Neuron-type specific regulation of a 3'UTR through redundant and combinatorially acting *cis*-regulatory elements

DOMINIC DIDIANO, LUISA COCHELLA, BARIS TURSUN, and OLIVER HOBERT

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York 10032, USA

ABSTRACT

3' Untranslated region (UTR)-dependent post-transcriptional regulation has emerged as a critical mechanism of controlling gene expression in various physiological contexts, including cellular differentiation events. Here, we examine the regulation of the 3'UTR of the *die-1* transcription factor in a single neuron of the nematode *C. elegans*. This 3'UTR shows the intriguing feature of being differentially regulated across the animal's left/right axis. In the left gustatory neuron, ASEL, in which DIE-1 protein is normally expressed in adult animals, the 3'UTR confers no regulatory information, while in the right gustatory neuron, ASER, where DIE-1 is normally not expressed, this 3'UTR confers negative regulatory information. Here, we systematically analyze the *cis*-regulatory architecture of the *die-1* 3'UTR using a transgenic, in vivo assay system. Through extensive mutagenesis and sequence insertions into heterologous 3'UTR contexts, we describe three 25-base-pair (bp) sequence elements that are both required and sufficient to mediate the ASER-specific down-regulation of the *die-1* 3'UTR. These three 25-bp sequence elements operate in both a redundant and combinatorial manner. Moreover, there are not only redundant elements within the *die-1* 3'UTR regulating its left/right asymmetric activity but asymmetric 3'UTR regulation is itself redundant with other regulatory mechanisms to achieve asymmetric DIE-1 protein expression and function in ASEL versus ASER. The features of 3'UTR regulation we describe here may apply to some of the vast number of genes in animal genomes whose expression is predicted to be regulated through their 3'UTR.

Keywords: *C. elegans*; 3'UTR; miRNA; neuronal cell fate; *cis*-regulatory elements

INTRODUCTION

3' Untranslated regions (3'UTRs) of mRNAs represent a rich playground for post-transcriptional gene regulatory events (Kuersten and Goodwin 2003; Wilkie et al. 2003; de Moor et al. 2005). These regulatory events are mediated by often poorly defined *cis*-regulatory elements embedded in 3'UTRs, and impact on various aspects of the activity of a mRNA, such as its translation or stability. *Trans*-acting factors that act through *cis*-regulatory elements in 3'UTRs have been relatively sparse until it was discovered, just a few years ago, that metazoans contain hundreds if not thousands of small regulatory RNAs of the miRNA family that bind to *cis*-regulatory elements in 3'UTR (and also else-

where in mRNAs) (Ambros 2004; Ruvkun et al. 2004). Since miRNAs bind to their *cis*-regulatory sites in mRNAs with at least partial complementarity, computational algorithms have been developed to predict miRNA target interactions (Watanabe et al. 2007). Such algorithms predict that a substantial fraction (~30%) of all 3'UTRs encoded in a genome contain *cis*-regulatory elements that may be targeted by miRNAs. However, relatively few studies have experimentally investigated whether a given 3'UTR (e.g., one that contains miRNA binding sites) indeed contains gene regulatory information, and even fewer studies have used unbiased experimental approaches to dissect the composition and underlying regulatory logic of *cis*-regulatory elements in 3'UTRs. The definition of *cis*-regulatory elements in 3'UTRs, therefore, significantly lags behind the definition of *cis*-regulatory elements hardwired into DNA and controlling transcription.

The experimental dissection of the regulatory properties and *cis*-regulatory elements of 3'UTRs has often led to unexpected results. For example, mutational analyses of

Reprint requests to: Oliver Hobert, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032; e-mail: or38@columbia.edu; fax: (212) 342-1810.

