Identification of the human PMR1 mRNA endonuclease as an alternatively processed product of the gene for peroxidasin-like protein

SHAN-QING GU,^{1,2,4} BASKAR BAKTHAVACHALU,^{1,2,4} JOONHEE HAN,^{1,2,4} DEEPAK P. PATIL,^{1,2} YUICHI OTSUKA,^{1,2,5} CHITTIBABU GUDA,³ and DANIEL R. SCHOENBERG^{1,2,6}

¹Center for RNA Biology, ²Department of Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1218, USA

³Center for Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, Department of Genetics, Cell Biology and Anatomy, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska 68118-5145, USA

ABSTRACT

The PMR1 endonuclease was discovered in *Xenopus* liver and identified as a member of the large and diverse peroxidase gene family. The peroxidase genes arose from multiple duplication and rearrangement events, and their high degree of sequence similarity confounded attempts to identify human PMR1. The functioning of PMR1 in mRNA decay depends on the phosphorylation of a tyrosine in the C-terminal polysome targeting domain by c-Src. The sequences of regions that are required for c-Src binding and phosphorylation of *Xenopus* PMR1 were used to inform a bioinformatics search that identified two related genes as potential candidates for human PMR1: peroxidasin homolog (PXDNL) and peroxidasin homolog-like (PXDNL) protein. Although each of these genes is predicted to encode a large, multidomain membrane-bound peroxidase, alternative splicing of PXDNL pre-mRNA yields a transcript whose predicted product is a 57-kDa protein with 42% sequence identity to *Xenopus* PMR1. Results presented here confirm the existence of the predicted 57-kDa protein, show this is the only form of PXDNL detected in any of the human cell lines examined, and confirm its identity as human PMR1. Like the *Xenopus* protein, human PMR1 binds to c-Src, is tyrosine phosphorylated, sediments on polysomes, and catalyzes the selective decay of a PMR1 substrate mRNA. Importantly, the expression of human PMR1 stimulates cell motility in a manner similar to that of the *Xenopus* PMR1 expressed in human cells, thus providing definitive evidence linking endonuclease decay to the regulation of cell motility.

Keywords: mRNA decay; endonuclease; cell motility; PMR1

INTRODUCTION

mRNA turnover is a key regulatory step in gene expression, and changes in the decay process impact diseases ranging from diabetes to cancer. For more than a decade, the prevailing view modeled the decay process in higher eukaryotes to that of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, beginning with deadenylation followed by decapping and degradation of the mRNA body by the 5′-3′ exonuclease Xrn1 (Parker and Sheth 2007), with the added twist that decay could also proceed from the 3′ end, sometimes simultaneously with 5′

decay (Murray and Schoenberg 2007). Starting in the early 1980s, there were also reports describing endonuclease cleavage associated with the decay of a variety of mRNAs (for review, see Schoenberg 2011), but endonuclease decay was for years considered a minor pathway. This has changed with the advent of massively parallel sequencing, with different groups providing convincing evidence for widespread endonuclease cleavage as both a decay process and a process for generating new families of small RNAs (Fejes-Toth et al. 2009; Kapranov 2009; Karginov et al. 2010; Mercer et al. 2010; Plessy et al. 2010).

In contrast to the pervasive evidence of endonuclease cleavage, relatively little is known about the enzymes that catalyze this process. Knockout/knockdown experiments show that some of the cleavages come from the Drosha-Dicer-RISC triad of enzymes (Karginov et al. 2010), but most other cleavage events have yet to be assigned to a specific enzyme or group of enzymes. Only a handful of

⁴These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁵Present address: Department of Biological sciences, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka 560-0043, Japan.

⁶Corresponding author.

E-mail schoenberg.3@osu.edu.

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.031369.111>.

bona fide mRNA endonucleases have been identified (Tomecki and Dziembowski 2010; Schoenberg 2011; Schoenberg and Maquat 2012). These are PMR1 (Yang and Schoenberg 2004), ZC3H12A (also called MCPIP1) (Matsushita et al. 2009; Mizgalska et al. 2009), APE1 (Barnes et al. 2009), SMG6 (Huntzinger et al. 2008; Eberle et al. 2009), and IRE1 (Han et al. 2009; Hollien et al. 2009).

PMR1 was originally identified as an estrogen-induced ribonuclease activity that appeared on *Xenopus* liver polyosomes at the same time that albumin and other serum protein mRNAs disappeared following treatment with estrogen (Pastori et al. 1991). The purified ribonuclease is a 60-kDa protein (Dompenciel et al. 1995) that was identified as a member of the peroxidase gene family (Chernokalskaya et al. 1998) and, with the recent release of the *Xenopus* genome, as a product of the gene for eosinophil peroxidase. *Xenopus* PMR1 (xPMR1) acts in the context of its translating substrate mRNA, and substrate mRNA is stabilized by mutations that interfere with its targeting to polysomes (Yang and Schoenberg 2004). Two portions of xPMR1 proved to be critical for this, a region toward the N terminus and a region closer to the C terminus. Subsequently we identified a consensus tyrosine phosphorylation site within the C-terminal polysome targeting domain, and showed that decay was inhibited by changing the key tyrosine residue here to phenylalanine or treating cells with a general tyrosine kinase inhibitor (Yang et al. 2004). This led to the identification of c-Src as the kinase that phosphorylates xPMR1, and work done in the context of this showed that the N-terminal polysome-targeting domain harbors a proline-rich SH3 binding site that is required for formation of the complex of c-Src with xPMR1 (Peng and Schoenberg 2007). When expressed in mammalian cells, xPMR1 binds to the Ena/VASP regulators of the actin cytoskeleton, and in cell motility experiments, it colocalizes with these proteins at the leading edge. Moreover, the induced expression of xPMR1 caused a twofold increase in motility of human U2OS cells, raising the possibility of a direct role for PMR1 in controlling motility through selective mRNA decay or an indirect role similar to that shown recently for ZC3H12A, which competes with Dicer processing of some microRNAs by cleaving within the terminal loop of some precursors (Suzuki et al. 2011). The search for a cDNA clone of human PMR1 (hPMR1) began soon after the *Xenopus* protein was identified as a member of the peroxidase gene family but, despite years of effort, met with little success. The peroxidases arose through multiple rounds of gene duplication and recombination (Zamocky et al. 2008), and high degree of sequence similarity across species and between individual peroxidases confounded all attempts at library screening. Experiments with antibodies to human eosinophil peroxidase showed no relationship between this and hPMR1, and bioinformatics analysis of all of the major peroxidases showed all

of these lack one or more of the sequence elements that are required for the binding of c-Src and for tyrosine phosphorylation of PMR1. By use of the residues that are required for binding of c-Src and tyrosine phosphorylation as a filter, we identified hPMR1 as a product of the gene for human peroxidase homolog (*Drosophila*)-like protein (PXDNL). This gene is also referred to as cardiac peroxidase, and it only appeared in the sequence databases in 2008. Humans have two closely related genes: PXDNL and a peroxidase homolog (*Drosophila*, PXDN). These genes are on different chromosomes and produce different products. PXDN does not undergo alternative splicing, and the only product of this gene is a multidomain 165-kDa protein. The fully spliced form of PXDNL encodes a 164-kDa protein; however, PXDNL also undergoes alternative splicing, the product of which is the 57-kDa hPMR1.

