ADAR1 regulates *ARHGAP26* gene expression through RNA editing by disrupting miR-30b-3p and miR-573 binding

QIONG WANG,^{1,2,5} HAIPENG HUI,^{3,5} ZHENDONG GUO,^{1,5} WEINA ZHANG,¹ YAOU HU,¹ TAO HE,¹ YANHONG TAI,³ PENG PENG,^{1,4,6} and LI WANG^{1,6}

¹Beijing Institute of Biotechnology, Beijing 100071, China

²Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, Beijing 100850, China

³General Hospital of PLA, Beijing 100853, China

⁴Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing 100730, China

ABSTRACT

Rho GTPase activating protein 26 (*ARHGAP26*) is a negative regulator of the Rho family that converts the small G proteins RhoA and Cdc42 to their inactive GDP-bound forms. It is essential for the CLIC/GEEC endocytic pathway, cell spreading, and muscle development. The present study shows that *ARHGAP26* mRNA undergoes extensive A-to-I RNA editing in the 3' UTR that is specifically catalyzed by ADAR1. Furthermore, the mRNA and protein levels of *ARHGAP26* were decreased in cells in which ADAR1 was knocked down. Conversely, ADAR1 overexpression increased the abundance of *ARHGAP26* mRNA and protein. In addition, we found that both miR-30b-3p and miR-573 target the *ARHGAP26* gene and that RNA editing of *ARHGAP26* mediated by ADAR1 abolished the repression of its expression by miR-30b-3p or miR-573. When ADAR1 was overexpressed, the reduced abundance of *ARHGAP26* protein mediated by miR-30b-3p or miR-573 was rescued. Importantly, we also found that knocking down ADAR1 elevated RhoA activity, which was consistent with the reduced level of *ARHGAP26*. Conversely, when ADAR1 was overexpressed, the amount of RhoA-GTP decreased. The similar expression patterns of *ARHGAP26* and ADAR1 in human tissue samples further confirmed our findings. Taken together, our results suggest that ADAR1 regulates the expression of *ARHGAP26* through A-to-I RNA editing by disrupting the binding of miR-30b-3p and miR-573 within the 3' UTR of *ARHGAP26*. This study provides a novel insight into the mechanism by which ADAR1 and its RNA editing function regulate microRNA-mediated modulation of target genes.

Keywords: A-to-I RNA editing; ADARs; *ARHGAP26*; miR-30b-3p; miR-573; microRNA

INTRODUCTION

A-to-I RNA editing, the most common type of RNA editing in mammals, alters the genomic information of a gene through nucleotide base modification from adenosine (A) to inosine (I) post-transcriptionally (Bass and Weintraub 1988; Wagner et al. 1989; Gallo and Galardi 2008; Farajollahi and Maas 2010; Nishikura 2010). This type of RNA editing is catalyzed by a family of enzymes called adenosine deaminases acting on RNA (ADAR). There are four human ADARs: ADAR1, ADAR2, ADAR3, and TENR (Schumacher et al. 1995; Melcher et al. 1996a,b; Bass et al. 1997; Chen et al. 2000; Farajollahi and Maas 2010; Nishikura 2010). ADAR1 and ADAR2 are ubiquitously expressed in various tissues and have intrinsic A-to-I editing activity. However, neither the brain-specific ADAR3 nor the testis-specific TENR has edit-

ing activity (Saunders and Barber 2003; Farajollahi and Maas 2010; Nishikura 2010). ADAR1 and ADAR2 are conserved in their domain arrangement, which includes dsRNA-binding domains at the amino terminus and a highly conserved deaminase domain at the carboxyl terminus. They have distinct, but overlapping, editing site selections (Lehmann and Bass 2000; Wong et al. 2001; Kallman et al. 2003; Riedmann et al. 2008). ADAR2 prefers to specifically edit the R/G site, whereas ADAR1 edits more promiscuously adenosines without sequence specificity (Kallman et al. 2003). Both ADAR1 and ADAR2 share a similar 5' neighbor preference ($A \approx U > C = G$); however, the latter shows a 3' neighbor preference (Lehmann and Bass 2000). Apart from the surrounding sequence, editing efficiency is strongly influenced by the base opposing the edited adenosine of the double-

⁵These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁶Corresponding authors

E-mail liwang@tsinghua.edu.cn

E-mail pengp1999@gmail.com

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.041533.113>.

© 2013 Wang et al. This article is distributed exclusively by the RNA Society for the first 12 months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After 12 months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

stranded RNAs stem. It has been shown that A:C mismatches are preferred to A:A and A:G mismatches, or A:U base pairs (Wong et al. 2001; Riedmann et al. 2008).

Inosine is recognized as guanosine by the translation machinery; thus, an A-to-I RNA editing event leads to an A-to-G change. If an edited nucleotide is located in the protein-coding region, RNA editing might lead to mutation of the protein sequence. However, the majority of the A-to-I RNA editing sites are found in the noncoding, repetitive sequences, and many of those have unknown functions. A-to-I RNA editing sites located in the noncoding sequences have been proposed to modulate the expression level of mature miRNAs by inhibiting Drosha cleavage (Yang et al. 2006) or Dicer cleavage (Kawahara et al. 2007a), to redirect them to a different set of target genes compared with the unedited conditions by modifying the miRNA seed sequence (Kawahara et al. 2007b), to affect splicing events by creating or abolishing splicing sites (Rueter et al. 1999), and to regulate gene expression through the nuclear retention of inosine-containing RNAs (Prasanth et al. 2005; Nishikura 2010). The diverse impact of the A-to-I RNA editing on gene expression and function has led to studies on how RNA editing contributes to the pathogenesis of human diseases. Dysregulation of A-to-I RNA editing has been associated with dyschromatosis symmetrica hereditaria (Miyamura et al. 2003), Aicardi-Goutières syndrome (Rice et al. 2012), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Kawahara et al. 2004), epilepsy (Schmauss 2005), and certain cancers (Maas et al. 2001; Ishiuchi et al. 2002; Paz et al. 2007; Cenci et al. 2008; Galeano et al. 2013).

Rho GTPase activating protein 26 (ARHGAP26, also known as GRAF, GRAF1, OPHN1L) is a regulator of the Rho family that converts the small G proteins RhoA and Cdc42 to their inactive GDP-bound forms (Hildebrand et al. 1996). Through the negative regulation of small G protein RhoA, ARHGAP26 is critical for muscle development (Doherty et al. 2011b). ARHGAP26-depleted embryos in *Xenopus* exhibited elevated RhoA activity, progressive muscle degeneration, defective motility, and embryonic lethality (Doherty et al. 2011b). Through down-regulation of Cdc42 activity, ARHGAP26 is essential for the CLIC/GEEC endocytic pathway, which is characterized by its ability to internalize glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins, bacterial toxins, and large amounts of extracellular fluid (Lundmark et al. 2008). ARHGAP26 activity is up-regulated in spreading cells, and uptake via CLIC is concentrated at the leading edge of migrating cells. Depletion of ARHGAP26 inhibited CLIC generation and impaired cell spreading and migration (Doherty et al. 2011a). ARHGAP26 was also thought to be a putative tumor suppressor by negatively regulating RhoA and Cdc42 in human cancers, which are known for their growth-promoting effects in oncoprotein Ras-mediated malignant transformation (Khosravi-Far et al. 1995; Bojesen et al. 2006). Previous studies have shown that ARHGAP26 was significantly down-regulated in myeloid malignancies compared with controls (Qian et al. 2010) and in lung tumor

metastasis within the brain compared with primary lung adenocarcinoma (Zohrabian et al. 2007). The significant decrease in ARHGAP26 observed in X-linked α -thalassemia mental retardation syndrome suggested that it might be involved in the pathogenesis of mental retardation (Barresi et al. 2010).