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.1931510>.

three miRNA-regulated 3'UTRs in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, the *lin-14* 3'UTR (regulated by the *lin-4* miRNA), the *lin-41* 3'UTR (regulated by the *let-7* miRNA), and the *cog-1* 3'UTR (regulated by the *lgy-6* miRNA) have revealed insights into the sequence features required for miRNA/mRNA target recognition that computational approaches fail to accurately predict (Ha et al. 1996; Vella et al. 2004; Didiano and Hobert 2006, 2008). These examples corroborate the importance of unbiased experimental analysis of 3'UTR regulation. These examples are also noteworthy because they demonstrate the power of using an appropriate in vivo system to analyze 3'UTR activity. Specifically, *C. elegans* allows one to easily generate transgenic animals that express a *gfp*-based reporter gene construct fused to a 3'UTR, thereby allowing us to assay 3'UTR activity not only in live animals, but also within their normal cellular context. This contrasts the heterologous cell culture contexts in which 3'UTR/miRNA interactions are often examined. In this article, we use the power of the *C. elegans* system to probe the regulatory capacity of another 3'UTR, that of the *die-1* Zn finger transcription factor.

The *die-1* gene displays an intriguing pattern of regulation. DIE-1 protein is expressed in a taste receptor neuron of the nematode *C. elegans*, called the left ASE (ASEL) (Chang et al. 2004). This taste receptor neuron is one of a pair of morphologically bilaterally symmetric neurons, the ASEL neuron and the right ASE (ASER) neuron. Even though scores of genes are expressed in both ASEL and ASER, DIE-1 protein expression is restricted to ASEL in adult animals, where it is required for appropriate execution of ASEL cell fate (Chang et al. 2004). The restriction of DIE-1 protein expression to ASEL is mimicked by its 3'UTR; that is, if the *die-1* 3'UTR is fused to a reporter gene that is transcribed in both ASEL and ASER, the reporter becomes down-regulated in ASER (Chang et al. 2004).

The differential regulation of the *die-1* 3'UTR in ASEL versus ASER is not the only 3'UTR that is differentially regulated in ASEL versus ASER. Another transcription factor, the COG-1 homeodomain protein, displays a reciprocal regulation to that of the DIE-1 transcription factor (Fig. 1A)—rather than being down-regulated in ASER, the COG-1 protein is down-regulated in ASEL (Chang et al. 2003). This down-regulation depends on the 3'UTR of the *cog-1* gene and a cognate, ASEL-expressed miRNA, *lgy-6* (Johnston and Hobert 2003). The 3'UTR regulation of *cog-1* and *die-1* depends on each other. The *cog-1* gene, expressed in ASER, is genetically required for the down-regulation of the *die-1* 3'UTR in ASER, and the *die-1* gene, expressed in ASEL, is genetically required for the down-regulation of the *cog-1* 3'UTR in ASEL (Johnston et al. 2005). These two factors are therefore arranged in the configuration of a double-negative bistable feedback loop (Fig. 1A).

The *trans*-acting factor that regulates the *cog-1* 3'UTR is well characterized. The *die-1*-regulated *lgy-6* miRNA acts through two complementary sites in the *cog-1* 3'UTR to

FIGURE 1. (Legend on next page)

down-regulate *cog-1*. The impact of *lsy-6* on *cog-1* regulation has been documented by a variety of means, including mutant analysis of the *cog-1* 3'UTR, *lsy-6* mutant alleles, and ectopic expression of *lsy-6* (Johnston and Hobert 2003; Sarin et al. 2007; Didiano and Hobert 2008). The *trans*-acting factors that regulate the *die-1* 3'UTR are less well characterized. The *die-1* 3'UTR contains two phylogenetically conserved sites with complementarity to a family of seven related miRNAs (*mir-273*, *mir-51*, *mir-52*, *mir-53*, *mir-54*, *mir-55*, *mir-56*) (Chang 2004; Chang et al. 2004). As one would expect from down-regulation of the *die-1* 3'UTR in ASER, but not ASEL, several of these miRNAs are expressed in ASER, but not ASEL (Chang 2004; Chang et al. 2004). Moreover, ectopic expression of *mir-273* (Chang et al. 2004) and other members of this family (this article) in ASEL results in down-regulation of *die-1* activity in ASEL. However, no loss-of-function analysis of any of these miRNAs has been described to date. This is important as one can easily envision that misexpression/overexpression of miRNAs may reveal nonphysiological effects. In this article, we describe such genetic loss-of-function analysis, demonstrating that in spite of their appropriate expression and regulation, *mir-273* family members do not appear to be genetically required regulators of *die-1*. Taking this result as a starting point, we then go on to undertake an unbiased mutational analysis of the *die-1* 3'UTR, leading to the identification of several *cis*-regulatory elements required for left/right asymmetric *die-1* 3'UTR regulation in the ASEL versus ASER neuron. These *cis*-regulatory elements act in a combinatorial and redundant manner to control 3'UTR activity. This regulatory logic is strikingly distinct from that of the *cog-1* 3'UTR, which is absolutely dependent on a single, non-redundant *trans*-acting factor. We also show that the 3'UTR regulation of *cog-1* appears to be the dominant principle of left/right asymmetric regulation of *cog-1* expression, while, in contrast, 3'UTR regulation does not appear to be required for restricting *die-1* expression to ASEL versus ASER. The ASEL/ASER cell fate decision therefore embodies different principles of 3'UTR regulation.