RESULTS

Bioinformatics identification of the human form of PMR1 as a product of the gene for peroxidase-like protein

The bioinformatics search for hPMR1 focused on identifying members of the peroxidase gene family that retain features of xPMR1 shown previously to be critical for its function as an mRNA endonuclease. Key among these are the site of tyrosine phosphorylation (Yang et al. 2004); the downstream three to four amino acids, which function to define the SH2 domain protein that binds to phosphotyrosine (Huang et al. 2008); a proline-rich region in the N terminus that is required for interaction of xPMR1 with c-Src (Peng and Schoenberg 2007); and a pair of histidine residues that participate in the active site of the enzyme (Yang and Schoenberg 2004). The initial results of this search are summarized in the MEME analysis (Bailey et al. 2009) at the top of Figure 1, which compares all 42 of the human peroxidases and their various isoforms across the region that corresponds to the tyrosine phosphorylation site of xPMR1 (boxed). Most of these have a tryptophan instead of tyrosine at the site that is phosphorylated in xPMR1, and while xPMR1 is an alternatively processed form of *Xenopus* eosinophil peroxidase, there are sufficient differences between this and human eosinophil peroxidase to rule this out as the source of hPMR1. These include a tryptophan at the position that is tyrosine phosphorylated in xPMR1 (Fig. 1, bottom), differences in the three to four amino acids in the sequence immediately downstream that determine SH2 domain binding specificity, and the absence of the proline-rich sequence that is required for binding of c-Src and subsequent phosphorylation (data not shown).

A more detailed view of these differences is shown in at the bottom of Figure 1, where some of the major peroxidases

FIGURE 1. Comparison of amino acids surrounding the tyrosine phosphorylation site of xPMR1 with the human peroxidases. The *upper* panel shows a MEME analysis of the sequences of the 42 human peroxidases and their isoforms across the region corresponding to the tyrosine phosphorylation site of xPMR1 (boxed). The height of each letter corresponds to the relative representation of a given amino acid at a particular site. In the *lower* panel, the major human peroxidases and the peroxidasins are shown aligned with xPMR1 across the same region. The box indicates the tyrosine residue that corresponds to the phosphorylated tyrosine of xPMR1.

are aligned across the region that corresponds to the tyrosine phosphorylation site of xPMR1. The peroxidasins proved to be the only peroxidases that match xPMR1 at the tyrosine phosphorylation site (Fig. 1, bottom). The two human peroxidasin genes PXDN and PXDNL are a sub-family of the larger peroxidase gene family (Zamocky et al. 2008). These encode similar proteins of 1479 and 1463 amino acids, respectively, and their structural organization is diagrammed in Figure 2A. Both have an N-terminal signal sequence followed by six leucine-rich repeats, four immunoglobulin-like repeats, an inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase/hypoxanthine-guanosine phosphoribosyl-transferase-like domain, a heme peroxidase domain, and a C-terminal von Willebrand Factor type C (vWC) domain. Only the heme peroxidase domain of these proteins is similar to xPMR1, and neither would be a candidate for hPMR1 except that alternative splicing of PXDNL generates a transcript that is predicted to encode a 57-kDa protein that closely matches xPMR1 (Fig. 2B). In this figure, the sequence of the predicted 57-kDa protein is aligned with that of xPMR1, with residues that are critical to the function of xPMR1 as an endonuclease highlighted in yellow (SH3 binding site), blue (active site histidines), and red (tyrosine phosphorylation site). With the exception of a conservative substitution of asparagine for glutamine, both proteins have the same amino acids downstream from the phosphorylated tyrosine that are involved in binding by an SH2 domain-containing protein. Overall there is 42% sequence identity between the two proteins, and this increases to 57% if one takes into account substitution with similar amino acids.

Identification of the 57-kDa protein as the only form of PXDNL in human cell lines

The 164-kDa form of PXDNL was first called cardiac peroxidase based on its presence in aorta and heart. Because of this, we expected to see a 164-kDa band and hoped to also see the 57-kDa presumptive hPMR1 by Western blotting with antibody to the heme peroxidase domain shown in Figure 2A. Note that the locations of the epitopes that are recognized by the antibodies used here are shown in Supplemental Figure S1A. Instead, only the 57-kDa form of PXDNL was detected in extracts from MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, U2OS, and HEK293T cells (Fig. 3A) and in Huh-7 and HepG2 cells (data not shown). A faint 164-kDa band was detected in K562 cells, but again, the major protein was 57-kDa PXDNL. Attempts to identify a larger form of PXDNL using antibodies to the N- and C-terminal portions of that protein were unsuccessful (Supplemental Fig. S1B), suggesting that these cells do not express mRNA for the 164-kDa protein, or it is expressed and the protein is not translated or is rapidly degraded. RT-PCR provided evidence for exon skipping that is consistent with the 57-kDa PXDNL missing the C-terminal von Willebrand type C domain (Supplemental Fig. S2), but transcripts in which exons 19–22 are included were also seen. Some of these do not encode a functional protein, so it was not possible to reach a definitive conclusion as to the presence or absence of full-length PXDNL mRNA. Taken together, the data point to the 57-kDa protein as the only form of PXDNL expressed in these cell lines. The 57-kDa PXDNL was not detected in MEL cells on this particular blot; however, this

FIGURE 2. Relationship of PXDNL to xPMR1. (A) The full-length PXDNL protein is shown schematically with relative positioning of the functional domains of the full-length protein. *Beneath* this, the peroxidase domain is expanded to show the relative positioning of sequence elements that are conserved between the 57-kDa form of PXDNL and xPMR1. (B) The sequence of the 57-kDa form of PXDNL is aligned with that of the 60-kDa form of xPMR1 (xPMR60), with matching residues based on similarities with xPMR1. The sequence of xPMR1 does not start with methionine because it is generated by proteolytic cleavage from a larger precursor. In this figure, identical amino acids are shaded, the binding site for c-Src is shown in yellow, the active site histidines are shown in blue, and the tyrosine phosphorylation site is shown in red.

may be a feature of the antibody since it was raised against the human protein and a faint 57-kDa band is observed when more extract is loaded onto the gel. Under no circumstances was there any evidence for any form of PXDNL in Cos-1 cells, a finding that is consistent with earlier work using antibodies against xPMR1, with which we found no evidence for any cross-reacting protein (Yang and Schoenberg 2004).

