUGCUGAAUAGUUCUCCGGA-3'
 Elephant 5'-AAAA-----AAUUCUGAAUAGUUCUCCGGA-3'
 Tenrec 5'-AAUAA-----GACUUCUGAAUAGUUCUCCGGA-3'
Conservation 5'---AAA-----U-CUGA-UAGUUC-CAG-AA-3'

B Conservation of mature miR-146a sequence

Human 5'-UGAGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU-3' Chromosome 5
 Chimpanzee 5'-UGAGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU-3' Chromosome 5
 Mouse 5'-UGAGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU-3' Chromosome 11
 Rat 5'-UGAGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU-3' Chromosome 10
 Dog 5'-UGAGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU-3' Chromosome 4
 Cow 5'-UGAGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU-3' Chromosome 7

FIGURE 3. Conservation of miR-146a putative binding site within COX-2 3' UTR and conservation of mature miR-146a sequence across species. (A) Diagram highlighting the sequence conservation of miR-146a seed region in the COX-2 3' UTR. (B) Diagram of the mature miR-146a sequence across six species and the chromosomal location of each *miR-146a* gene.

protein expression. In addition, miR-433, as predicted, had no effect on COX-2 protein levels (Fig. 5). We repeated this experiment in H1975 cells at 50 nM concentration of miR-146a and saw a similar effect on endogenous COX-2 protein expression (Supplemental Fig. 2). These data supported evidence that miR-146a does repress endogenous COX-2 protein. Quantitative-RT-PCR (qPCR) analysis was next performed on mRNA isolated from the transient transfections (Supplemental Fig. 3). We observed that miR-146a caused significant repression of COX-2 mRNA levels, but our data did not conclusively reveal whether the repression of COX-2 protein was due solely to mRNA degradation as opposed to translational repression. Therefore, our data confirm down-regulation of COX-2 protein expression by miR-146a; however, the underlying mechanism of this regulation was not fully determined.

Effect of miR-146a on reporter protein activity

Our data thus far support an inverse relationship between COX-2 protein and miR-146a expression. In response to introduction of miR-146a, endogenous COX-2 protein expression was significantly reduced. To determine whether miR-146a directly regulates COX-2 through its 3' UTR, luciferase reporter assays were performed. We purchased *Renilla*-luciferase reporter constructs (Switch Gear Genomics) that contain an RPL10 promoter, the *Renilla* luciferase open reading frame (ORF), and either full-length COX-2 3' UTR (RenSP-COX-2 3' UTR_WT) or GAPDH 3' UTR (RenSP-GAPDH 3' UTR). Beas2B cells were transfected with synthetic miR-146a, miR-433, or miR-26b, or no miRNA; followed by subsequent transfection of the *Renilla*-luciferase constructs described above (Fig. 6A). We observed ~50%

down-regulation of the relative *Renilla*-luciferase activity in response to miR-146a (cf. no miR to miR-146a, Fig. 6B). This down-regulation of luciferase activity was not observed in the presence of synthetic miR-26b and miR-433 (Fig. 6B). These data suggest that miR-146a works specifically to regulate COX-2 gene expression through its 3' UTR.

To assess the specificity of miR-146a to regulate COX-2 expression through its 3' UTR, *Renilla*-luciferase reporter assays were performed in the presence of a miR-146a-specific antagomiR. The antagomiR is the perfect sequence complement of miR-146a and would sequester exogenous miR-146a from binding to the target COX-2 3' UTR. Beas2B cells were transfected with the synthetic miR-146a in the presence and/or absence of the antagomiR, anti-miR-146a, and sequentially transfected with the *Renilla*-luciferase constructs (Fig. 6C). In the presence of both miR-146a and anti-miR-146a, relative luciferase activity was restored to basal levels as compared with the measured activity from cells transfected with miR-146a alone (Fig. 6C, cf. miR-146a with miR/anti-146a). *Renilla*-luciferase activity in the presence of antagomiR alone was also not significantly affected (Fig. 6C, anti-miR-146a). These data suggest that synthetic miR-146a was sequestered from binding the COX-2 3' UTR luciferase construct by the antagomiR, and that this sequestration prevented miR-146a regulation of luciferase activity. In addition, anti-miR-146a did not cause any off-targeting effect on the reporter protein activity levels. Our data support the importance of a specific interaction between the COX-2 3' UTR and miR-146a.

Direct interaction of miR-146a with the COX-2 3' UTR

Repression of endogenous COX-2 protein expression by synthetic miR-146a proposed a relationship between miR-146a and COX-2 (Fig. 5). However, it was unknown whether or not the repressive effect of miR-146a on COX-2

FIGURE 4. Low miR-146a expression in NSCLC cell lines as measured by qPCR. Real Time qPCR ($\Delta\Delta C_T$) data showed relative expression of miR-146a in Beas2B compared with NSCLC cell lines A549, H1299, and H1975. Expression of miR-146a was normalized to U6 RNA expression. Comparative C_T analysis indicated a significantly higher level of miR-146a expression in Beas2B cells when compared with miR-146a expression in NSCLC cell lines, A549, H1299, and H1975. (*) P -value = 0.001, $n = 3$.