FIGURE 1. Laterality of the ASE neurons. (A) Model of gene regulatory network responsible for the generation of ASE asymmetry (Hobert 2006). (B) *mir-273* locus and deletion allele tested in this analysis. The deletion allele is from Miska et al. (2007). (C) *mir-273* null mutant animals do not have an ASE asymmetry phenotype. *lsy-6* loss-of-function and control data taken from Johnston and Hobert (2003). (D) Alignment of the *C. elegans die-1* 3'UTR with three other related nematode species. Multiple sequence alignments were generated with T-coffee (Notredame et al. 2000). Only the first 400 bp of the *die-1* 3'UTR is shown because it contains all regulatory information (see Fig. 3). Gray boxes indicate the most conserved, extended sequenced patches, both of which show matches to the *mir-273* family of miRNAs (for miRNA/target pairing, see Supplemental Fig. 1). Sequence elements identified by mutational analysis motifs to be involved in 3'UTR regulation are colored. Red lines indicate the extent of the 25-bp scanning mutagenesis windows (Supplemental Figs. 2, 3).

RESULTS

Analysis of ASE laterality in miRNA mutants

A collection of reverse engineered miRNA knockout alleles (Fig. 1B; Miska et al. 2007) allowed us to test the prediction that *mir-273* controls *die-1* expression and, therefore, ASEL/R laterality. This prediction is based on (1) left/right asymmetric ("lateralized") expression of *mir-273* in ASER, where *die-1* is down-regulated (Chang et al. 2004); (2) the ability of *mir-273* to induce ASER fate upon ectopic misexpression (Chang et al. 2004); and (3) the phylogenetic conservation of the two predicted binding sites of *mir-273* in the *die-1* 3'UTR (which is particularly notable as little else in the *die-1* 3'UTR is conserved) (Fig. 1). In spite of these predictions, we find that a null mutation of *mir-273* has no effect on the left/right asymmetric adoption of ASEL and ASER fate, nor on the left/right asymmetric expression of a *die-1* 3'UTR sensor construct (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Table 1).

mir-273 is a member of a family of related miRNAs, the *mir-51* family, of which there are seven members (*mir-51* through *mir-56* in addition to *mir-273*). Those miRNA also show potential pairing to the predicted *mir-273* sites in the *die-1* 3'UTR (Supplemental Fig. 1). At least three additional members (*mir-54*, *mir-55*, and *mir-56*) are expressed in ASE with a strong bias to ASER, as would be expected from *trans*-acting factors down-regulating the *die-1* 3'UTR in ASER (Chang 2004). Moreover, as observed with *mir-273*, ectopic misexpression of several of these family members in ASEL can drive ASER fate in ASEL (Supplemental Table 2). However, recently generated knockout alleles that remove each of those family members (Miska et al. 2007) also do not affect ASEL/R laterality of 3'UTR sensor expression (Supplemental Table 1). As these miRNAs may act redundantly, we generated several multiple mutant combinations, including a septuple mutant strain in which all *mir-51* family members are removed. Although these septuple mutants display embryonic arrest, they live long enough to develop ASE neurons that can be scored for laterality. We found that none of the analyzed mutant combinations affected ASE laterality in a manner expected from a derepression of *die-1* expression in ASER, namely, a "2 ASEL phenotype" (Supplemental Table 1).