Extensive A-to-I RNA editing events were predicted to occur in the ARHGAP26 transcript (Kim et al. 2004), which potentially destroy the putative binding sites of miR-30b-3p and miR-573. Our work demonstrated that ADAR1 specifically catalyzes these editing sites and regulates the expression of ARHGAP26. Moreover, both miR-30b-3p and miR-573 target the ARHGAP26 gene, and RNA editing of ARHGAP26 abolished the repression of its expression by miR-30b-3p or miR-573. In human invasive ductal breast cancer and glioblastoma specimens, we observed similar expression patterns of ARHGAP26 and ADAR1. Taken together, our results indicate that ADAR1 regulates ARHGAP26 gene expression through RNA editing by disrupting miR-30b-3p and miR-573 binding.

RESULTS

The ARHGAP26 transcript undergoes extensive A-to-I RNA editing in its 3' UTR

The human ARHGAP26 transcript has been predicted to undergo extensive A-to-I RNA editing in its 3' UTR (Kim et al. 2004). To verify these predicted RNA editing sites experimentally, we sequenced the matching DNA and RNA samples derived from the same specimens and compared the cDNA sequence with the corresponding genomic template in the human colon cancer cell line SW480, the human Burkitt's lymphoma cell line Raji, the human prostate carcinoma cell line DU145, the human osteosarcoma cell line U2OS, the human lung adenocarcinoma epithelial cell line A549, the estrogen receptor (ER)-positive human breast cancer cell line MCF-7, the nontransformed human mammary epithelial cell line MCF-10A, the human hepatoma cell line HepG2, and the human immortalized nontumor cell line Chang liver. The editing level was defined as the ratio of the G peak over the sum of the G and A peaks in the sequencing chromatogram. The experiments were repeated three times and a representative result is shown in Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure S1. We found that the ARHGAP26 transcript underwent extensive A-to-I RNA editing in these cell lines. The DU145 cells have the most editing sites (13 editing sites), with editing levels ranging from 25.7% to 85.5%. In contrast, the Raji cell line has the fewest editing sites (eight editing sites), with editing levels ranging from 11.0% to 34.9%. Twelve out of 13 (12/13) editing sites of ARHGAP26 pre-mRNA in DU145 were predicted to undergo A-to-I RNA editing (named U1–12), and a novel editing site N1 in its 3'-UTR sequence was identified (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 1). The new editing site N1 was specific to DU145 cells, with 29.1% editing levels, and

FIGURE 1. RNA editing levels of *ARHGAP26* transcript in its 3' UTR in different human cells. Twelve known A-to-I RNA editing sites of the *ARHGAP26* transcript in its 3' UTR are named U1–U12, starting from the 5' to the 3'. They were analyzed in SW480, Raji, DU145, U2OS, A549, MCF-7, MCF-10A, HepG2, and Chang liver cells by comparing the sequences of RT-PCR products with the corresponding genomic templates. The editing level was defined to be the ratio of the G peak over the sum of the G and A peaks in the sequencing chromatogram.

did not exist in the other cells tested in this study. Eight editing sites (except U2, U7, U8, and U11) were found to be present in all cell lines. Editing sites U2 and U11 were present in these cell lines, except Raji cells, with at least 22% or 8.7% editing levels, respectively. Editing site U7 was found in DU145, U2OS, MCF-7, MCF-10A, HepG2, and Chang liver cell lines with at least 7.5% editing levels. Compared with the U7 site, editing site U8 existed in all six cells except MCF-7, with at least 20.7% editing levels. Taken together, our results suggest that the *ARHGAP26* transcript undergoes extensive A-to-I RNA editing in its 3' UTR, although the number of the RNA editing sites varies, and the editing levels of the same editing site also vary among the cell lines tested in this study.

ADAR1 but not ADAR2 edits *ARHGAP26* transcript

ADAR1 and ADAR2 are both known to catalyze A-to-I RNA editing in mammals. The *ARHGAP26* transcript is edited at multiple sites; therefore, we attempted to determine which enzyme was responsible for these editing events. To address this question, we silenced ADAR1 or ADAR2 expression using RNA interference. As shown in Figure 2, A and B, MCF-10A cells were transfected with three double-stranded ADAR1-specific siRNAs, or with a nontargeting siRNA as negative control at the concentration of 30 nM. Total RNA, gDNA, and protein were prepared 48 h post-transfection and subjected to qPCR, Western blotting, and the RNA editing assays. The mRNA levels of ADAR1 were significantly decreased in cells transfected with ADAR1 siRNA oligonucleotides (Fig. 2A, top), which was further supported by Western blotting (Fig. 2A, bottom). ADAR2 and β -actin levels were relatively unchanged in the same assay. We then sequenced the RT-PCR products and measured the RNA editing levels to determine the effect of decreased ADAR1 expression on the editing sites in the 3' UTR of the *ARHGAP26* transcript. One representative experiment is shown in Figure 2B. Upon ADAR1 knockdown, RNA editing activity of all editing sites except U8 decreased significantly in cultured MCF-10A cells. Similar experiments were performed in MCF-10A cells

in which ADAR2 instead of ADAR1 was silenced. qPCR, Western blotting, and RNA editing assays were performed in cells treated with the siADAR2s at the concentration of 30 nM. As shown in Figure 2, C and D, three siRNA oligonucleotides specifically knocked down the expression of ADAR2 at both mRNA and protein levels; however, in contrast to ADAR1 knockdown, no significant changes in RNA editing levels were observed. To further determine the effect of ADAR2 knockdown, we also checked a known ADAR2 editing site in the *CYFIP2* transcript (Paz et al. 2007; Tariq et al. 2013) and found that the editing levels decreased from 10.6% to zero (Supplemental Fig. 2). To exclude any nonspecific effects, we repeated the experiments in A549 cells and obtained the same result (Supplemental Fig. 3). These results indicated that all the RNA editing sites except U8 in the *ARHGAP26* transcript are mediated by ADAR1.