FIGURE 5. Synthetic miR-146a represses endogenous COX-2 protein in A549 cells. A549 cells were transiently transfected with synthetic miRNAs (x-axis; No miR, miR-26b, miR-146a, miR-433). Cells were lysed and proteins were isolated 48 h post-transfection. (A,B) Western blot analysis was performed on cell lysates. GAPDH served as a loading control. (A) 100 nM miR; (B) 50 nM miR. (C) Densitometric quantification of relative COX-2 protein expression in samples was performed and normalized to GAPDH protein. Each transfection was performed in triplicate in independent experiments.

expression was directly linked through miRNA–mRNA sequence interactions.

In order to define the miRNA-binding site responsible for COX-2 3' UTR luciferase down-regulation, the predicted miR-146a binding site was mutated by site-directed mutagenesis (TTCT to CCGC) (Fig. 6A) (SwitchGear Genomics). Beas2B cells were transfected with either miR-146a or scrambled miR that does not specifically bind any known 3' UTR sequence in the human genome, followed by transfection of *Renilla*-luciferase reporter constructs depicted in Figure 6A. We observed a significant down-regulation in relative *Renilla*-luciferase activity in response to synthetic miR-146a transfection as shown in previous experiments, (Fig. 6B); however, the relative *Renilla*-luciferase activity derived from the COX-2 mutant, COX-2 3' UTR_MUT146a, exhibited no change in activity in response to miR-146a (Fig. 6D, cf. No miR with miR-146a, black bars). We conclude that the putative miR-146a-binding site is the functioning site responsible for miR-146a regulation of COX-2, and mutation of this miRNA-binding site resulted in loss of miR-146a mediated regulation.

Prostaglandin production, cytotoxicity, and cell viability

COX enzymes are responsible for the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin intermediates, specifically, PGH₂, which is further processed in the cell to make various prostaglandins (Fig. 8, below; Cornett and Lutz 2013). Prostaglandins are a class of molecules that increase cell growth, modulate the immune response, and activate paracrine and autocrine signaling cascades (Tilley et al. 2001; Vancheri et al. 2004). Increases in prostaglandin production

are characteristic of cancer cells (Harris et al. 2002; Dannenberg et al. 2005). To examine the downstream effect of miR-146a on the biological function of COX-2 in A549 cells, we performed an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) specific for prostaglandin E₂ in response to transient transfection of synthetic miR-146a. The ELISA revealed a significant decrease in prostaglandin (PGE₂) release in response to synthetic miR-146a in A549 cells (Fig. 7A). Indomethacin, a nonspecific COX inhibitor, was used as a positive control for inhibition of PGE₂ release (Fig. 7A). The non-COX-2 targeting miRNA, miR-433, showed no effect, and miR-26b revealed little effect (Fig. 7A). These data suggest that introduction of synthetic miR-146a represses COX-2 protein, and thus impairs the biological function of COX-2 to produce prostaglandins.

The lack of prostaglandin production may be due simply to the introduction of miRNAs, which may lead to increased levels of cellular death, and may not be specific for regulation of COX-2 by miR-146a. In order to investigate this, we carried out a cellular cytotoxicity assay using lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). The LDH cytotoxicity assay is a colorimetric assay that quantifies cell death and lysis by measuring lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity in cellular supernatants. Cells were transiently transfected with synthetic miR-146a, miR-26b, and miR-433, at 50- and 100-nM concentrations. Cell-free supernatants were collected 48 h post-miRNA transfections and were subjected to LDH analysis. A control for maximum cell lysis was A549 cells exposed to 1% Triton-X, a cellular detergent. The minimum cell lysis were mock-treated cells that were exposed to transfection reagent, INTERFERin, alone. The introduction of miR-146a and the other miRs resulted in low levels of cytotoxicity at 50 nM, but no significant differences were observed between the miRNAs (Fig. 7B). Higher levels of cytotoxicity were observed at the 100-nM concentration of miRNAs, but there were still no significant differences among the miRNAs (Fig. 7B). These data suggest that decreased prostaglandin production in response to miR-146a is due to miR-146a regulation of COX-2 mRNA, and not because of the synthetic miRNA cytotoxicity.

In carcinogenesis, COX-2 and its downstream products (prostaglandins and thromboxanes) are involved in cellular proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, modulation of the immune response, and tumor invasion (for review, see Brown and Dubois 2004). To assess the effect of miR-146a introduction on A549 cell viability, we performed a WST-1 cellular proliferation analysis on A549 cells in response to synthetic miRNA treatment. In response to miR-146a at 50-nM