Mutational analysis of the *die-1* 3'UTR

To investigate the mechanisms that control lateralized *die-1* 3'UTR regulation, we undertook an unbiased mutational analysis of the 3'UTR. We used a previously described sensor system to report on left/right asymmetric *die-1* 3'UTR regulation (Fig. 2A,B). As previously reported (Chang et al. 2004), this sensor contains the bilaterally (i.e., ASEL + ASER) expressed *ceh-36* promoter, *gfp*, and the *die-1* 3'UTR. This sensor displays—in contrast to a sensor with

FIGURE 2. Features of the *die-1* 3'UTR sensor system. (A) Schematic of the *die-1* 3'UTR sensor and *gfp* images that show expression of the sensor in transgenic adult worms (all scoring throughout this article is done in young adults). ASEL and ASER are labeled by red circles. AWC neurons that serve as internal control are out of the plane of view. The data on *unc-54* and *cog-1* have been described before and are taken from Didiano and Hobert (2006). (B) Quantification of 3'UTR sensor expression. Each set of three bars represents an independent transgenic line. Individual animals are scored and binned into one of three categories: *gfp* expression in ASEL > ASER (left black bar), ASEL = ASER (middle gray bar) or ASER > ASEL (right black bar). Multiple transgenic lines of the same construct are shown for *unc-54* and *die-1* 3'UTRs. Constructs were injected at 5 ng/μL. Injection of the wild-type *die-1* 3'UTR sensor construct at high concentrations (100 ng/μL) results in a loss of the observed regulation. The *unc-54* data were freshly rescored. (C) *die-1* 3'UTR regulation is dependent upon ASE asymmetry. An integrated *die-1* 3'UTR sensor construct (*otIs260*) displays slightly higher levels of regulation than the extrachromosomal lines shown in panel B. Regulation of the integrated *die-1* 3'UTR sensor construct is lost in 2-ASER (*lsy-6*) or 2-ASEL (*ceh-36::lsy-6*) mutants. The *lsy-6* allele used (*ot71*) has a 100% 2-ASER phenotype (Johnston and Hobert 2003). The *ceh-36::lsy-6* strain *otIs204* expresses *lsy-6* bilaterally in ASEL and ASER, causing a near 100% 2-ASEL phenotype, as previously described (Ortiz et al. 2009).

a control 3'UTR—down-regulation of *gfp* expression in ASER versus ASEL (Fig. 2). As this sensor system is sensitive to dosage of the sensor transgene (Fig. 2B), we optimized the previously reported *die-1* 3'UTR sensor (as described by Chang et al. 2004) in various ways so as to minimize the risk of sensor overexpression artifacts (see Materials and Methods). Under these optimized conditions, left/right asymmetric expression is reliably reported by the *die-1* 3'UTR sensor while a control 3'UTR remains symmetrically expressed (Fig. 2). As expected from our previous analysis (Johnston et al. 2005), the asymmetry of

the *die-1* 3'UTR reporter is regulated by the bistable feedback loop controlling functional ASE laterality (Fig. 2C). From here on we refer to the differential expression of the 3'UTR in ASEL and ASER as “asymmetric 3'UTR regulation.” The experimental power of this system lies in the facts (1) that it bears an internal control against which the extent of 3'UTR regulation can be compared (*gfp* fluorescence in ASEL versus ASER); (2) that it assays 3'UTR activity in the correct cellular context in which 3'UTR normally occurs, rather than in the heterologous cellular context that many 3'UTR studies utilize; and (3) that it does not average variable expression levels of heterologous cellular population, but rather is a single cell assay.

To define regulatory regions within the *die-1* 3'UTR, we deleted parts of the ~800-bp 3'UTR and found that a 400-bp part of the 3'UTR is sufficient to confer left/right asymmetric regulation (Fig. 3, construct 2). These 400 bp are at best moderately conserved between several distinct *C. elegans* species with only two patches of extended conservation, corresponding to the sequences that are complementary to the *mir-273* family (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. 1). We generated a systematic collection of 3'UTR reporters in which consecutive 25-bp windows in the 400-bp 3'UTR are deleted. This mutational scanning analysis revealed that no single element is required for the asymmetric regulation of the 3'UTR (Supplemental Fig. 2). Note that these individual deletions removed either *mir-273* site, as well as other small patches of conserved sequences.