To examine the effect of double silencing of ADAR1 and ADAR2 on RNA editing, MCF-10A cells were cotransfected with si-ADAR1-2# and si-ADAR2-1# at a concentration of 30 nM of each siRNA (Fig. 2E,F). Forty-eight hours post-transfection, whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed by Western blotting. As shown in Figure 2E, the protein levels of ADAR1 and ADAR2 decreased dramatically. We sequenced the RT-PCR product and measured the RNA editing levels. A representative experiment is shown in Figure 2F. Compared with the knockdown of ADAR1, there was no further inhibition of RNA editing levels in *ARHGAP26* transcript except U8 by knocking down both ADAR1 and ADAR2. These results further confirmed that ADAR1 plays a critical role in editing the *ARHGAP26* transcript.

To further confirm our results, we overexpressed ADAR1 in MCF-10A cells and examined the RNA editing activity. MCF-10A cells were transiently transfected with an expression vector encoding Flag-tagged ADAR1 or empty vector as a control. Whole-cell lysates were prepared 36 h post-transfection, and the expression levels of ADAR1 were determined by Western blotting (Fig. 2G). To monitor the editing activity after ADAR1 overexpression, we collected total RNA and amplified the cDNA using the oligonucleotides ARHGAP26-F

FIGURE 2. ADAR1, but not ADAR2 enzyme, edits the *ARHGAP26* transcript. (A) Effect of siRNAs on endogenous ADAR1 mRNA and protein levels assayed using qPCR (*top*) and Western blotting (*bottom*) in human MCF-10A cells. (B) Editing levels of RNA editing sites in the 3' UTR of the *ARHGAP26* transcript after specifically knocking down ADAR1 expression. (C) Effect of siRNAs on endogenous ADAR2 mRNA and protein levels assayed using qPCR (*top*) and Western blotting (*bottom*) in human MCF-10A cells. (D) Editing levels of RNA editing sites in the 3' UTR of the *ARHGAP26* transcript after specifically knocking down ADAR2 expression. (E) Effect of double silencing of ADAR1 and ADAR2 assayed using Western blotting in MCF-10A cells. (F) Editing levels of RNA editing in the 3' UTR of the *ARHGAP26* transcript after knocking down both ADAR1 and ADAR2 expression. (G) Western blotting analysis of ADAR1 after transfecting the overexpression vector FLAG-ADAR1 or control plasmid in MCF-10A cells. (H) Editing levels of RNA editing sites in the 3' UTR of the *ARHGAP26* transcript after overexpressing ADAR1.

and *ARHGAP26*-R, followed by sequencing of the cDNA products. Overexpression of ADAR1 increased the editing activity on the *ARHGAP26* transcript at all editing sites except U8 (Fig. 2H). These data suggested that the majority of editing of the *ARHGAP26* transcript was mediated by ADAR1. As shown in Figure 2D (si-ADAR2-3#), Figure 2F (double siADAR1/ADAR2), and Figure 2H (up-regulation of ADAR1), it seems that editing at the U8 site of the *ARHGAP26* transcript is mediated by ADAR2.

ADAR1 regulates expression of the *ARHGAP26* gene

Previous studies have shown that A-to-I RNA editing in the 3' UTR regulates gene expression by anchoring hyper-edited

transcripts in nuclear para-speckles (Prasanth et al. 2005; Tang et al. 2012). To test whether the A-to-I RNA editing affects *ARHGAP26* gene expression, we first determined the effect of ADAR1 knockdown on the expression of *ARHGAP26* by qPCR and Western blotting. MCF-10A and A549 cells were transfected with ADAR1-specific siRNAs, or nontargeting siRNA as a control. Total RNA and protein were prepared after 48 h and subjected to qPCR and Western blotting. The reduction in endogenous ADAR1 led to a decrease in *ARHGAP26* mRNA and protein abundance (Fig. 3A; data not shown). Conversely, overexpression of ADAR1 resulted in an increase of *ARHGAP26* mRNA and protein expression in MCF-10A cells (Fig. 3B). These data suggest that ADAR1 regulates the abundance of *ARHGAP26* mRNA and protein in cells.

FIGURE 3. ADAR1 regulates the gene expression of *ARHGAP26*. (A) qPCR (top) and Western blotting (bottom) analysis of *ARHGAP26* expression levels following transient silencing of ADAR1 in human MCF-10A cells. (B) qPCR (top) and Western blotting (bottom) analysis of *ARHGAP26* expression levels after transfecting MCF-10A cells with the ADAR1 expression vector or the empty vector.

Editing of the *ARHGAP26* transcript leads to destruction of miR-30b-3p and miR-573 target sites

To explore how the RNA editing at specific sites affects the expression of *ARHGAP26*, we performed in silico analysis of these RNA editing sites in its 3' UTR. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous regulatory molecules that modulate gene expression or mRNA stability by pairing to the 3' UTRs. Target recognition by an miRNA is mainly achieved by pairing to the miRNA seed sequence. A single-nucleotide mutation in the miRNA seed sequence could abolish miRNA binding and repression (Lewis et al. 2003; Brennecke et al. 2005). Recently, it was reported that A-to-I RNA editing may block miRNA:target recognition (Liang and Landweber 2007). We scanned the 3'-UTR sequence of *ARHGAP26* using miRanda (Miranda et al. 2006) to identify putative miRNA binding sites and calculate minimum free energy (MFE) changes induced by the unedited (adenosine at the editing sites) or edited transcripts (guanine at the editing sites) of *ARHGAP26*. We observed that editing sites U3 and U4 were located in the putative target site of miR-30b-3p and editing site U12 resides in the putative target sites of miR-573. RNA editing increased the MFE of the corresponding RNA duplex and led to weaker miR-30b-3p:mRNA or miR-573:mRNA binding. This mechanism may also lead to the destruction of target sites presented in the *ARHGAP26* transcript.

To investigate whether miR-30b-3p and miR-573 regulate *ARHGAP26* gene expression, we performed transient transfection with miR-30b-3p or miR-573 mimics and inhibitors. MCF-10A cells were transfected with miR-30b-3p mimics or miR-573 mimics at a concentration of 80 nM, and whole-cell lysates were prepared 48 h post-transfection and subjected to

Western blotting. As expected, ARHGAP26 protein levels were decreased in cells treated with the miR-30b-3p or miR-573 mimics, while no detectable reduction of the β -actin level was observed. In contrast, transfection with the miR-30b-3p or miR-573 inhibitors increased the protein levels of ARHGAP26 (Fig. 4A,B). These data suggest that miR-30b-3p and miR-573 repress the expression of ARHGAP26.

To further determine whether the RNA editing sites U3, U4, and U12 affect the binding of miR-30b-3p or miR-573 to the target 3' UTR of *ARHGAP26*, we performed luciferase reporter assays. We constructed two pGL3-26UTR vectors carrying either the unedited type (adenosine at the editing sites) or the edited type (A $\rightarrow$ G at the U3, U4, and U12 editing sites) of the 3'-UTR transcript (Fig. 4C,D). HEK 293T cells were cotransfected with miR-30b-3p, miR-573 mimics, or the scrambled control. As shown in Figure 4, E and F, miR-30b-3p and miR-573 reduced the luciferase activity from the unedited plasmid by 30% and 20%, respectively; however, no suppression of the luciferase activity from the reporter containing edited-type sequence was observed. Thus, RNA editing inhibited the suppression of ARHGAP26 expression mediated by miR-30b-3p and miR-573, indicating that RNA editing of *ARHGAP26* by ADAR1 may destroy the miR-30b-3p and miR-573 target sites in the 3' UTR of *ARHGAP26*.