FIGURE 6. miR-146a directly regulates *Renilla* luciferase activity through the COX-2 3' UTR. (A, top) Schematic diagram of the *Renilla* luciferase constructs RenSP-COX2 3' UTR_WT, RenSP-GAPDH 3' UTR, and RenSP-COX2 3' UTR_MUT146A. (Bottom) Alignment of the mRNA-miRNA duplex highlighting 8-mer seed sequence (bolded) of COX-2 WT and 5' end of miR-146a. The alignment also indicates the 4-nt mutation generated in the COX-2 MUT146A construct (TTCT-CCGC). Black lines indicate perfect complementarity and gray lines represent low-affinity T-G matches. (B) Relative *Renilla*-luciferase activities of RenSP-COX-2 WT constructs were measured in response to synthetic miRNAs (miR-26b, miR-146a, or miR-433) in Beas2B cells. COX-2 WT luciferase activity was normalized to control GAPDH luciferase activity exposed to the same miRNA condition. Luciferase activity was also normalized to total protein concentration for each given sample. *Renilla*-luciferase activity of COX-2 WT was down-regulated ~50% in response to miR-146a. This reduction in activity was not observed in response to miR-26b and miR-433 treatments. (*) P -value < 0.03 , $n = 3$. (C) Relative *Renilla*-luciferase activities of RenSP-COX-2 WT constructs were measured in response to miR-146a alone, anti-miR-146a alone, or both miR-146a and anti-miR-146a treatment in Beas2B cells. *Renilla*-Luciferase activity was normalized as described above in B. In the presence of both miR-146a and antagomiR, COX-2 WT luciferase activity exhibited no miR-146a down-regulation. Luciferase activity was restored to basal levels. (**) $P = 0.006$, $n = 3$. (D) Relative *Renilla*-luciferase activities of RenSP-COX-2 WT and RenSP-COX MUT146A were measured in response to synthetic miRNAs (no miR, miR-146a, or scrambled miR) in Beas2B cells. *Renilla*-luciferase activities were normalized as described in B. COX-2 MUT146A luciferase activities were unaffected by miR-146a treatment. Mutation of miR-146a-binding site resulted in no miR-146a regulation of luciferase activity. (*) $P < 0.01$, $n = 3$. All experiments were performed in triplicate in independent samples.

concentration, which has minimal cytotoxicity (Fig. 7B), A549 cells showed a ~35% significant reduction in cell viability, whereas the other nontargeting miRNAs showed no significant effect (Fig. 7C). At the higher concentration of the synthetic miRNAs, there was a reduction in cell viability; however, this reduction was observed for each miRNA tested, and may be a consequence of cytotoxicity or miRNA transfection in general (data not shown). Our data support the fact that miR-146a has a significant and specific effect on A549 cell viability and proliferation.

Taken together, our data suggest that miR-146a directly regulates COX-2 mRNA and thus protein expression in lung can-

cer cells, and that this regulation has biological consequences for prostaglandin production.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have identified miR-146a as a key regulator of COX-2 expression in lung cancer cells. We observed an inverse correlation of expression: In normal Beas2B lung cells, miR-146a expression was high and COX-2 protein expression was low, while in A549 lung cancer cells, miR-146a expression was low and COX-2 protein expression was high (Figs. 1, 4). Thus, we reasoned that in the absence of miR-146a, COX-2

FIGURE 7. Biological consequences of miR-146a. A549 cells were transiently transfected with synthetic miRNAs (miR-26b, miR-146a, or miR-433) at 50 nM and 100 nM concentrations. (A) Prostaglandin (PGE_2) release was measured 48 h post-transfection. As a control for COX activity inhibition, one sample group of cells were exposed to 30 μM indomethacin, a nonselective COX inhibitor, for 3 h prior to supernatant collection. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were performed on cell-free, serum-free supernatants. The bar graph depicts the average of three independent experiments in triplicate. (*) $P < 0.0003$, $n = 3$. (B) Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) cytotoxicity assay was performed on cell-free supernatants from A549 cells treated as described above. (%) Cytotoxicity was determined based on amount of LDH released from treated cells into the supernatant with respect to untreated cells (LDH_{MIN}) and cells exposed to a detergent (LDH_{MAX}). The results show that the higher the concentration of miRNAs, the higher the cytotoxicity. Experiments were performed in independent triplicates. (C) WST-1 cell proliferation assay was performed on A549 cells treated as described above. Optical density (OD) was measured as absorbancy at 450 nm. Percent (%) of viable cells was based on cell proliferation from treated cells with respect to untreated cells (No miR). The results indicate a significant decrease in cell viability in response to miR-146a treatment. This decrease was specific for miR-146a. Experiments were performed in independent triplicates. (*) $P < 0.04$, $n = 3$.

protein can become highly overexpressed. The restoration of miR-146a in A549 cells resulted in the repression of COX-2 protein expression (Fig. 5). Introduction of a specific miR-146a antagomir ablated this effect (Fig. 6C). Mutation of the miR-146a-binding site in a *Renilla*-luciferase reporter 3' UTR construct (RenSP-COX-2_MUT146A) caused introduction of miR-146a to be ineffective in regulation of the luciferase reporter (Fig. 6D). The biological effects of miR-146a introduction resulted in significantly reduced prostaglandin (PGE_2) release (Fig. 7A), and reduced cell viability (Fig. 7C). These biological effects are specifically due to regulation of COX-2 by miR-146a and not due to overall cell death caused by the synthetic miR treatment (Fig. 7B). Our data thus provide evidence that miR-146a directly regulates COX-2 gene expression in lung cells.

We propose a model for COX-2 regulation, diagrammed in Figure 8.

In the presence of miR-146a, COX-2 expression is specifically down-regulated, resulting in significant reduction of PGE_2 release. This decreased prostaglandin release causes further downstream effect on cancer cell viability. However,

COX-1 expression is unaffected by the presence of miR-146a and its enzymatic function is unimpeded. We should note that the low levels of prostaglandins observed upon miR-146a treatment as shown in Figure 7A are likely due to prostaglandins made by COX-1. It is also of note that the COX-1 3' UTR does not have a binding site for miR-146a. We speculate that this specific COX-2 regulation is kept well in check under normal conditions. In cases of transient inflammation, there is likely miR-146a/COX-2 expression modulation that occurs but this modulation is rapidly restored because of miR-146a. In the case of lung cancer cells, COX-2 expression is constitutive and is not modulated by miR-146a.