Deletion of another 100 bp from this minimal 400-bp element completely abolished asymmetric 3'UTR regulation (Fig. 3, construct 3). Note that the remaining 300-bp fragment still contains the two *mir-273* sites, yet is not asymmetrically regulated. This argues that these sites are not sufficient to confer regulation. In addition, mutation of both *mir-273* sites simultaneously in the context of the whole *die-1* 3'UTR showed that the two sites are also not required for asymmetric 3'UTR regulation (Fig. 3, construct 5). Even though these findings differ from a previous mutational analysis of the *mir-273* sites, in which different assay conditions were used (Chang et al. 2004; see Materials and Methods), they are consistent with the genetic analysis described above in which we noted no significant effect of the loss of *mir-273* family members on ASE asymmetry (Fig. 1).

Defining three regulatory elements involved in asymmetric 3'UTR regulation

The definition of a 400-bp minimal element (sequence shown in Fig. 1C) and the importance of 100 bp at the 3' end of this minimal element provided us with a starting point for a further dissection of the 3'UTR. We found that the 100-bp element required for regulation of the 400-bp element is also required for regulation within the complete 3'UTR (Fig. 3, construct 6). Moreover, if they are transplanted into the heterologous and normally unregulated

FIGURE 3. Mutational analysis of the *die-1* 3'UTR. Primary scoring data for multiple transgenic lines is shown, which is translated into the symbols ++, +, +/-, and -, which are used to indicate the levels of differential regulation of *gfp* expression in ASEL versus ASER ("asymmetric regulation"). These categories are based on asymmetry indices (A.I.s) calculated for each transgenic line, as described in the Materials and Methods.

unc-54 3'UTR, these 100 bp are also sufficient to confer asymmetric 3'UTR regulation (Fig. 3, construct 7). The sufficiency of the 100-bp element to confer asymmetric regulation in the *unc-54* 3'UTR is largely impaired, if not abolished upon deletion of 25 bp at the 3' end of the 100-bp element (Fig. 3, in construct 8 removal of any other 25 bp from the first 75 bp did not result in a loss of regulation; data not shown). Surprisingly, when these 25 bp (called the C element) (Fig. 1D, indicated in color) are deleted in the context of the whole *die-1* 3'UTR, the sensor is still regulated (Fig. 3, construct 9). These findings argue that the 25-bp C element is required in an isolated context, but its loss can be compensated for by other redundantly working elements in the context of the complete *die-1* 3'UTR.

To identify these redundant elements we undertook another systematic scanning deletion analysis, deleting 25-bp windows at a time, but this time in the context of the deletion of the C element (Supplemental Fig. 3). This analysis revealed two additional elements (Fig. 1C, indicated in color), "A" (position 175–200 bp) and "B" (position 250–275 bp), which when deleted in the absence of the C element result in a loss of asymmetric 3'UTR regulation. Results with all deletion constructs are shown in Supplemental Figure 3, and the relevant constructs are shown in Figure 4. Note that the initial scanning analysis (Supplemental Fig. 2) had shown that neither deletion of the A nor the B element alone has any effect on 3'UTR regulation. Moreover, deletion of A and B without deleting C (Fig. 4, construct 5) does not abolish asymmetric 3'UTR regulation. Like the A + C and B + C double deletions, deleting all three sites together leads to a complete loss of regulation as well (Fig. 4, construct 6). Taken together, we have identified three 25-bp elements in the *die-1* 3'UTR that act in a redundant/combinatorial manner to post-transcriptionally down-regulate the expression of the *die-1* 3'UTR in ASER.

In order to further investigate the redundant and combinatorial nature of this regulation, we generated synthetic variations of the *die-1* 3'UTR with various combinations of the three identified 25-bp elements, in which one of the elements replaces one or both of the other identified elements (Fig. 5). This analysis shows that 3'UTRs that contain the C element (375–400 bp) always display regulation, indicating that the C element is sufficient to confer regulation in the absence of the other sequence motifs. Three copies of the A or B element display weak or no regulation. However, 3'UTRs containing at least one copy of the A element plus the B element display regulation. This is consistent with the initial scanning analysis in which loss of element C alone (preserving A and B) still displays regulation. In sum, this analysis points to a regulatory mechanism entailing both independent and combinatorial regulation, with the C element acting independently and redundantly with a combination of the A and B elements.