To investigate whether ADAR1 could rescue ARHGAP26 expression that was down-regulated by miR-30b-3p or miR-573 transfection, we cotransfected miR-30b-3p or miR-573 mimics with the ADAR1 expression vector into MCF-10A cells. Western blotting confirmed that the reduction in the amount of ARHGAP26 protein mediated by miR-30b-3p or miR-573 was rescued by ADAR1 overexpression (Fig. 4G, H). In addition, we examined the endogenous levels of miR-30b-3p or miR573 in MCF-10A cells, and in MCF-7, HepG2, and Chang liver cells. As shown in Figure 4, I and J, miR-30b-3p has a similar expression in all cell lines, whereas lower levels of miR-573 were observed in MCF-7 and HepG2 cells compared with the noncancerous control MCF-10A or Chang liver cells. Taken together, these results suggested that editing of *ARHGAP26* transcript by ADAR1 leads to destruction of miR-30b-3p and miR-573 target sites.

ADAR1 regulates the activity of RhoA

ARHGAP26 is known to be a GAP for RhoA and Cdc42 (Hildebrand et al. 1996). Therefore, based on our finding that ADAR1 regulates the abundance of ARHGAP26, we hypothesized that ADAR1 might inhibit RhoA activity by regulating ARHGAP26 expression. To test the RhoA activity, we used a Rho binding domain of Rhotekin fused to GST (GST-RBD) pull-down assay, which only captures the active GTP-bound form of RhoA. As shown in Figure 5, A and B, knocking down ADAR1 caused an increase in the amount of RhoA-GTP, but when Flag-tagged ADAR1 was overexpressed, the amount of RhoA-GTP decreased; the total amount of RhoA was not affected. These results indicated

FIGURE 4. Effects of A-to-I RNA editing at the U3 and U4 or U12 sites on the regulation of miR-30b-3p or miR-573. (A) Western blotting analysis of ARHGAP26 in MCF-10A cells transfected with miRNA negative control (NS-m) or miR-30b-3p mimics, miRNA inhibitor negative control (NS-i), or miR-30b-3p inhibitor. (B) Western blotting analysis of ARHGAP26 in MCF-10A cells transfected with miRNA negative control (NS-m) or miR-573 mimics, miRNA inhibitor negative control (NS-i), or miR-573 inhibitor, respectively. (C) Schematic representation of luciferase reporter constructs containing unedited (adenosine at the U3 and U4 editing sites) or edited (A → G at the U3 and U4 editing sites) form within the miR-30b-3p binding site. (D) Schematic representation of luciferase reporter constructs containing unedited (adenosine at the U12 editing sites) or edited (A → G at the U12 editing sites) form within the miR-573 binding site. (E) The miR-30b-3p:target interaction was shown with the unedited or edited sites highlighted (upper panel). The effect of the unedited (A) or edited (G) form on the miR-30b-3p:target interaction by analysis of luciferase activity (lower panel). Relative luciferase activities for pGL3-26UTR-unedit or pGL3-26UTR-edit1 cotransfected with the predicted interacting miR-30b-3p and the negative control (=1); the results are presented as mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments performed in a total of six replicates. (**) Significant differences between unedited and edited targets ($P < 0.01$). (F) The miR-573:target interaction is shown with the unedited or edited sites highlighted (upper panel). The effect of the unedited (A) or edited (G) form on the miR-573:target interaction by analysis of luciferase activity (lower panel). Relative luciferase activities for pGL3-26UTR-unedit or pGL3-26UTR-edit2 cotransfected with the predicted interacting miR-573 and the negative control (=1); the results are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments performed in a total of six replicates. (**) Significant differences between the unedited and edited targets ($P < 0.01$). (G) Immunoblotting of ARHGAP26 in MCF-10A cells transfected with control-miRNA, miR-30b-3p, or cotransfected with miR-30b-3p and ADAR1 expression vectors. (H) Immunoblotting of ARHGAP26 in MCF-10A cells transfected with control-miRNA, miR-573, or cotransfected with miR-573 and ADAR1 expression vectors. (I) qPCR analysis of miR-30b-3p in MCF-10A, MCF-7, Chang liver, and HepG2 cells. (J) qPCR analysis of miR-573 in MCF-10A, MCF-7, Chang liver, and HepG2 cells.

FIGURE 5. ADAR1 regulates the activity of RhoA. (A) Knockdown of ADAR1 increased the activity of RhoA in MCF-10A cells. MCF-10A cells were transfected with control siRNA or ADAR1 siRNA as indicated. GTP-RhoA was assayed using GST-RBD. (B) Overexpression of ADAR1 decreased the activity of RhoA in MCF-10A cells. MCF-10A cells were transfected with control vector or ectopic ADAR1 plasmid, as indicated. GTP-RhoA was assayed using GST-RBD.

that ADAR1 modulates RhoA activity probably through ARHGAP26.

ADAR1 and ARHGAP26 expression in human tissues

To test whether there is a correlation between ADAR1 and ARHGAP26 expressions in human tissues, we analyzed the expressions of ARHGAP26, ADAR1, ADAR2, miR-30b-3p, and miR-573 in nine human invasive ductal breast cancer samples versus the matched adjacent normal tissues using qPCR. We found that ADAR1 and ARHGAP26 showed a similar expression pattern in the nine pairs of human samples (Fig. 6A,B). In addition, an inverse expression pattern between ARHGAP26 and miR-573 was observed in six out of nine paired samples. However, no correlation was observed between ADAR2 and ARHGAP26 (Supplemental Fig. 4). MiR-30b-3p levels could not be detected in most of these samples (Fig. 6C,D).