It has been noted since 1998 that COX-2 overexpression is found in many cancers and cancer cells (Dubois et al. 1998; Hida et al. 1998; Wolff et al. 1998; Prescott and Fitzpatrick 2000), but the complex relationship of this overexpression with modulated miRNA expression has been less clear. Recently, it was determined that an aberrantly low expression of miR-146a in human non-small cell lung cancer cells exists (Chen et al. 2013). They determined that in five NSCLC cell

FIGURE 8. Model for miR-146a regulation. Schematic depiction of miR-146a regulation of COX-2 expression and how this regulation impacts production of prostaglandins and further downstream, cancer cell viability.

lines (H358, H1650, H1975, HCC827, and H292) expression of miR-146a by transient transfection led to increased cell death and repressed cellular proliferation (Chen et al. 2013). Although this study did not investigate targets for miR-146a, their findings support our work.

Our data presented here reveal that the low expression levels of miR-146a in A549 cells is not due to a processing defect in the A549 cells (Supplemental Fig. 1). Our data may suggest the notion that precursor-miR-146a is not actively transcribed in A549 cells; however, the mechanism of potential miR-146a transcriptional regulation is not understood. The presence of a G/C single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in papillary thyroid carcinoma, *rs291064*, caused a decrease in mature miR-146a expression and loss-of-function for the miRNA (Jazdzewski et al. 2008). A similar mutation could potentially be responsible for loss of mature miR-146a expression, or affect the transcription of pre-miR-146a resulting in ablation in A549 cells; however, validation in lung cancer cells would need to be performed.

Other mechanisms of COX-2 regulation have also been recognized, including mRNA translation, mRNA stability, and translational regulation (for review, see Cornett and Lutz 2013). COX-2 transcriptional up-regulation is known to play a role in its overexpression in endothelial cells, but enhanced transcription alone cannot account for the sustained induction of COX-2 (Ristimäki et al. 1994). The COX-2 3' UTR harbors ~22 AU-rich elements or ARES, known to influence mRNA stability and instability. The COX-2 ARES have been widely investigated, with the proximal ARES appearing to affect mRNA stability and the distal ARES affecting mRNA instability (Gou et al. 1998; Dixon et al. 2000). Additionally, other COX-2 3' UTR regulatory elements and proteins that bind to them have been identified, including HuR,

TIA-1, TIAR, hnRNP U, AUF1, AUF2, FBP, Hsp70, and CUGBP2 (Dixon et al. 2001; Cok et al. 2003; Mukhopadhyay et al. 2003; Sully et al. 2004; Kishor et al. 2013). CUGBP2 not only was reported to stabilize the COX-2 mRNA but also affects the COX-2 mRNA translation (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2003). Translational repression may account for why we observed the shorter COX-2 mRNA from the Beas2B cells in Figure 2B but no COX-2 protein (Fig. 1B). We are currently investigating this finding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mammalian cell culture

Beas2B and A549 cells (ATCC) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and 4 mM glutamine at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. H1373 and H1975 cells (ATCC) were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 4 mM glutamine at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. H1299 cells (ATCC) were grown in Minimal Essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 4 mM glutamine at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. Please note that our strain of Beas2B cells does not cause tumors in nude mice (Jiang et al. 2008).

For prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) release, cells were incubated in serum-free media and stimulated with 4 μM calcium ionophore (A23817, Sigma-Aldrich) 30 min prior to supernatant collection (Gerristen et al. 1987). As a control for COX inhibition, cells were treated with 30 μM indomethacin (Sigma-Aldrich), a known COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitor, 2 h prior to calcium ionophore stimulation.

Microarray analysis

Total RNA (200 ng) was isolated from Beas2B and A549 cells by using the mirVana RNA Isolation kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cDNA was hybridized to miRNA-specific oligos and the mixture was bound to streptavidin-conjugated paramagnetic particles to select the cDNA/oligo complexes. An extension step followed by fluorescent labeling of extended products ensued. These labeled assay products correspond to, and are in relative abundance to, specific original miRNAs in the sample. Labeled samples were hybridized to the human MicroRNA expression profiling V2 panel Beadchip (Illumina). The fluorescence intensity was measured by the Illumina BeadArray Reader and was the average of 15–20 beads per oligo. This miRNA panel contained 1146 assays for detecting >97% of the miRNAs described in the miRBase database. GenomeStudio software was used for data analysis.

MicroRNA transfection

Synthetic miRNAs corresponding to miR-146a, miR-26b, and miR-433 were synthesized by Dharmacon. The following constructs were used: hsa-miR-26b mature microRNA sequence: 5'-UUCAAGUAAUUCAGGAUAGGU-3', hsa-miR-433 mature microRNA sequence: 5'-AUCAUGAUGGGCUCCUCGGUGU; hsa-miR-146a mature microRNA sequence: 5'-UGAGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU. The synthetic miR-433 was used as a negative control because miR-433

was not predicted to bind to COX-2 mRNA. An antagomir specific for miR-146a, anti-hsa-miR-146a-5p, was also used and was purchased from Qiagen. The anti-miR-146a has the sequence: 5'-UG AGAACUGAAUCCAUGGGUU-3'. A549 and Beas2B cells were transiently transfected with synthetic miRs described above (100 nM; 50 nM) using the INTERFERin transfection reagent (Polyplus-Transfection Inc) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Western blot analysis and quantitative RT-PCR analysis were performed on treated cell samples 48 h post-transfection to examine the effects of the synthetic miRNAs on endogenous COX-2 protein levels and extent of COX-2 mRNA degradation, respectively.