To determine if the 57-kDa protein detected with the PXDNL antibody is the same as that which is predicted from sequence data, Cos-1 cells were transfected with a plasmid expressing the sequence of the predicted protein with an N-terminal Flag tag and a C-terminal peptide that is quantitatively biotinylated in vivo (Tagwerker et al. 2006). The input sample and proteins that were recovered on streptavidin

paramagnetic beads or by immunoprecipitation with anti-PXDNL antibody or nonimmune IgG were then analyzed by Western blotting with an anti-Flag antibody. One-tenth of the input sample and streptavidin-bound samples were applied to lanes 1 and 2, and the similar signal intensity of these bands indicated this affinity recovery approach is highly effective. The recovery of Flag-tagged 57-kDa PXDNL with anti-PXDNL antibody but not with nonimmune IgG confirmed that the protein expressed in these cells is the same as that predicted from the sequence databases.

Polysome profile analysis of 57-kDa PXDNL

Sucrose gradient sedimentation was used to determine if 57-kDa PXDNL binds to polysomes in a manner similar to that of xPMR1 (Yang and Schoenberg 2004). The top panel of Figure 4A shows the absorbance profile for cytoplasmic extract of MCF-7 cells separated on a 10%–50% sucrose gradient. Beneath this is a Western blot probed with anti-PXDNL antibody. Note that every two fractions were pooled prior to electrophoresis. Similar to results seen in *Xenopus* hepatocytes (Cunningham et al. 2001), most of the 57-kDa PXDNL sedimented with polysomes and a portion was also present in the lighter mRNP-containing fractions at the top of the gradient. To confirm that the heavier-

FIGURE 3. Identification of 57-kDa PXDNL in different cell lines. (A) Thirty micrograms of cytoplasmic protein from MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, U2OS, HEK-293T, K562, MEL, and Cos-1 cells was separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. A Western blot of this was probed first with an affinity-purified antibody to the peroxidase domain of human PXDNL (*upper* panel) and then stripped and probed with antibody to human GAPDH (*lower* panel) as a loading control. Note that the anti-human antibodies react poorly with both murine proteins (lane 6). (B) Cos-1 cells were transiently transfected with a plasmid expressing 57-kDa PXDNL with an N-terminal Flag tag and a C-terminal sequence that is biotinylated in vivo. Note that these two tags increase the size of the recombinant protein over endogenous 57-kDa PXDNL. Cytoplasmic extract was recovered with streptavidin paramagnetic beads (lane 2) or by immunoprecipitation with anti-PXDNL antibody (lane 3) or nonimmune IgG (lane 4), and a Western blot of input and bound proteins was probed with antibody to the Flag tag. The same relative amount of input and streptavidin-recovered protein (1/10th of total sample) and 100% of the antibody bound samples were applied to the gel.

FIGURE 4. Polysome profile analysis of 57-kDa PXDNL. (A) Cytoplasmic extract from MCF-7 cells was separated on a 10%–50% sucrose gradient. The upper panel shows the absorbance at 254 nm during fractionation. Every two fractions starting with fraction 4 were pooled and ethanol precipitated, and endogenous protein was identified by Western blotting with anti-PXDNL antibody or antibody to ribosomal protein S6. (B) MCF-7 cells were treated with puromycin for 15 min prior to lysis and analyzed as in A. (C) Tetracycline repressor was introduced by viral transduction into MCF-7 cells. These were stably transfected with a tetracycline-inducible plasmid expressing the same form of PXDNL as in Figure 3B with an N-terminal Flag tag and a C-terminal sequence that is biotinylated in vivo. Cells were induced for 6 h with doxycycline, and cytoplasmic extract was analyzed on a linear 10%–50% sucrose gradient as in A with the exception that Western blotting of the recovered gradient fractions was performed using anti-Flag monoclonal antibody and with antibody to ribosomal protein S6. (D) The same cells that were used in C were treated for 15 min with puromycin prior to lysis and analyzed as in C. (E) Cos-1 cells were transiently transfected with a plasmid expressing 57-kDa PXDNL with just an N-terminal Flag tag. Cytoplasmic extract was separated on a linear 10%–50% sucrose gradient as in A and C, and protein recovered in odd-numbered fractions was detected by Western blotting with anti-Flag monoclonal antibody.

sedimenting population of 57-kDa PXDNL was bound to polysomes, cells were treated with puromycin prior to lysis. The effectiveness of puromycin in dissociating polysomes is shown by the absorbance trace in the upper panel of Figure 4B and by Western blotting with an antibody to ribosomal protein S6. Puromycin treatment also shifted the sedimentation of 57-kDa PXDNL to the lighter gradient fractions, a result that is consistent with its binding to polysomes.

We next examined the sedimentation behavior of recombinant 57-kDa PXDNL. A line of MCF-7 cells with inducible expression of 57-kDa PXDNL was generated by stable transfection with plasmids expressing the tetracycline repressor protein and the Flag-tagged form of PXDNL used in Figure 3B under control of a tetracycline-inducible promoter. The overall sedimentation pattern of Flag-57-kDa PXDNL is similar to that of the endogenous protein except for a slight shift to lighter polysome fractions (Fig. 4C). We suspect this may be due to overexpression of the recombinant protein. As seen for endogenous 57-kDa PXDNL in Figure 4B, treating cells with puromycin prior to lysis shifted the Flag-tagged protein to lighter fractions (Fig. 4D), confirming that recombinant 57-kDa PXDNL binds to polysomes.

Our initial work with recombinant xPMR1 used transfection into Cos-1 cells because there was no evidence in these cells for a protein that cross-reacted with anti-xPMR1 antibody (Yang and Schoenberg 2004). To complete the analysis of sedimentation behavior, polysome profile analysis was performed on Flag-57-kDa PXDNL expressed in transiently transfected Cos-1 cells (Fig. 4E). The overall pattern was similar to those seen in Figure 4, A and C, thus confirming that the protein expressed in transiently transfected cells binds to polysomes in a manner similar to that of xPMR1 and endogenous 57-kDa PXDNL.

57-kDa PXDNL binds to c-Src and is tyrosine phosphorylated

The targeting of xPMR1 to polysomes requires phosphorylation of a tyrosine (Y650) in the C-terminal polysome-targeting domain of the protein (Yang et al. 2004). Tyrosine kinases bind directly to their substrates, and the recovery of c-Src with xPMR1 was key to its identification as the kinase that is responsible for its phosphorylation (Peng and Schoenberg 2007). To determine if the same holds true for the 57-kDa PXDNL a plasmid expressing Flag-tagged 57-kDa PXDNL with a C-terminal biotinylated peptide sequence was transfected together with the empty vector or plasmids expressing constitutively active (CA-Src) or dominant-negative forms (DN-Src) of c-Src into the line of

Src-deficient Cos-1 cells that was used in our previous study on c-Src (Peng and Schoenberg 2007). Although the same amount of each of the Src-expressing plasmids was transfected into these cells, expression of DN-Src was routinely greater than CA-Src (Fig. 5, lanes 2 and 3). Biotinylated 57-kDa PXDNL and its associated proteins were recovered with streptavidin paramagnetic beads and analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies to the Flag tag on the 57-kDa PXDNL, to c-Src, and to phosphotyrosine (PY20) (Fig. 5). Similar to results observed with xPMR1 (Peng and Schoenberg 2007), both active and inactive forms of c-Src were recovered with the 57-kDa PXDNL, and tyrosine phosphorylated 57-kDa PXDNL and c-Src were recovered in cells expressing the active form of the kinase (lane 5). Note that the phosphotyrosine signal on c-Src results from its autophosphorylation, and the Flag and biotinylation tags added to the 57-kDa PXDNL are responsible for the increased size.