To determine whether there is a correlation between ADAR1 and ARHGAP26 expressions in other human cancers, we collected glioblastoma (GBM) specimens in which ADAR1 might be down-regulated (Paz et al. 2007), which would correlate with ARHGAP26's role as a tumor suppressor gene. Three pairs of human adult glioblastoma tissues versus the adjacent noncancerous tissue were used for qPCR, Western blotting, and RNA editing analyses. As expected, the mRNA and protein levels of ARHGAP26 and ADAR1 were decreased in the glioblastoma samples, while ADAR2 expression showed

no change in two out of three glioblastoma samples (Fig. 7A–F). A significant decrease in the amount of RNA editing in the *ARHGAP26* transcript was observed in human glioblastoma compared with the adjacent noncancerous tissues (Supplemental Fig. 5). Editing at sites U3, U4, and U12 was predicted to destroy miRNA binding sites (Fig. 4), indicating that decreased editing levels at these three sites are required for the repression of ARHGAP26 mediated by miR-30b-3p or miR-573. To exclude the possibility that decreased levels of ARHGAP26 were caused by overexpression of miR-30b-3p and miR-573, we used qPCR to examine the endogenous levels of miR-30b-3p or miR-573 in the glioblastoma tissues. No significant increases in miR-30b-3p and miR-573 levels were found in most of the samples (Fig. 7G,H). These data suggested that the changes in the mRNA and protein levels of ARHGAP26 in the human tissues were associated with altered ADAR1 expression.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrate that ADAR1 regulates the expression of ARHGAP26 by RNA editing, which disrupts the miR-30b-3p and miR-573 binding sites. A-to-I RNA editing in 3' UTR of genes provides a layer of regulation in addition to microRNA modulation of target genes. The levels of A-to-I RNA editing determine the degree of disruption of miRNA-mediated repression. RNA editing increases the diversity of miRNA regulation of the target genes (Blow et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2006). Our data support the hypothesis that A-to-I RNA editing has the potential to block the miRNA: target recognition revealed by computational modeling (Liang and Landweber 2007). This is the first study to provide experimental evidence supporting this hypothesis. The prediction showed that more than 100 editing positions reside in miRNA seed sequences, although computational simulation suggests that RNA editing tends to avoid miRNA target sites in general (Liang and Landweber 2007). Further investigation is needed to verify the miRNA target sites and evaluate the functional consequences of specific A-to-I RNA editing. In contrast, A-to-I editing events have been demonstrated to have the capability to create functional miRNA target sites (Borchert et al. 2009). Destruction or creation of functional miRNA target sites are important features of A-to-I RNA editing for cross talk with miRNA:target recognition.

The ARHGAP26 protein is essential to the CLIC/GEEC endocytic pathway and muscle development via its capacity to regulate the GTPase activity of RhoA and Cdc42 proteins.

FIGURE 6. ADAR1 and ARHGAP26 expression in human invasive ductal breast cancers. qPCR analysis of (A) ADAR1, (B) ARHGAP26, (C) miR-573, and (D) miR-30b-3p in nine paired human invasive ductal breast cancers.

Via the CLIC/GEEC endocytic pathway, ARHGAP26 is concentrated at the leading edge of spreading cells and facilitates cell morphological changes, which is important during the transformation of a normal cell into a cancer phenotype. Knocking down of ARHGAP26 decreased CLIC generation and impaired cell spreading and migration (Doherty et al. 2011a). Therefore, based on our findings that ADAR1 modulates ARHGAP26 protein abundance and negatively regulates the activity of RhoA, we hypothesize that ADAR1 affects the functions of the ARHGAP26 protein. In addition, ARHGAP26 was also thought to be a putative tumor suppressor, by negatively regulating RhoA and Cdc42 in human cancers, which have growth-promoting effects in oncoprotein Ras-mediated malignant transformation (Khosravi-Far et al. 1995; Bojesen et al. 2006). Thus, we selected brain cancer tissues in which ADAR1 was reported to be decreased (Glioma cell line U87 cells) (Paz et al. 2007) to determine if its expression correlated with the tumor suppressor function of ARHGAP26. A loss of ADAR1 and ARHGAP26 expression was observed in the three human adult glioblastomas studied here. However, ADAR1 expression in GBM is a controversial point: Two other studies showed either no difference in ADAR1 expression (Maas et al. 2001) or ADAR1 overexpression in pediatric GBMs (Cenci et al. 2008). Until now, there has been no report of ARHGAP26's involvement in brain cancer. Considering the limited samples in our study, the role of ADAR1 and ARHGAP26 in human brain cancer requires further investigation.

Thirteen A-to-I RNA editing sites showing a high level of editing were found in the 3' UTR of human *ARHGAP26* pre-mRNA (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 1). RNA editing sites U3, U4, and U12 disrupt the miR-30b-3p and miR-573 target

sites; however, the function of the other editing sites remains unknown. The 13 editing sites studied here are embedded in *Alu* elements, which are conserved ~300-nucleotide (nt)-long repeat sequences in the human genome. Recent studies have suggested several potential roles of A-to-I RNA editing within *Alu* elements in gene expression (Chen and Carmichael 2008). *Alu* editing located in the coding region may change the amino acid sequence and thus potentially affect the protein function, while *Alu* editing within introns might influence splicing and cross talk with the microRNA pathway and regulate gene expression via nuclear retention (Chen and Carmichael 2008). Therefore, it remains to be determined whether the editing events identified in the present study would result in the nuclear retention of ARHGAP26. According to a previous study (Chen and Carmichael 2008), unedited-type ARHGAP26 protein is preferentially exported to the cytoplasm, whereas the highly edited RNA isoforms are selectively retained in the nucleus. However, ARHGAP26 was found to be distributed in the cytoplasm of HeLa and NIH 3T3 cells (Lundmark et al. 2008). Further study is warranted to explore the correlation between ARHGAP26 mRNA editing and protein cellular localization at high resolution.

In summary, we identified extensive A-to-I RNA editing sites in the 3' UTR of the *ARHGAP26* transcript that were specifically catalyzed by ADAR1. We found that ADAR1 regulated the expression of the *ARHGAP26* gene through A-to-I RNA editing by destroying the miR-30b-3p and miR-573 binding sites. Furthermore, ARHGAP26 expression in the human tissues was associated with altered ADAR1 expression. Moreover, the regulation of ARHGAP26 expression by ADAR1 leads to changes in RhoA activity. Our results provide

FIGURE 7. Reduced expression of *ARHGAP26* and *ADAR1* in three human adult glioblastoma tissues. (A,C,E) Western blotting analysis of *ARHGAP26*, *ADAR1*, and *ADAR2* expression. (B,D,F) qPCR analysis of *ARHGAP26*, *ADAR1*, and *ADAR2* expression. (G) qPCR analysis of miR-30b-3p expression. (H) qPCR analysis of miR-573 expression.

a novel insight into the mechanism by which *ADAR1* and its RNA editing function regulate the microRNA modulation of target genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human tumor and control tissues

Three paired glioblastoma and adjacent noncancerous tissues were obtained from the 301 Hospital of PLA. Nine paired human invasive ductal breast cancer and the adjacent noncancerous tissues were obtained from the 307 Hospital of PLA and Peking Union Medical College Hospital. The ethics committee of the Beijing Institute of Biotechnology approved all the experiments using the tissues.

Cell culture and transfection

Ten cell lines were used in this study. The human prostate carcinoma cell line DU145, the human colon cancer cell line SW480, the human lung adenocarcinoma epithelial cell line A549, and the human Burkitt's lymphoma cell line Raji were originally purchased from ATCC and maintained in RPMI 1640 media supplemented

with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin. The human hepatoma cell line HepG2, the human estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer cell line MCF-7, the human immortalized nontumor cell line Chang liver, the human osteosarcoma cell line U2OS, and the human renal epithelial cell line HEK-293T cell lines were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin. The nontransformed human mammary epithelial cell line MCF-10A was maintained in DMEM/F12 (1:1) supplemented with 5% (v/v) heat-inactivated horse serum, 10 µg/mL insulin, 20 ng/mL EGF, 0.5 µg/mL hydrocortisone, 100 ng/mL Cholera toxin, and penicillin/streptomycin antibiotic. All cells were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator with humidified air. Plasmids were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

RNA extraction, RT-PCR, and real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated with the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and genomic DNA was extracted from the tissues and cultured cells according to the manufacturer's instructions of the Wizard genomic DNA purification kit (Promega). To remove genomic DNA contamination, RNA samples were treated with DNase I (Takara).