Plasmids

The RenSP-COX-2 3' UTR_WT and RenSP-GAPDH 3' UTR *Renilla* luciferase reporter vectors were purchased from SwitchGear Genomics. The COX-2 and GAPDH 3' UTR fragments were cloned into the multiple cloning site (MCS) downstream from the *Renilla* ORF, *RenSP*. Each construct contained a constitutively active promoter, RPL10. The RenSP-COX-2 3' UTR_MUT146A, a construct containing the COX-2 3' UTR fragment that contained a 4-nt mutation (TTCT—CCGC) in the miR-146a seed sequence was also purchased.

Western blot analysis

Beas2B cells and A549 cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and then lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris at pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% protease inhibitor). Cell lysis was carried out on ice for 30 min. Protein concentrations were determined by detergent compatible (DC) Bradford Assay analysis (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cleared protein lysates (50 µg) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, and were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes by a wet electrophoretic transfer method. Membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat dry milk, 1X PBS, 0.1% Tween-20 (Sigma). Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution and were incubated with the blot for 3 h at room temperature. Mouse anti-human COX-2 monoclonal antibody was purchased from Cayman Chemical and diluted 1:1000. Mouse-anti-human GAPDH monoclonal antibody was purchased from ProteinTech Group, Inc. Membranes were washed three times in 1X PBS, 0.1% Tween-20, and then were incubated with goat-anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (HRP) diluted 1:5000 in blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. Signal detection was accomplished using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Denville Scientific) and autoradiography. For repeated use of the membrane, the membrane was placed in stripping solution, (0.1 M NaOH), for 30 min at room temperature. The membrane was washed three times with deionized distilled H₂O and then placed in blocking solution.

RNase-H alternative polyadenylation cleavage assay (RHAPA)

RNA was isolated from A549 and Beas2B cells by the TRIzol method (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RHAPA Analysis was carried out as described previously (Cornett and Lutz 2014). RNA was incubated with a complementary COX-2-specific DNA oligonucleotide, 5'-GTCATCTTTAAATGATGTAAAC-3',

at 70°C for 10 min and allowed to cool to room temperature to enable DNA-RNA hybridization. The DNA oligonucleotide will hybridize to the COX-2 mRNA sequence present in the 3' UTR that resides between the two annotated poly(A) signals (Fig. 2). The RNA mixture was then subjected to RNase H cleavage at 30°C for 1 h. RNase H will cleave DNA-RNA hybrids specifically. Digested RNA was further cleaned using the RNA Clean-Up kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized by reverse transcription of RNA using the ThermoScript Reverse Transcriptase according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen) using an Oligo (dT)₂₀ primer. The Oligo(dT) primer will only amplify RNA molecules that contain a poly(A) tail, and therefore eliminate the possibility that COX-2 mRNA without a poly(A) tail will be reverse transcribed. PCR reactions were carried out using Taq DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's protocol. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: (1) 95°C for 5 min, (2) followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec; 54°C for 1 min; 72°C for 30 sec. PCR primer sets were designed for the COX-2 short mRNA (F1:R1), the COX-2 long mRNA (F2:R2), and a primer set to ensure that the RNase H cleavage reaction occurred (F3:R3) (Fig. 2A). If the RNase H cleavage was incomplete, the F1:R1 primer set would amplify both polyadenylated transcripts and no longer be specific for the COX-2 short mRNA. PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining. Band intensity expressed as relative absorbance units determined relative abundance. The abundance of the COX-2 short mRNA and the COX-2 long mRNA were normalized to the abundance of GAPDH mRNA.

Luciferase assay

Beas2B cells were seeded in a 12-well plate format at a density of 1×10^5 cells/well. Sixteen hours after seeding, cells were transfected with a synthetic miRNA, (miR-26b, miR-146a, miR-433) at 100 or 50 nM as described above (see microRNA transfection). Twenty-four hours post-miR transfection, cells were subsequently transfected with DNA constructs (RenSP-COX-2 3' UTR_WT; RenSP-GAPDH 3' UTR; RenSP-COX-2 3' UTR_MUT146A), using LipoD293 transfection reagent (SigmaGen Laboratories) according to manufacturer's protocol. Thirty-hours post-DNA transfection, cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed with 1X Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega). *Renilla*-luciferase activity (luminescence) was measured using the Renilla-Glo luciferase assay system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 50 µL of each sample was transferred to black-bottom 96-well dishes and incubated with an equal volume of 1X Renilla-Glo Luciferase Assay Reagent (Promega) for 10 min at room temperature in the dark. *Renilla* Luminescence was read at 470 nm, with an integration of 5 sec, in a luminometer plate reader, SpectraMax L. *Renilla*-Luciferase activity from RenSP COX-2 WT or RenSP-COX-MUT146A was normalized activity obtained from samples transfected with RenSP-GAPDH under the same miR-condition. Samples were further normalized to protein concentration of each sample lysate as determined by a Bradford protein determination assay. Assays were conducted in independent triplicates.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR)