Activity assays confirm the identity of 57-kDa PXDNL as hPMR1

Albumin mRNA has proven to be a reliable substrate for measuring PMR1 activity, and as a final demonstration that the 57-kDa PXDNL is hPMR1, we examined its ability to selectively degrade this, but not luciferase mRNA (Yang and Schoenberg 2004). Triplicate cultures of U2OS cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing albumin and luciferase (control) mRNA together with plasmids expressing GFP, xPMR1, or 57-kDa PXDNL and assayed by RNase protection (Fig. 6A) as in the method described by Yang and Schoenberg (2004). The selective loss of albumin mRNA by 57-kDa PXDNL (lanes 10–12) supports the identification of this protein as hPMR1. Confirming evidence for this is in Figure 6B, where tetracycline repressor-expressing MCF-7

FIGURE 5. The 57-kDa PXDNL binds to c-Src and is tyrosine phosphorylated. The line of Src-deficient cells used previously to characterize the interaction of xPMR1 with c-Src (Peng and Schoenberg 2007) was cotransfected with a plasmid expressing Flag–57-kDa PXDNL with a C-terminal biotinylated tag and empty vector (lanes 1,4), constitutively active c-Src (CA-Src; lanes 2,5), or a dominant-negative form of c-Src (DN-Src; lanes 3,6), and cytoplasmic extracts from each of the transfectants were recovered with streptavidin paramagnetic beads. Five percent of input (lanes 1–3) and 100% of bound material (lanes 4–6) was applied to a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies to the Flag tag, to c-Src, and to phosphotyrosine (pTyr).

FIGURE 6. Identification of 57-kDa PXDNL as hPMR1. (A) Triplicate cultures of U2OS cells were transfected with plasmids expressing GFP (lanes 4–6), xPMR1 (lanes 7–9), or 57-kDa PXDNL (lanes 10–12) together with plasmids expressing albumin (substrate) and luciferase (control) mRNA. Cytoplasmic RNA recovered from each transfectant was analyzed by RNase protection assay. Lanes 2 and 3 contain the probes without (–) or with RNase (+) treatment. (B) Triplicate cultures of tetracycline-inducible MCF-7 cells at 30%–40% confluency were cotransfected with 0.2 μ g each of plasmids expressing *Xenopus* albumin mRNA, luciferase mRNA, and 0.75 μ g of pcDNA4/TO-Flag-hPMR1-Tev-biotin (57-kDa PXDNL). The cells were cultured for 18 h without (–Dox) or with (+Dox) doxycycline, and DRB was added at time = 0 to block transcription. Cytoplasmic RNA isolated at 0, 4, and 8 h was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR, and albumin mRNA was normalized to luciferase mRNA. The mean value at time 0 for albumin normalized to luciferase RNA was arbitrarily set to 1. Shown are the mean $\pm$ SD from triplicate cultures; * P < .05, ** P < .001 by Student's *t*-test. The lower panel is a Western blot of cytoplasmic protein recovered from cultures treated $\pm$ doxycycline at each time point probed with antibody to the Flag tag on hPMR1.

cells were transfected with 57-kDa PXDNL under a tetracycline-regulated promoter, albumin mRNA, and luciferase mRNA. Half of the cultures received doxycycline to induce protein expression, and 5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole 1- β -D-ribofuranoside (DRB) was added at time 0 to block transcription. Changes in albumin and luciferase mRNA were determined by quantitative RT-PCR performed on cytoplasmic RNA that was isolated at the time of DRB addition (time 0) and at 4 and 8 h after addition of DRB. The accelerated loss of albumin mRNA in doxycycline-treated cells confirms that 57-kDa PXDNL is hPMR1.

Stabilization of substrate mRNA following knockdown of hPMR1

Two approaches to knocking down hPMR1 were used to determine if the endogenous protein is responsible for the loss of albumin mRNA in cells that were not treated with doxycycline to induce expression of recombinant protein. In the first of these, lentiviral transduction of an shRNA against hPMR1 was used to generate a line of U2OS cells with reduced hPMR1. These cells expressed $\sim$ 60% less hPMR1 than did nontransduced cells or cells that were transduced with a scrambled control shRNA (Fig. 7A).

FIGURE 7. Impact of hPMR1 knockdown on albumin mRNA decay. (A) U2OS cells were transduced with lentivirus expressing a scrambled control shRNA (scr; lane 2) and shRNA against hPMR1 (lane 3). Knockdown efficiency was determined by Western blotting with an anti-PXDNL (hPMR1) antibody (upper panel) or antibody to GAPDH (lower panel). (B) Triplicate cultures of the cell lines transduced with the scrambled shRNA (open bars) or hPMR1 shRNA (filled bars) were transfected with albumin and luciferase reporter plasmids 16 h prior to adding DRB to block transcription. Cytoplasmic RNA recovered at the time of DRB addition ($t = 0$) and 8 h after DRB was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR, as in Figure 6B. (C) Triplicate cultures of HEK293T cells were transfected twice at 24-h intervals with siRNA targeting hPMR1 or a scrambled control, and knockdown efficiency was determined by as in A. (D) Plasmids expressing albumin and luciferase mRNA were added to the second round of siRNA transfection, followed 16 h later by addition of DRB. Cytoplasmic RNA recovered at the time of DRB addition and 8 h later was assayed as in B. In B and D, the mean value at time 0 for albumin mRNA normalized to luciferase mRNA was arbitrarily set to 1; error bars, SD; $**P < .001$ by Student's t -test.

Similar to the approach used in Figure 6B, triplicate cultures were transfected with albumin and luciferase reporters and treated with DRB to block transcription. Cytoplasmic RNA was recovered at the time of DRB addition and 8 h later, and albumin and luciferase mRNA were assayed by quantitative RT-PCR. Over this interval, albumin mRNA declined significantly in cells transduced with the scrambled control (Fig. 7B), whereas there was essentially no loss of albumin mRNA in cells that were transduced with shRNA targeting hPMR1.

To confirm that the stabilization of albumin mRNA resulted from reduced hPMR1 and not from something that might have changed during the selection process, this experiment was repeated using HEK293T cells that were transfected with an siRNA targeting the same sequence on hPMR1. Knockdown was more efficient, reducing the amount of hPMR1 to 20% of control (Fig. 7C), and again, this resulted in the stabilization of albumin mRNA (Fig. 7D), although not to the extent seen in shRNA-expressing cells. These data confirm that endogenous hPMR1 is responsible for the loss of albumin mRNA in Figure 6B and, together with the data in Figure 6, confirm that the 57-kDa form of PXDNL is hPMR1.