First-strand cDNA was synthesized from total RNA with M-MLV (Takara) using oligo(dT) for the editing analysis and real-time PCR analysis.

For the editing analysis, PCR was performed according to standard procedures with 30 pM each primer and 2.5 units of rTaq DNA polymerase (Takara) to amplify the transcripts and the genomic DNA using the cDNA and gDNA as templates, respectively. The cycling conditions for amplification were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min; then 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 50 sec; followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Control experiments were conducted without the reverse transcriptase enzyme (no RT-control) to verify that the amplified products were from the reverse-transcribed mRNA and not from contaminating genomic DNA. Specific products were gel-purified and directly sequenced. The following primers were used to amplify the 3' UTR of *ARHGAP26* containing the 13 editing sites: ARHGAP26-F (5'-GGAGGGGTATAGATTGTGCCGT-3') and ARHGAP26-R (5'-TGTCTACAGGGATGCTTCGTGAG-3'). The following primers were used to amplify the CYFIP2 sequence: CYFIP2-F (5'-CTACCTAATGGATGGAAATGTCAGTAAC-3') and CYFIP2-R (5'-CCCGGATCTGAACCATCTG-3').

To analyze the mRNA expression of ADAR1, ADAR2, and *ARHGAP26*, quantitative real-time PCR was performed in triplicate on a MiniOpticon Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad) using SYBR Green Master Mix (Takara). The mRNA levels were normalized to the expression of the housekeeping gene *GAPDH*. The primers used were as follows: for *GAPDH*, forward (5'-CACCATCTTCCAGGAGCGAG-3') and reverse (5'-GCAAATGAGCCCCAGCCT-3'); for *ADAR1*, forward (5'-GCTTGGGAACAGGGAATCG-3') and reverse (5'-CTGTAGAGAAACCTGATGAAGCC-3'); for *ADAR2*, forward (5'-CGCAGGTTTTAGCTGACGC-3') and reverse (5'-GCATCTTTAACATCTGTGCCTGT-3'); for *ARHGAP26*, forward (5'-TAAGAATGCTTCCAGGACCACCTC-3') and reverse (5'-GCTGTAACTCTGCCGATTTTTC-3').

Analysis of microRNA expression by qRT-PCR

qRT-PCR assays were performed to measure the expression levels of microRNAs. Total RNA, containing microRNAs, was extracted from cells or tissues using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Hairpin-it miRNAs Real-Time PCR Quantitation Kit (GenePharma, China) containing a stem-loop-like RT primer, miRNA-specific PCR primer, and the molecular beacon probe was used to detect the miRNAs according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA with an miRNA-specific stem-loop-like RT primer, and U6 was used as the internal control. The expression of each miRNA relative to that of U6 was determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method.

Plasmid constructions

FLAG-ADAR1 was kindly provided by Dr. Carmo-Fonseca (Desterro et al. 2003). To assess the A-to-I RNA editing on the potential miR-30b-3p and miR-573 targets of *ARHGAP26*, pGL3-26UTR-unedit, pGL3-26UTR-edit1, and pGL3-26UTR-edit2 were constructed. The partial 3' UTR of human *ARHGAP26*, which contains both potential miR-30b-3p and miR-573 targets, was amplified using primers 26UTR-F (5'-TAAAGATCTGCCTCAGGGGATGTGC-3') and 26UTR-R (5'-ATAGGTACCCAGGGATGCTTCGTG

AG-3'). The PCR products were cloned into pGL3-CM, in which the multiple cloning site of the pGL3-control vector (Promega) was removed and placed downstream from the luciferase gene, as previously described (Sun et al. 2008), to generate the luciferase reporter vector pGL3-26UTR-unedit. To mimic A-to-I RNA editing, we generated a luciferase reporter vector, pGL3-26UTR-edit1, which contains two A-to-G mutants in the potential miR-30b-3p targets, and pGL3-26UTR-edit2, which contains an A-to-G mutant in the potential miR-573 targets. The primers for site-directed mutagenesis were as follows: for pGL3-26UTR-edit1, forward (5'-GAACCCGGGAGGCGGAGGTT-3') and reverse (5'-TCCGCCTCCCGGGTTCAAGT-3'); for pGL3-26UTR-edit2, forward (5'-TTGGGGGTCCGAAGTGGGC-3') and reverse (5'-CTTCGGA CCCCCAAAGTGCTG-3'). The PCR products of the mutated 3' UTR of *ARHGAP26* were cloned into pGL3-CM to generate pGL3-26UTR-edit1 or pGL3-26UTR-edit2.

Luciferase assay

To assess the effect of A-to-I RNA editing in the miRNA target sites, HEK293T cells were cotransfected in 24-well plates with pGL3 (luciferase reporter vectors), pRL-TK (*Renilla*; Promega), miRNA mimics, or scrambled negative control (Genepharma, Shanghai), using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were lysed in 100 μ L of passive lysis buffer according to the Dual-Luciferase reporter assay protocol (Promega), and luciferase activity was measured by using the Dual-Luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) on a TD-20/20 Luminometer (Turner Designs). All experiments were repeated at least three times.

RNA interference

To knock down ADAR1 or ADAR2 in A549 or MCF-10A cells, duplex siRNAs for target sequence and nontarget siRNA control (Negative control) were synthesized by Genepharma, Shanghai and transfected, respectively, into A549 or MCF-10A cells at a concentration of 30 nM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's instructions. siRNAs targeting ADAR1 were as follows: si-ADAR1-1# (sense, GCGACUAUCUCUCAAUGUTT; antisense, ACAUUGAAGAGAUAGUCGCTT); si-ADAR1-2# (sense, GGCCCCGAGAUUAAAUGCUTT; antisense, AGCAUUUAUAUCUCGGGCCTT); si-ADAR1-3# (sense, GCCC AUUUUAUCUCAAUCUTT; antisense, AGAUUUGAGAUAAU GGGCTT). siRNAs targeting ADAR2 were as follows: si-ADAR2-1# (sense, GCGCCUUUGUUUGUCAUGUTT; antisense, ACAUGACAAACAAAGGCGCTT); si-ADAR2-2# (sense, CAGGCACA GAUGUAAAAGATT; antisense, UCUUUAACAUCUGUGCCUG TT); si-ADAR2-3# (sense, CCGCUAUUGAGGUCAUCAATT; antisense, UUGAUGACCUCAAUAGCGGTT). Controls included a nontargeting siRNA (NC). The extent and specificity of the silencing of ADARs were assessed by qPCR and Western blotting.