Custom miScript Primer Assays were used to detect miR-146a (Assay name: Hs_miR-146a_1), COX-2 (QuantiTect Primer Assay name:

Hs_PTGS2_1), precursor-miR-146a (Assay name: Hs_miR-146a_PR_1) expression levels by SYBR Green QuantiTect PCR assay (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, total RNA was isolated from samples using TRIzol (Invitrogen). RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the miScript RT II kit (Qiagen). PCR was carried out in an Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus Real Time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems). PCR cycling conditions were according to manufacturer's protocol and as follows: (1) 95°C for 15 min; (2) 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 sec, 57°C for 30 sec, 70°C for 30 sec (collection step); (3) followed by Melt Curve analysis. Samples were normalized to U6 snRNA, (Assay name: Hs_RNU6B_2), which served as an endogenous control. No template (NT) and no Reverse Transcriptase (–RT) controls were also assayed. Quantitative Comparative C_T ($\Delta\Delta C_T$) analysis was then conducted using the ABI StepOnePlus v.2.1 software. All assays were performed in triplicate and independently repeated at least twice.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Cell-free supernatants from transiently transfected A549 cells were analyzed by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using the Prostaglandin E_2 Express EIA Kit (Cayman Chemical). The assay was carried out according to the manufacturer's protocol. Assays were conducted in triplicate and were repeated in three independent experiments.

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) cytotoxicity assay

Percent cytotoxicity was assessed with an LDH-cytotoxicity detection kit (Roche Diagnostics), which measures LDH released from cells. A549 cells were seeded in a 24-well format at a density of 0.5×10^5 cells/well. Cells were then transfected with 100 or 50 nM of different miRNAs using INTERFERin as described above. Intracellular LDH release was measured 48 h post-transfection as per the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, supernatants were transferred to a V-bottom 96-well dish and centrifuged at 250g for 10 min. A total of 100 μ L of the cell-free supernatant was transferred to a flat-bottom 96-well dish and was incubated for 30 min with an equal volume of the LDH reaction mixture. The absorbance was read at 490 nm using a plate reader. Cytotoxicity for each treatment was calculated by the following formula: $(LDH_{\text{SAMPLE}} - LDH_{\text{MIN}})/(LDH_{\text{MAX}} - LDH_{\text{MIN}}) \times 100\%$, where the LDH_{MIN} was assay medium plus cells not exposed to miRNAs, and the LDH_{MAX} was assay medium plus cells exposed to 1% Triton-X-100, a cellular detergent.

WST-1 cell proliferation assay

Cell viability was assessed with a WST-1 Cell Proliferation Assay kit (Cayman Chemical), which measures the enzymatic conversion of WST-1 to formazan by cell mitochondrial dehydrogenases present in viable cells. A549 cells were seeded in a 96-well format at a density of 5000 cells/well. Cells were then transfected with 100 or 50 nM of different miRNAs using INTERFERin as described above. Cell viability was measured 48 h post-transfection as per the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated with 10 μ L of WST-1 for 30 min—1 h at 37°C, 5% CO_2 . The absorbance was read at 450 nm using a microplate reader. Optical density (OD) is shown as a percent of

viable control cells, No miR. Assays were performed in independent triplicates.

Data analysis

Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD. Statistical significance was assessed using two-sample two-tailed Student's *t*-test. *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Melissa Rogers, PhD of NJMS, Rutgers and Annette Lee, PhD of The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research of the North Shore–Long Island Jewish Health System for RNA hybridization and microarray analysis. We also thank Edouard Azzam, PhD, of NJMS for H1299 cells. We thank the New Jersey Commission on Cancer Research (NJCCR) for a Predoctoral Fellowship to A.L.C., and the Lung Cancer Research Foundation and the American Heart Association 12GRNT9120029 for Research Grants to C.S.L.

Received December 27, 2013; accepted May 24, 2014.

REFERENCES

- Akhtar N, Haqqi TM. 2012. MicroRNA-199a* regulates the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human chondrocytes. *Ann Rheum Dis* **71**: 1073–1080.
- Appleby SB, Ristimaki A, Neilson K, Narko K, Hla T. 1994. Structure of the human cyclo-oxygenase-2 gene. *J Biochem* **302**: 723–727.
- Bartel DP. 2004. Review: MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. *Cell* **116**: 281–297.
- Brown JR, DuBois RN. 2004. Cyclooxygenase as a target in lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **10**: 4266s–4269s.
- Calin GA, Croce CM. 2006. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. *Nat Rev* **6**: 857–866.
- Chen CA, Shyu A. 1995. AU-rich elements: characterization and importance in mRNA degradation. *Trends Biochem Sci* **20**: 465–470.
- Chen G, Umelo IA, Lv S, Teugels E, Fostier K, Kronenberger P, Dewaele A, Sadones J, Geers C, De Greve J. 2013. miR-146a inhibits cell growth, cell migration and induces apoptosis in non-small cell lung cancer cells. *PLoS One* **8**: e60317.
- Clark A. 2000. Post-transcriptional regulation of pro-inflammatory gene expression. *Arthritis Res* **2**: 172–174.
- Cok SJ, Acton SJ, Morrison AR. 2003. The proximal region of the 3' untranslated region of cyclooxygenase-2 is recognized by a multimeric protein complex containing HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein U. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 36157–36162.
- Cornett AL, Lutz CS. 2013. Regulation of genes in the arachidonic acid metabolic pathway by RNA processing and RNA-mediated mechanisms. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **4**: 593–605.
- Cornett AL, Lutz CS. 2014. RHAPA: a new method to quantify alternative polyadenylation. *Methods Mol Biol* **1125**: 157–167.
- Dannenberger AJ, Lippman SM, Mann JR, Subbaramaiah K, Dubois RN. 2005. Cyclooxygenase-2 and epidermal growth factor receptor: pharmacologic targets for chemoprevention. *J Clin Oncol* **23**: 254–266.
- Dixon DA, Kaplan CD, McIntyre TM, Zimmerman GA, Prescott SM. 2000. Post-transcriptional control of cyclooxygenase-2 gene expression. The role of the 3'-untranslated region. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 11750–11757.