Stimulation of cell motility by induced expression of hPMR1

In previous work using a monolayer “wound healing” assay to study cell motility, we showed that induced expression of active xPMR1 in human cells caused a twofold increase in motility, whereas an inactive form of the enzyme had no impact on motility (Peng et al. 2009). Until now the biological relevance of that observation remained in question because that study used the frog protein expressed in human cells. This has now been confirmed by a similar experiment with cells expressing hPMR1 (Fig. 8). In this experiment, the cells used for polysome profile analysis in Figure 4, C and D, were grown until almost confluent before doxycycline was added to half of the cultures to induce expression of Flag-hPMR1 (i.e., 57-kDa PXDNL). Four hours prior to the start of the experiment, Mitomycin C was added to block replication, and the same three fields from triplicate cultures of each treatment group were photographed over a period of 32 h after scoring the monolayer. The plot of gap closure as a function of time (left panel) shows that induced expression of hPMR1 caused a twofold increase in cell motility, similar to that seen previously with xPMR1 in human cells, and Western blotting with anti-Flag antibody confirmed the expression of hPMR1 in doxycycline-treated cells. While the precise targets have yet to be determined, these data provide convincing evidence for the involvement of endonuclease decay in controlling cell motility.

DISCUSSION

xPMR1 cDNA was sequenced in 1998 (Chernokalskaya et al. 1998), but despite numerous attempts, only now were

FIGURE 8. hPMR1 stimulation of cell motility. Triplicate cultures of MCF-7 cells that were stably transfected with plasmids expressing tetracycline repressor protein and Flag-hPMR1 under a tetracycline-inducible promoter were treated for 24 h $\pm$ doxycycline prior to scoring the monolayer. Mitomycin C was added 4 h prior to the start of the motility experiment to block replication, and the same three fields from each set of cultures were photographed at each time point. Changes in the distance across the gap were used to calculate the percentage of closure as a function of time, and the data represent the mean $\pm$ SD obtained at each time point; differences between treatment groups were determined by Student's t -test or two-way ANOVA. There was no difference at times 0, 4, and 8 h, but at later time points, differences in the degree of gap closure were statistically significant, with $P < .0001$. The right panel is a Western blot of protein recovered at the end of the experiment probed with anti-Flag antibody.

we successful in identifying hPMR1. In hindsight this was made particularly challenging by the size and complexity of the peroxidase gene family, the high degree of sequence similarity among the different peroxidases, and the late appearance in sequence databases (i.e., 2008) of the gene that encodes the human protein. Compounding this was the fact that the human and frog proteins are products of different genes. Whereas xPMR1 is an alternatively processed form of *Xenopus* eosinophil peroxidase, hPMR1 arises from alternative splicing of the pre-mRNA encoded by the gene for peroxidasin-like protein. While we do not know the reason why PMR1 is encoded by different genes in these species, the most likely explanation appears to be exon shuffling during the numerous recombination events that occurred in the expansion of the peroxidase gene family.

The human genome encodes two peroxidasins, PXDN and PXDNL. PXDN was identified as a p53-responsive gene (Horikoshi et al. 1999) and has been detected in the endoplasmic reticulum of skin and lung fibroblasts (Peterfi et al. 2009). It also accumulates in the extracellular matrix and peritubular space in a mouse model of kidney fibrosis, suggestive of a role in fibrotic responses. PXDNL was originally identified as vascular peroxidase because of the relatively high levels of immunoreactive protein in heart and vasculature (Cheng et al. 2008). Little else is known about the biochemical or functional properties of either of these proteins. Both are predicted to encode large (~164 kDa) proteins with six N-terminal leucine-rich repeats, four immunoglobulin-like repeats, a phosphoribosyltransferase-like domain, a heme peroxidase domain, and a C-terminal vWC domain. There is no evidence for alternative splicing of PXDN transcripts, so the full-length protein is the only product of this gene, but PXDNL is alternatively spliced; in fact, the 57-kDa form of PXDNL is the only form that is detected in most human cell lines by Western blotting with affinity purified antibody to the heme peroxidase domain. While we cannot exclude the possibility that these cells express mRNA for the full-length protein (Supplemental Fig. S2), there is no evidence for this by Western blotting with antibodies against the N- and C-terminal portions of 164-kDa PXDNL (Supplemental Fig. S1).

hPMR1 has all of the features found to be essential for xPMR1 to function as an mRNA endonuclease (Fig. 2B). These include the N-terminal proline-rich SH3 sequence that is required for its binding to and phosphorylation by c-Src (Peng and Schoenberg 2007), and the tyrosine phosphorylation site and adjacent amino acids that define an SH2 binding site. Besides these critical features that distinguish hPMR1 from PXDN, the positioning of active site histidine residues is conserved between xPMR1 and hPMR1, and changing these to alanine inactivates the endonucleolytic activity of both xPMR1 (Yang and Schoenberg 2004) and hPMR1 (data not shown). hPMR1 sediments with polysomes (Fig. 4), binds to and is phosphorylated by

c-Src (Fig. 5), and catalyzes the degradation of a canonical PMR1 substrate mRNA (Figs. 6, 7).

The identification of hPMR1 represents a major advance in studying endonuclease-mediated mRNA decay. Although it is now clear that endonucleolytic cleavage has a broad impact on the mRNA transcriptome (Karginov et al. 2010; Mercer et al. 2010), only a handful of bona fide mRNA endonucleases have been identified (for review, see Schoenberg 2011). The ability to study PMR1 in a homologous system opens up new areas of investigation, particularly as we move forward with the identification of its mRNA targets. An example of an advance made possible by the identification of hPMR1 comes from work on the regulation of parathyroid hormone (PTH). The steady-state level of PTH mRNA is controlled by the binding of KSRP and AUF1 to an AU-rich element in the 3' UTR in response to changes in levels of calcium or phosphate. Nechama et al. (2009) showed that the regulated decay of PTH mRNA involves endonuclease cleavage by xPMR1 through a process that involves interaction of the endonuclease with KSRP and the exosome. Given the similarity between xPMR1 and hPMR1, it is likely that results obtained with the frog enzyme are biologically meaningful and support a central role for endonuclease decay in calcium homeostasis. Another example comes from our work linking hPMR1 to cell motility (Fig. 8). PMR1 stimulation of cell motility was first seen using human cells expressing xPMR1 (Peng et al. 2009), but without knowledge of the human protein, the question remained as whether this, too, was a biologically relevant finding. This question is now resolved, opening up a new aspect of mRNA decay as it relates to motility and perhaps to the invasive growth of malignant cells.