Transient miRNA mimics/inhibitors transfection

To determine the effects of miR-30b-3p or miR-573 on the expression of *ARHGAP26* in MCF-10A cells, we performed transient miRNA mimics/inhibitors transfection and Western blotting. MiR-30b-3p mimics, miR-573 mimics and negative control miRNA

mimics (NC mimics), miR-30b-3p inhibitors, and miR-573 inhibitors and negative controls were synthesized by Genepharma and transfected into MCF-10A cells at a concentration of 80 nM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Cotransfection of ADAR1 expression constructs (1 µg of DNA) and miRNA mimics (80 nM per well of six-well plates) was done with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

Western blotting

Total protein extracts of transfected cells or human tissues were prepared for Western blotting in lysis buffer consisting of 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) supplemented with a mixture of protease inhibitors (Roche). For immunoblotting, protein extracts were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. The antibodies for ADAR1, ADAR2, and RhoA were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; the antibody for ARHGAP26 was from Abcam; the antibody for Flag was from Sigma-Aldrich, and the antibody for β-actin was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.

GTPase activity pull-down assay

The RhoA activity assay was performed using the Rho binding domain of Rhotekin fused to GST (GST-RBD). Briefly, after washing with PBS, cells were lysed immediately with RBD lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.2, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and Cocktail). Cell lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 16,000g for 10 min at 4°C. Equal volumes of the lysates were incubated with GST-RBD fusion proteins on glutathione-S-transferase beads, to pull down active RhoA proteins. GST proteins were used as a negative control. After incubation for 2 h at 4°C, the beads were washed three times with cold RBD lysis buffer. The RhoA proteins were eluted with sample buffer and subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Western blotting was performed using anti-RhoA antibodies.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Chenguang Wang for critical reading and editing. This work was supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (30800644 and 31100940).

Received July 23, 2013; accepted August 7, 2013.

REFERENCES

- Barresi V, Ragusa A, Fichera M, Musso N, Castiglia L, Rappazzo G, Travali S, Mattina T, Romano C, Cocchi G, et al. 2010. Decreased expression of GRAF1/OPHN-1-L in the X-linked α thalassemia mental retardation syndrome. *BMC Med Genomics* 3: 28.
- Bass BL, Weintraub H. 1988. An unwinding activity that covalently modifies its double-stranded RNA substrate. *Cell* 55: 1089–1098.
- Bass BL, Nishikura K, Keller W, Seeburg PH, Emeson RB, O'Connell MA, Samuel CE, Herbert A. 1997. A standardized nomenclature for adenosine deaminases that act on RNA. *RNA* 3: 947–949.
- Blow MJ, Grocock RJ, van Dongen S, Enright AJ, Dicks E, Futreal PA, Wooster R, Stratton MR. 2006. RNA editing of human microRNAs. *Genome Biol* 7: R27.
- Bojesen SE, Ammerpohl O, Weinhausl A, Haas OA, Mettal H, Bohle RM, Borkhardt A, Fuchs U. 2006. Characterisation of the GRAF gene promoter and its methylation in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. *Br J Cancer* 94: 323–332.
- Borchert GM, Gilmore BL, Spengler RM, Xing Y, Lanier W, Bhattacharya D, Davidson BL. 2009. Adenosine deamination in human transcripts generates novel microRNA binding sites. *Hum Mol Genet* 18: 4801–4807.
- Brennecke J, Stark A, Russell RB, Cohen SM. 2005. Principles of microRNA–target recognition. *PLoS Biol* 3: e85.
- Cenci C, Barzotti R, Galeano F, Corbelli S, Rota R, Massimi L, Di Rocco C, O'Connell MA, Gallo A. 2008. Down-regulation of RNA editing in pediatric astrocytomas: ADAR2 editing activity inhibits cell migration and proliferation. *J Biol Chem* 283: 7251–7260.
- Chen LL, Carmichael GG. 2008. Gene regulation by SINES and inosines: Biological consequences of A-to-I editing of *Alu* element inverted repeats. *Cell Cycle* 7: 3294–3301.
- Chen CX, Cho DS, Wang Q, Lai F, Carter KC, Nishikura K. 2000. A third member of the RNA-specific adenosine deaminase gene family, ADAR3, contains both single- and double-stranded RNA binding domains. *RNA* 6: 755–767.
- Desterro JM, Keegan LP, Lafarga M, Berciano MT, O'Connell M, Carmo-Fonseca M. 2003. Dynamic association of RNA-editing enzymes with the nucleolus. *J Cell Sci* 116: 1805–1818.
- Doherty GJ, Ahlund MK, Howes MT, Moren B, Parton RG, McMahon HT, Lundmark R. 2011a. The endocytic protein GRAF1 is directed to cell-matrix adhesion sites and regulates cell spreading. *Mol Biol Cell* 22: 4380–4389.
- Doherty JT, Lenhart KC, Cameron MV, Mack CP, Conlon FL, Taylor JM. 2011b. Skeletal muscle differentiation and fusion are regulated by the BAR-containing Rho-GTPase-activating protein (RhoGAP), GRAF1. *J Biol Chem* 286: 25903–25921.
- Farajollahi S, Maas S. 2010. Molecular diversity through RNA editing: A balancing act. *Trends Genet* 26: 221–230.
- Galeano F, Rossetti C, Tomaselli S, Cifaldi L, Lezzerini M, Pezzullo M, Boldrini R, Massimi L, Di Rocco CM, Locatelli F, et al. 2013. ADAR2-editing activity inhibits glioblastoma growth through the modulation of the CDC14B/Skp2/p21/p27 axis. *Oncogene* 32: 998–1009.
- Gallo A, Galardi S. 2008. A-to-I RNA editing and cancer: From pathology to basic science. *RNA Biol* 5: 135–139.
- Hildebrand JD, Taylor JM, Parsons JT. 1996. An SH3 domain-containing GTPase-activating protein for Rho and Cdc42 associates with focal adhesion kinase. *Mol Cell Biol* 16: 3169–3178.
- Ishiyuchi S, Tsuzuki K, Yoshida Y, Yamada N, Hagimura N, Okado H, Miwa A, Kurihara H, Nakazato Y, Tamura M, et al. 2002. Blockage of Ca²⁺-permeable AMPA receptors suppresses migration and induces apoptosis in human glioblastoma cells. *Nat Med* 8: 971–978.
- Kallman AM, Sahlin M, Ohman M. 2003. ADAR2 A → I editing: Site selectivity and editing efficiency are separate events. *Nucleic Acids Res* 31: 4874–4881.
- Kawahara Y, Ito K, Sun H, Aizawa H, Kanazawa I, Kwak S. 2004. Glutamate receptors: RNA editing and death of motor neurons. *Nature* 427: 801.
- Kawahara Y, Zinshteyn B, Chendrimada TP, Shiekhattar R, Nishikura K. 2007a. RNA editing of the microRNA-151 precursor blocks cleavage by the Dicer–TRBP complex. *EMBO Rep* 8: 763–769.
- Kawahara Y, Zinshteyn B, Sethupathy P, Iizasa H, Hatzigeorgiou AG, Nishikura K. 2007b. Redirection of silencing targets by adenosine-to-inosine editing of miRNAs. *Science* 315: 1137–1140.
- Khosravi-Far R, Solski PA, Clark GJ, Kinch MS, Der CJ. 1995. Activation of Rac1, RhoA, and mitogen-activated protein kinases is required for Ras transformation. *Mol Cell Biol* 15: 6443–6453.
- Kim DD, Kim TT, Walsh T, Kobayashi Y, Matise TC, Buyske S, Gabriel A. 2004. Widespread RNA editing of embedded *Alu* elements in the human transcriptome. *Genome Res* 14: 1719–1725.