- Dixon DA, Tolley ND, King PH, Nabors LB, McIntyre TM, Zimmerman GA, Prescott SM. 2001. Altered expression of the mRNA stability factor HuR promotes cyclooxygenase-2 expression in colon cancer cells. *J Clin Invest* **108**: 1657–1665.
- Dubois RN, Abramson SB, Crofford L, Gupta RA, Simon LS, Van De Putte LB, Lipsky PE. 1998. Cyclooxygenase in biology and disease. *FASEB J* **12**: 1063–1073.
- Gerristen ME, Nganele DM, Rodrigues AM. 1987. Calcium ionophore (A23187)- and arachidonic acid-stimulated prostaglandin release from microvascular endothelial cells: effects of calcium antagonists and calmodulin inhibitors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **240**: 837–846.
- Gou Q, Liu CH, Ben-Av P, Hla T. 1998. Dissociation of basal turnover and cytokine-induced transcript stabilization of the human cyclooxygenase-2 mRNA by mutagenesis of the 3' untranslated region. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **242**: 508–512.
- Hall-Pogar T, Zhang H, Tian B, Lutz CS. 2005. Alternative polyadenylation of cyclooxygenase-2. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**: 2565–2579.
- Hall-Pogar T, Liang S, Hague LK, Lutz CS. 2007. Specific *trans*-acting proteins interact with auxiliary RNA polyadenylation elements in the COX-2 3'-UTR. *RNA* **13**: 1103–1115.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. 2011. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* **144**: 646–674.
- Harris SG, Padilla J, Koumas L, Ray D, Phipps RP. 2002. Prostaglandins as modulators of immunity. *Trends Immunol* **23**: 144–150.
- Hida T, Yatabe Y, Achiwa H, Muramatsu H, Kozaki K, Nakamura S, Ogawa M, Mitsudomi T, Sugiura T, Takahashi T. 1998. Increased expression of cyclooxygenase 2 occurs frequently in human lung cancers, specifically in adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Res* **58**: 3761–3764.
- Hla T, Bishop-Bailey D, Liu CH, Schaefer HJ, Trifan OC. 1999. Cyclooxygenase-1 and -2 isoenzymes. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **31**: 551–557.
- Jazdzewski K, Murray EL, Franssila K, Jarzab B, Schoenberg DR, de la Chapelle A. 2008. Common SNP in pre-miR-146a decreases mature miR expression and predisposes to papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **105**: 7269–7274.
- Jiang S, Zheng S, Langenfeld L, Lo SC, Rogers MB. 2008. Mycoplasma infection transforms normal lung cells and induces bone morphogenetic protein 2 expression by post-transcriptional mechanisms. *J Cell Biochem* **104**: 580–594.
- Kishor A, Tandukar B, Ly YV, Toth EA, Suarez Y, Brewer G, Wilson GM. 2013. HSP70 is a novel posttranscriptional regulator of gene expression that binds and stabilizes selected mRNAs containing AU-rich elements. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**: 71–84.
- Li Y, Vandenboom TG, Wang Z, Kong D, Ali S, Philip PA, Sarkar FH. 2010. miR-146a suppresses invasion of pancreatic cancer cells. *Cancer Res* **70**: 1486–1495.
- Lin SL, Chiang A, Chang D, Ying SY. 2008. Loss of mir-146a function in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *RNA* **14**: 417–424.
- Liu X, Fortin K, Mourelatos Z. 2008. MicroRNAs: biogenesis and molecular functions. *Brain Pathol* **18**: 113–121.
- Liu X, Sempere LF, Galimberti F, Freemantle SJ, Black C, Dragnev KH, Ma Y, Fiering S, Memoli V, Li H, et al. 2009. Uncovering growth-suppressive microRNAs in lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**: 1177–1183.
- Liu X, Sempere F, Guo Y, Korc M, Kauppinen S, Freemantle SJ, Dmitrovsky E. 2011. Involvement of microRNAs in lung cancer biology and therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* **15**: 1177–1183.
- Mendes RA, Carvalho JF, Waal I. 2009. An overview on the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in tumors of the head and neck. *Oral Oncol* **45**: e124–e128.
- Mitchell JA, Larkin S, Williams TJ. 1995. Cyclooxygenase-2: regulation and relevance in inflammation. *Biochem Pharmacol* **50**: 1535–1542.
- Mukhopadhyay D, Houchen CW, Kennedy S, Dieckgraefe BK, Anant S. 2003. Coupled mRNA stabilization and translational silencing of cyclooxygenase-2 by a novel RNA binding protein, CUGBP2. *Mol Cell Biol* **11**: 113–126.
- Newton R, Seybold J, Liu SF, Barnes PJ. 1997. Alternate COX-2 transcripts are differentially regulated: implications for post-transcriptional control. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **234**: 85–89.