Endonucleases were long considered minor contributors to the overall decay process, but this view is changing with evidence for cleavage throughout the mRNA transcriptome (Karginov et al. 2010; Mercer et al. 2010). In addition to generating truncated mRNAs with re-capped 5' ends (Schoenberg and Maquat 2009), endonuclease cleavage events create new families of small RNAs whose function have yet to be determined (Fejes-Toth et al. 2009; Plessy et al. 2010), and have recently been shown to function in regulating microRNAs by cleaving within the unpaired loop of pre-miRs (Suzuki et al. 2011). The identification of hPMR1 makes it possible now to identify its native substrate mRNAs and determine if hPMR1 has a broader role in post-transcriptional processes beyond its function in mRNA decay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, U2OS, HEK-293T, K562, MEL, and Cos-1 cell lines were obtained from ATCC. MCF-7 cells were grown in

RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1.0 mM sodium pyruvate, and 10 mM Hepes and 4.5 g/L glucose. MDA-MB-231 cells were grown in DMEM medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 4 mM L-glutamine and 10% FBS, and U2OS, HEK-293T, K562, MEL, and Cos-1 cells were grown in McCoy's 5A medium (Invitrogen) plus 10% FBS. A tetracycline-inducible line of MCF-7 cells was generated by transfection with pcDNA6/TR (Invitrogen) and selection in medium containing 6 μ g/mL blasticidin. To generate a stable line expressing epitope-tagged hPMR1, these cells were transfected with pcDNA4/TO-Flag-hPMR1-Tev-biotin and selected for 5 d in medium containing 600 μ g/mL Zeocin followed by 1 wk in Zeocin-free medium. Tetracycline-inducible expression was confirmed by Western blotting with an anti-Flag antibody. The impact of hPMR1 on cell motility was determined using the previously described wound healing assay (Peng et al. 2009).

Cell motility assay

The 2.5×10^5 MCF-7 cells that were stably transfected with pcDNA4/TO-Flag-hPMR1-Tev-biotin (see above) were plated in six-well plates. After reaching 70%–80% confluence, hPMR1 expression was induced by adding 0.5 μ g/mL doxycycline to one-half of the plates, and these were maintained for 24 h. Replication was blocked by adding Mitomycin C to the cultures 4 h prior to scoring the monolayer with a 1000- μ L pipette tip. The plates were washed two times with PBS to remove nonadherent cells, and the gap in the monolayer was visualized under phase contrast using a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope with a programmable stage. The use of a computer-controlled microscope and stage insured that the same fields were photographed at each time point. The relative rate of cell migration was determined as the percentage of the gap closed as a function of time for three locations each in triplicate cultures. These data were used to determine the mean $\pm$ SD plotted in Figure 8. Data reduction was performed using NIS-Elements AR software, and differences between treatment groups at each time point were analyzed by Student's *t*-test or by two-way ANOVA. There was no statistical difference during the first 8 h; however at later times, the degree of gap closure between the groups was statistically significant, with $P < .0001$.

Plasmid constructs

A cDNA corresponding to 57-kDa PXDNL was obtained from Open Biosystems (catalog no. MHS 4426-99240274). The insert was PCR amplified with primers containing EcoRI and NotI restriction sites, cleaved with these enzymes, and inserted in place of GFP in pcDNA3-myc-GFP (Yang and Schoenberg 2004) to create pcDNA3-myc-hPMR1 (57-kDa PXDNL) and pcDNA4/TO-myc-hPMR1. To generate the Flag-tagged protein (pcDNA3-Flag-hPMR1), the myc tag was removed by digesting with KpnI and SfiI and replacing this with a double-stranded DNA fragment consisting of 5'-GGTACCATGGACTACAAGGACGACGATGA CAAGATGGCCATGGAGGCC annealed to 5'-GGCCTCCATGGCCA TCTTGTCATCGTCGTCCTTGAGTCCATGGTACC that was digested with the same enzymes. This insert was inserted into pcDNA4/TO to create the tetracycline-inducible Flag-tagged protein (pcDNA4/TO-Flag-hPMR1). For high-efficiency affinity purification, this was further modified by addition of a C-terminal tag containing a site for cleavage by Tev protease and

a peptide that is biotinylated in vivo (Tagwerker et al. 2006). The plasmid pFA6a-HTB-hphMX4 containing this sequence was provided by Dr. Peter Kaiser (University of California, Irvine). The region containing the Tev cleavage site and biotin tag was PCR amplified with forward primer 5'-AATC TAGCGGCCGCGGAGAATCTGTACTTTCAATCA and reverse primer 5'-ATTACAGGGCCCTCATTAAACGCCGCCGATCTTGAT to generate a double-stranded DNA with a 5' NotI site and a 3' ApaI site. This was inserted into pcDNA4/TO-Flag-hPMR1 that was digested with the same enzymes to generate pcDNA4/TO-Flag-hPMR1-Tev-biotin. All constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Antibodies

Affinity-purified rabbit polyclonal antibody to the PXDNL peroxidase domain and mouse monoclonal antibodies to GAPDH and Flag were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The PXDNL antibody was raised against a fragment of human PXDNL (Entrez Gene identification no. 137902) corresponding to amino acids 1078–1209 of the full-length form and 277–408 in the 57-kDa form of PXDNL. Mouse anti-myc monoclonal antibody (9E10), immobilized anti-myc monoclonal antibody, c-Src (B-12), ribosomal protein S6 (E13), horseradish peroxidase-coupled goat anti-mouse IgG, and goat anti-rabbit IgG were obtained from Santa Cruz Technology. Phosphotyrosine mouse monoclonal antibody PY20 was from BD Biosciences.

shRNA knockdown of hPMR1 in U2OS cells

pGIPZ lentiviral vectors harboring hairpin sequence from V2LHS-17936 (denoted hPMR1) was obtained from Open Biosystems. pLKO.1 scrambled control hairpin lentiviral vector was obtained from Addgene (no. 1864). The lentiviral particles were packaged by transfecting HEK293T cells with each of these vectors with Addgene third-generation packaging plasmids pRSV-Rev (ID:12253) and pMDlg/pRRE (ID:12251) together with envelope plasmid pMD2.G (no. 12259) using Fugene 6. Supernatants were harvested 48 and 72 h post-transfection and passed through 0.45- μ m filter to remove debris. The particles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 107,000g for 1.5 h at 4°C in a Sorvall TH641 rotor and stored at -80°C . For viral transduction, 2.5×10^5 U2OS cells were inoculated in a single well of a six-well dish with increasing amounts of each supernatant, and 2 μ g/mL of puromycin was added 24 h later. Transduced cells were selected for 3 d in puromycin-containing medium, followed by an additional 3 d in puromycin-free medium, and individual clones were analyzed by Western blotting with antibodies to PXDNL and GAPDH to determine the efficiency of knockdown.

siRNA knockdown of hPMR1 in HEK-293T cells

A 21-nucleotide (nt) siRNA custom duplex with sense sequence 5'-CAGAAUACCCACAGGAUUAUU-3' and antisense sequence 5'-UAAUCCUGUGGGUAAUUCUGUU-3' was purchased from Thermo/Dharmacon. This corresponds to positions 3925–3946 of full-length PXDNL mRNA and 1421–1442 of hPMR1 mRNA. The trilencer-27 universal scrambled negative control siRNA duplex (SR30004, OriGene) was used as the scrambled siRNA control. HEK293T cells were transfected twice at 24-h intervals

with 50 nM of each siRNA using DharmaFECT 1 (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Preparation of cytoplasmic extracts, sucrose gradients, and Western blot analysis