- Lehmann KA, Bass BL. 2000. Double-stranded RNA adenosine deaminases ADAR1 and ADAR2 have overlapping specificities. *Biochemistry* **39**: 12875–12884.
- Lewis BP, Shih IH, Jones-Rhoades MW, Bartel DP, Burge CB. 2003. Prediction of mammalian microRNA targets. *Cell* **115**: 787–798.
- Liang H, Landweber LF. 2007. Hypothesis: RNA editing of microRNA target sites in humans? *RNA* **13**: 463–467.
- Lundmark R, Doherty GJ, Howes MT, Cortese K, Vallis Y, Parton RG, McMahon HT. 2008. The GTPase-activating protein GRAF1 regulates the CLIC/GEEC endocytic pathway. *Curr Biol* **18**: 1802–1808.
- Maas S, Patt S, Schrey M, Rich A. 2001. Underediting of glutamate receptor *GluR-B* mRNA in malignant gliomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **98**: 14687–14692.
- Melcher T, Maas S, Herb A, Sprengel R, Higuchi M, Seeburg PH. 1996a. RED2, a brain-specific member of the RNA-specific adenosine deaminase family. *J Biol Chem* **271**: 31795–31798.
- Melcher T, Maas S, Herb A, Sprengel R, Seeburg PH, Higuchi M. 1996b. A mammalian RNA editing enzyme. *Nature* **379**: 460–464.
- Miranda KC, Huynh T, Tay Y, Ang YS, Tam WL, Thomson AM, Lim B, Rigoutsos I. 2006. A pattern-based method for the identification of microRNA binding sites and their corresponding heteroduplexes. *Cell* **126**: 1203–1217.
- Miyamura Y, Suzuki T, Kono M, Inagaki K, Ito S, Suzuki N, Tomita Y. 2003. Mutations of the RNA-specific adenosine deaminase gene (*DSRAD*) are involved in dyschromatosis symmetrica hereditaria. *Am J Hum Genet* **73**: 693–699.
- Nishikura K. 2010. Functions and regulation of RNA editing by ADAR deaminases. *Annu Rev Biochem* **79**: 321–349.
- Paz N, Levanon EY, Amariglio N, Heimberger AB, Ram Z, Constantini S, Barbash ZS, Adamsky K, Safran M, Hirschberg A, et al. 2007. Altered adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing in human cancer. *Genome Res* **17**: 1586–1595.
- Prasanth KV, Prasanth SG, Xuan Z, Hearn S, Freier SM, Bennett CF, Zhang MQ, Spector DL. 2005. Regulating gene expression through RNA nuclear retention. *Cell* **123**: 249–263.
- Qian Z, Lin J, Qian J, Yao DM, Wang YL, Han LX, Zhu ZH, Xiao GF. 2010. [Quantification of GRAF gene expression in patients with acute myeloid leukemia using EvaGreen real time quantitative PCR.] *Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi* **27**: 290–293.
- Rice GI, Kashner PR, Forte GM, Mannion NM, Greenwood SM, Szykiewicz M, Dickerson JE, Bhaskar SS, Zampini M, Briggs TA, et al. 2012. Mutations in *ADAR1* cause Aicardi-Goutières syndrome associated with a type I interferon signature. *Nat Genet* **44**: 1243–1248.
- Riedmann EM, Schopoff S, Hartner JC, Jantsch MF. 2008. Specificity of ADAR-mediated RNA editing in newly identified targets. *RNA* **14**: 1110–1118.
- Rueter SM, Dawson TR, Emeson RB. 1999. Regulation of alternative splicing by RNA editing. *Nature* **399**: 75–80.
- Saunders LR, Barber GN. 2003. The dsRNA binding protein family: Critical roles, diverse cellular functions. *FASEB J* **17**: 961–983.
- Schmauss C. 2005. Regulation of serotonin 2C receptor pre-mRNA editing by serotonin. *Int Rev Neurobiol* **63**: 83–100.
- Schumacher JM, Lee K, Edelhoff S, Braun RE. 1995. Distribution of Tenr, an RNA-binding protein, in a lattice-like network within the spermatid nucleus in the mouse. *Biol Reprod* **52**: 1274–1283.
- Sun Q, Zhang Y, Yang G, Chen X, Zhang Y, Cao G, Wang J, Sun Y, Zhang P, Fan M, et al. 2008. Transforming growth factor- β -regulated miR-24 promotes skeletal muscle differentiation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**: 2690–2699.
- Tang W, Fei Y, Page M. 2012. Biological significance of RNA editing in cells. *Mol Biotechnol* **52**: 91–100.
- Tariq A, Garncarz W, Handl C, Balik A, Pusch O, Jantsch MF. 2013. RNA-interacting proteins act as site-specific repressors of ADAR2-mediated RNA editing and fluctuate upon neuronal stimulation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: 2581–2593.
- Wagner RW, Smith JE, Cooperman BS, Nishikura K. 1989. A double-stranded RNA unwinding activity introduces structural alterations by means of adenosine to inosine conversions in mammalian cells and *Xenopus* eggs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **86**: 2647–2651.
- Wong SK, Sato S, Lazinski DW. 2001. Substrate recognition by ADAR1 and ADAR2. *RNA* **7**: 846–858.
- Yang W, Chendrimada TP, Wang Q, Higuchi M, Seeburg PH, Shiekhattar R, Nishikura K. 2006. Modulation of microRNA processing and expression through RNA editing by ADAR deaminases. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **13**: 13–21.
- Zohrabian VM, Nandu H, Gulati N, Khitrov G, Zhao C, Mohan A, Demattia J, Braun A, Das K, Murali R, et al. 2007. Gene expression profiling of metastatic brain cancer. *Oncol Rep* **18**: 321–328.

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

ADAR1 regulates *ARHGAP26* gene expression through RNA editing by disrupting miR-30b-3p and miR-573 binding

Qiong Wang, Haipeng Hui, Zhendong Guo, et al.

RNA 2013 19: 1525-1536 originally published online September 25, 2013

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.041533.113](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.041533.113)

Supplemental Material

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2013/09/04/rna.041533.113.DC1>

References

This article cites 50 articles, 17 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/19/11/1525.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License

This article is distributed exclusively by the RNA Society for the first 12 months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After 12 months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>