- Perry MM, Moschos SA, Williams AE, Shepherd NJ, Larner-Svensson HM, Lindsay MA. 2008. Rapid changes in microRNA-146a expression negatively regulate the IL-1 β -induced inflammatory responses in human lung alveolar epithelial cells. *J Immunol* **180**: 5689–5698.
- Prescott SM, Fitzpatrick FA. 2000. Cyclooxygenase-2 and carcinogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1470**: M69–M78.
- Ristimäki A, Garfinkel S, Wessendorf J, Maciag T, Hla T. 1994. Induction of cyclooxygenase-2 by interleukin-1 α . Evidence for post-transcriptional regulation. *J Biol Chem* **269**: 11769–11775.
- Rusca N, Monticelli S. 2011. MiR-146a in immunity and disease. *Mol Biol Int* doi: 10.4061/2011/437301.
- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. 2013. Cancer statistics, 2013. *CA Cancer J Clin* **63**: 11–30.
- Smith WL, Garavito RM, Dewitt DL. 1996. Prostaglandin endoperoxide H synthases (cyclooxygenase)-1 and -2. *J Biol Chem* **271**: 33157–33160.
- Strillacci A, Griffoni C, Sansone P, Paterini P, Piazzi G, Lazzarini G, Spisni E, Pantaleo MA, Biasco G, Tomasi V. 2009. MiR-101 down-regulation is involved in cyclooxygenase-2 overexpression in human colon cancer cells. *Exp Cell Res* **315**: 1439–1447.
- Su H, Yang JR, Xu T, Huang J, Xu L, Yuan YF, Zhuang SM. 2009. MicroRNA-101, down-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma, promotes apoptosis and suppresses tumorigenicity. *Cancer Res* **69**: 1135–1142.
- Sully G, Dean JLE, Wait R, Rawlinson L, Santalucia T, Saklatvala J, Clark AR. 2004. Structural and functional dissection of a conserved destabilizing element of cyclo-oxygenase-2 mRNA: evidence against the involvement of AUF-1, AUF-2, tristetraprolin, HuR, or FBP1. *Biochem J* **377**: 629–639.
- Taganov KD, Boldin MP, Chang KJ, Baltimore D. 2006. NF- κ B-dependent induction of microRNA miR-146, an inhibitor targeted to signaling proteins of innate immune responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **103**: 12481–12486.
- Tilley SL, Coffman TM, Koller BH. 2001. Mixed messages: modulation of inflammation and immune responses by prostaglandins and thromboxanes. *J Clin Invest* **108**: 15–23.
- Travis WD, Linder J, Mackay B. 1995. Classification, histology, cytology and electron microscopy. In *Lung cancer: Principles and practice* (ed. Pass HI, et al.), pp. 361–395. Lippincott Raven, Philadelphia, PA.
- Vancheri C, Mastruzzo C, Sortino MA, Crimi N. 2004. The lung as a privileged site for the beneficial actions of PGE₂. *Trends Immunol* **25**: 40–46.
- Wang X, Tang S, Le SY, Lu R, Rader JS, Meyer C, Zheng ZM. 2008. Aberrant expression of oncogenic and tumor-suppressive microRNAs in cervical cancer is required for cancer cell growth. *PLoS One* **3**: e2557.
- Williams CS, Mann M, DuBois RN. 1999. The role of cyclooxygenases in inflammation, cancer, and development. *Oncogene* **18**: 7908–7916.
- Williams AE, Perry MM, Moschos SA, Larner-Svensson HM, Lindsay MA. 2008. Role of miRNA-146a in the regulation of the innate immune response and cancer. *Biochem Soc Trans* **36**: 1211–1215.
- Wilusz CJ, Wormington M, Peltz SW. 2001. The cap-to-tail guide to mRNA turnover. *Nat Rev* **2**: 237–246.
- Wolff H, Saukkonen K, Anttila S, Karjalainen A, Vainio H, Ristimäki A. 1998. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in human lung carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **58**: 4997–5001.
- Yoon S, Choi YC, Lee Y, Jin M, Jeong Y, Yoon J, Baek K. 2011. Characterization of microRNAs regulating cyclooxygenase-2 gene expression. *Genes & Genomics* **33**: 673–678.
- Young LE, Dixon DA. 2010. Posttranscriptional regulation of cyclooxygenase 2 expression in colorectal cancer. *Curr Colorectal Cancer Rep* **6**: 60–67.

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

Regulation of COX-2 expression by miR-146a in lung cancer cells

Ashley L. Cornett and Carol S. Lutz

RNA 2014 20: 1419-1430 originally published online July 21, 2014

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.044149.113](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.044149.113)

Supplemental Material

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2014/06/16/rna.044149.113.DC1>

References

This article cites 54 articles, 19 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/20/9/1419.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by the RNA Society for the first 12 months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After 12 months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>