Cells were harvested with trypsin, washed with ice-cold PBS, and transferred into a sterile 12 × 75 mm polystyrene tube. For all experiments except polysome profile analysis, cells were collected by centrifugation for 1 min at 50g and taken up in 100 μ L CER-I from the NE-PER kit (Pierce). Cytoplasmic extracts were prepared following the manufacturer's protocol except that gentle mixing was used in place of vortexing. These were either used directly for immunoprecipitation or polysome profile analysis or stored at -80°C in buffer containing 10% glycerol. For polysome profile analysis, cells were lysed in buffer containing 15 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl_2 , 150 mM KCl, 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ cycloheximide, 0.5% Triton X-100, protease inhibitor cocktail (1:100 dilution from Sigma), phosphatase inhibitor cocktails 2 and 3 (1:100 dilution from Sigma), 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Three hundred microliters of cytoplasmic extract was layered onto 10%–50% linear gradients prepared in buffer containing 15 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl_2 , 150 mM KCl, and 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ cycloheximide. These were centrifuged at 209,627g in a Sorvall TH641 rotor for 3 h at 4°C . Puromycin dissociation of polysomes was performed according to the method described by Yang and Schoenberg (2004) and was centrifuged as described above with the omission of cycloheximide from the buffer. One-half milliliter fractions were collected from the top using a Brandel gradient fractionator, and the absorbance at 254 nm was monitored continuously during fractionation. For Western blotting, samples were denatured in SDS-sample buffer, separated on 10% Mini-PROTEAN TGXTM gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and transferred onto Immobilon-P PVDF membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS-T followed by incubation with primary antibody (1:500 dilution) and horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody (1:5000 dilution) in TBS-T containing 2% BSA for anti-PXDNL antibody or 5% nonfat dry milk for all other antibodies. The peroxidase domain antibody variably cross-reacted with a 74-kDa band that is not a product of PXDNL. This could be removed by preincubating extracts prior to electrophoresis for 30 min at 37°C in cell lysis buffer containing 5 mM DTT followed by addition of 50 mM iodoacetamide. Membranes were developed with ECL-plus (GE Healthcare) and visualized on blue ultra-X-ray film (GeneMate).

Assays for reporter mRNA decay

In the experiment in Figure 6B, tetracycline-inducible MCF-7 cells in antibiotic free medium were transfected with 0.75 μg of pcDNA4/TO-Flag-hPMR1-Tev-biotin and 0.2 μg each of plasmids expressing albumin and luciferase mRNA (Yang and Schoenberg 2004). Half of the cultures were treated with doxycycline to induce hPMR1 expression, and 50 μM DRB (Sigma) was added 18 h later to block transcription. Cytoplasmic RNA was recovered from triplicate cultures at the time of DRB addition ($t = 0$) and after 4 and 8 h in DRB-containing medium and was assayed by quantitative RT-PCR as described below. The experiment in Figure 7B was similar except that cells were transfected

with 50 ng each of plasmids expressing albumin and luciferase mRNA 16 h prior to adding DRB, and cytoplasmic RNA from triplicate cultures was recovered at the time of DRB addition ($t = 0$) and after 8 h in DRB-containing medium. In the experiment in Figure 7, C and D, cells were transfected twice at 24-h intervals with hPMR1 siRNA or the scrambled control. Fifty nanograms each of the albumin and luciferase plasmids was included in the second round of siRNA transfection, followed 16 h later by addition of DRB. The samples were harvested and assayed as described above.

RNase protection, Q-PCR, and immunoprecipitation assays

RNase protection assays were performed using a mixed albumin and luciferase probes according to the method described by Yang and Schoenberg (2004), and immunoprecipitation experiments were performed according to the method described by Peng and Schoenberg (2007). Q-PCR of albumin and luciferase mRNA used the primers 5'-CAAAGACCAGCCTTCAAATC-3' (sense) and 5'-CACAGTTGAATGCTCTAAGCA-3' (antisense) for albumin mRNA, and 5'-AGATCCACAACCTTCGCTTC-3' (sense) and 5'-CTGAGGAGCCTTCAGGATTAC-3' (antisense) for luciferase mRNA that was used previously for RT-PCR according to the method described by Yang and Schoenberg (2004). Briefly, 1 μg of cytoplasmic RNA was used to synthesize cDNA with Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Q-PCR was performed with 1 μL of the cDNA in a 20 μL reaction with SyBR green RT-PCR mix (SABiosciences) using an Illumina Eco system.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available for this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Julie Dougherty for her help in packaging the lentiviral vectors, Juan Santiago-Torres for his help with creating tetracycline-inducible cell lines, and members of the Schoenberg laboratory for their helpful comments. We also thank Dr. Peter Kaiser (University of California, Irvine) for providing plasmid pFA6a-HTB-hphMX4, which enabled the construction of plasmids expressing biotinylated tags. This work was supported by PHS grant GM038277 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.

Received November 11, 2011; accepted March 7, 2012.

REFERENCES

- Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, Ren J, Li WW, Noble WS. 2009. MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: W202–W208.
- Barnes T, Kim WC, Mantha AK, Kim SE, Izumi T, Mitra S, Lee CH. 2009. Identification of Apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1) as the endoribonuclease that cleaves c-myc mRNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: 3946–3958.
- Cheng G, Salerno JC, Cao Z, Pagano PJ, Lambeth JD. 2008. Identification and characterization of VPO1, a new animal heme-containing peroxidase. *Free Radic Biol Med* 45: 1682–1694.

- Chernokalskaya E, DuBell AN, Cunningham KS, Hanson MN, Dompenciel RE, Schoenberg DR. 1998. A polysomal ribonuclease involved in the destabilization of albumin mRNA is a novel member of the peroxidase gene family. *RNA* **4**: 1537–1548.
- Cunningham KS, Hanson MN, Schoenberg DR. 2001. Polysomal ribonuclease 1 exists in a latent form on polysomes prior to estrogen activation of mRNA decay. *Nucleic Acids Res* **29**: 1156–1162.
- Dompenciel RE, Garnepudi VR, Schoenberg DR. 1995. Purification and characterization of an estrogen-regulated *Xenopus* liver polysomal nuclease involved in the selective destabilization of albumin mRNA. *J Biol Chem* **270**: 6108–6118.
- Eberle AB, Lykke-Andersen S, Muhlemann O, Jensen TH. 2009. SMG6 promotes endonucleolytic cleavage of nonsense mRNA in human cells. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **16**: 49–55.
- Fejes-Toth K, Sotirova V, Sachidanandam R, Assaf G, Hannon GJ, Kapranov P, Foissac S, Willingham AT, Duttagupta R, Dumais E, et al. 2009. Post-transcriptional processing generates a diversity of 5'-modified long and short RNAs. *Nature* **457**: 1028–1032.
- Han D, Lerner AG, Vande Walle L, Upton JP, Xu W, Hagen A, Backes BJ, Oakes SA, Papa FR. 2009. IRE1 α kinase activation modes control alternate endoribonuclease outputs to determine divergent cell fates. *Cell* **138**: 562–575.
- Hollien J, Lin JH, Li H, Stevens N, Walter P, Weissman JS. 2009. Regulated Ire1-dependent decay of messenger RNAs in mammalian cells. *J Cell Biol* **186**: 323–331.
- Horikoshi N, Cong J, Kley N, Shenk T. 1999. Isolation of differentially expressed cDNAs from p53-dependent apoptotic cells: activation of the human homologue of the *Drosophila* peroxidase gene. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **261**: 864–869.
- Huang H, Li L, Wu C, Schibli D, Colwill K, Ma S, Li C, Roy P, Ho K, Songyang Z, et al. 2008. Defining the specificity space of the human SRC homology 2 domain. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **7**: 768–784.
- Huntzinger E, Kashima I, Fauser M, Sauliere J, Izaurralde E. 2008. SMG6 is the catalytic endonuclease that cleaves mRNAs containing nonsense codons in metazoan. *RNA* **14**: 2609–2617.
- Kapranov P. 2009. From transcription start site to cell biology. *Genome Biol* **10**: 217. doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-4-217.
- Karginov FV, Cheloufi S, Chong MMW, Start A, Smith AD, Hannon GJ. 2010. Diverse endonucleolytic cleavage sites in the mammalian transcriptome depend on microRNAs, Drosha and additional nucleases. *Mol Cell* **38**: 781–788.
- Matsushita K, Takeuchi O, Standley DM, Kumagai Y, Kawagoe T, Miyake T, Satoh T, Kato H, Tsujimura T, Nakamura H, et al. 2009. Zc3h12a is an RNase essential for controlling immune responses by regulating mRNA decay. *Nature* **458**: 1185–1190.
- Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Bracken CP, Kolle G, Szubert JM, Korbie DJ, Askarian-Amiri ME, Gardiner BB, Goodall GJ, Grimmond SM, et al. 2010. Regulated post-transcriptional RNA cleavage diversifies the eukaryotic transcriptome. *Genome Res* **20**: 1639–1650.
- Mizgalska D, Wegrzyn P, Murzyn K, Kasza A, Koj A, Jura J, Jarzab B, Jura J. 2009. Interleukin-1-inducible MCP1 protein has structural and functional properties of RNase and participates in degradation of IL-1 β mRNA. *FEBS J* **276**: 7386–7399.
- Murray EL, Schoenberg DR. 2007. A+U-rich instability elements differentially activate 5'-3' and 3'-5' mRNA decay. *Mol Cell Biol* **27**: 2791–2799.
- Nechama M, Peng Y, Bell O, Briata P, Gherzi R, Schoenberg DR, Naveh-Manly T. 2009. KSRP-PMR1-exosome association determines parathyroid hormone mRNA levels and stability in transfected cells. *BMC Cell Biol* **10**: 70. doi: 10.1186/1471-2121-10-70.
- Parker R, Sheth U. 2007. P bodies and the control of mRNA translation and degradation. *Mol Cell* **25**: 635–646.
- Pastori RL, Moskaitis JE, Schoenberg DR. 1991. Estrogen-induced ribonuclease activity in *Xenopus* liver. *Biochemistry* **30**: 10490–10498.
- Peng Y, Schoenberg DR. 2007. c-Src activates endonuclease-mediated mRNA decay. *Mol Cell* **25**: 779–787.
- Peng Y, Murray EL, Sarkar M, Liu X, Schoenberg DR. 2009. The cytoskeleton-associated Ena/VASP proteins are unanticipated partners of the PMR1 mRNA endonuclease. *RNA* **15**: 576–587.
- Peterfi Z, Donko A, Orient A, Sum A, Prokai A, Molnar B, Vereb Z, Rajnavolgyi E, Kovacs KJ, Muller V, et al. 2009. Peroxidase is secreted and incorporated into the extracellular matrix of myofibroblasts and fibrotic kidney. *Am J Pathol* **175**: 725–735.
- Plessy C, Bertin N, Takahashi H, Simone R, Salimullah M, Lassmann T, Vitezic M, Severin J, Olivarius S, Lazarevic D, et al. 2010. Linking promoters to functional transcripts in small samples with nanoCAGE and CAGEscan. *Nat Methods* **7**: 528–534.
- Schoenberg DR. 2011. Mechanisms of endonuclease-mediated mRNA decay. *Wiley Interdisc. Rev.: RNA* **2**: 582–600.
- Schoenberg DR, Maquat LE. 2009. Re-capping the message. *Trends Biochem Sci* **34**: 435–442.
- Schoenberg DR, Maquat LE. 2012. Regulation of cytoplasmic mRNA decay. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**: 246–259.
- Suzuki HI, Arase M, Matsuyama H, Choi YL, Ueno T, Mano H, Sugimoto K, Miyazono K. 2011. MCP1 ribonuclease antagonizes Dicer and terminates microRNA biogenesis through precursor microRNA degradation. *Mol Cell* **44**: 424–436.
- Tagwerker C, Flick K, Cui M, Guerrero C, Dou Y, Auer B, Baldi P, Huang L, Kaiser P. 2006. A tandem affinity tag for two-step purification under fully denaturing conditions: application in ubiquitin profiling and protein complex identification combined with *in vivo* cross-linking. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **5**: 737–748.
- Tomecki R, Dziembowski A. 2010. Novel endoribonucleases as central players in various pathways of eukaryotic RNA metabolism. *RNA* **16**: 1692–1724.
- Yang F, Schoenberg DR. 2004. Endonuclease-mediated mRNA decay involves the selective targeting of PMR1 to polyribosome-bound substrate mRNA. *Mol Cell* **14**: 435–445.
- Yang F, Peng Y, Schoenberg DR. 2004. Endonuclease-mediated mRNA decay requires tyrosine phosphorylation of polysomal ribonuclease 1 (PMR1) for the targeting and degradation of polyribosome-bound substrate mRNA. *J Biol Chem* **279**: 48993–49002.
- Zamocky M, Jakopitsch C, Furtmuller PG, Dunand C, Obinger C. 2008. The peroxidase-cyclooxygenase superfamily: Reconstructed evolution of critical enzymes of the innate immune system. *Proteins* **72**: 589–605.

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

Identification of the human PMR1 mRNA endonuclease as an alternatively processed product of the gene for peroxidasin-like protein

Shan-Qing Gu, Baskar Bakthavachalu, Joonhee Han, et al.

RNA 2012 18: 1186-1196 originally published online April 27, 2012

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.031369.111](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.031369.111)

Supplemental Material <http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2012/04/05/rna.031369.111.DC1>

References This article cites 35 articles, 11 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/18/6/1186.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>