Given the apparently redundant and combinatorial nature of *die-1* 3'UTR regulation, we asked whether the two

sites for the ASER-expressed and regulatory-loop controlled miRNA *mir-273* may work in a redundant manner with any of the newly identified regulatory elements. A role for the *mir-273* sites is not revealed in the absence of the A and B elements since a construct in which the entire proximal 300 bp of the *die-1* 3'UTR is deleted—including the A and B elements and the two *mir-273* sites, but still retaining the C element—still is regulated (Fig. 3, construct 4). An impact of the double deletion of both *mir-273* sites is also not revealed in the absence of the C element (Fig. 4, construct 7).

Cis-regulatory elements are sufficient to confer regulation to a heterologous context

We further probed the three defined *cis*-regulatory elements by assessing whether these elements also work in a similar manner in a heterologous 3'UTR context and are therefore sufficient to confer regulation. To this end, we transferred the three 25-bp elements independently, and in combination, into the heterologous and normally unregulated *unc-54* 3'UTR. The motifs were inserted with a similar distance away from the stop codon as they are in the wild-type *die-1* 3'UTR, and we also maintained the relative distance between the sequence motifs (Fig. 6). Of the three sequence elements, only the C element is sufficient to confer regulation when inserted into the *unc-54* 3'UTR alone (Fig. 6, constructs 2–4). Elements A and B are able to transfer regulation when inserted into the *unc-54* 3'UTR together, but not alone (Fig. 6, construct 5). Insertion of element A, element B, or both in combination does not enhance the level of regulation above that displayed by the insertion of element C alone (Fig. 6, cf. constructs 6, 7, 8 and construct 4). Overall, our results in the heterologous *unc-54* 3'UTR context are consistent with those found in the mutational experiments within the endogenous *die-1* 3'UTR context, indicating that all relevant regulatory elements required for *die-1* regulation have been identified.

Sequence features of the three regulatory elements

We analyzed the sequence content of the three regulatory elements in more detail by first examining whether any of them contain seed matches to any of the presently known *C. elegans* miRNAs currently deposited in miRBase (<http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences/>). Only the A and C elements contain >6-bp seed matches to miRNAs, namely, *mir-791*, *mir-1820*, and *mir-1830*. However, small 6-bp deletions that remove these seed matches in the A and C elements do not display the loss of 3'UTR regulation observed upon deleting the entire element (Fig. 7, constructs 1, 2).

We also compared the sequence of the A, B, and C elements and noted no similarity between A and the other

FIGURE 4. Identification of three *cis*-regulatory elements required for asymmetric regulation of the *die-1* 3'UTR in ASEL versus ASER. For explanation of the symbols, see the Figure 3 legend. Gray boxes are the conserved *mir-273* sites shown in Figure 1C.

two elements. However, the B and C elements share a core CAAAUU motif (Fig. 1C). We mutated this motif in the B and C elements and found the motif to be required for B and C element function (Fig. 7, constructs 3–5). These data indicate that the B and C elements may be regulated by a common *trans*-acting factor. No known miRNA is predicted to pair with this motif in a canonical manner.

Differential impact of 3'UTR regulation on *die-1* and *cog-1* regulation

Not only do regulatory elements in the *die-1* 3'UTR act redundantly with one another, but the 3'UTR regulation of the *die-1* locus is also redundant with other, non-3'UTR-mediated gene regulatory mechanisms. The first hint in this direction was the observation that the *die-1* 3'UTR

FIGURE 5. *die-1* 3'UTR sequence motif replacement experiments indicate that sequence motifs act in a redundant and combinatorial manner. For explanation of the symbols, see the Figure 3 legend.

FIGURE 6. Sequence motifs confer regulation to *unc-54* 3'UTR. For explanation of symbols, see the Figure 3 legend.

reporter, even though down-regulated in ASER, is not completely turned off (Fig. 2A). This is in striking contrast to the 3'UTR of the ASER-inducer *cog-1*, which is more

prominently turned off in ASER (Fig. 2A; Didiano and Hobert 2006, 2008). That is, even though both 3'UTRs are asymmetrically regulated in a reciprocal manner, the extent

FIGURE 7. Mutational analysis of individual sequence motifs with the three *cis*-regulatory elements. Note that in constructs 1 and 2 the seed mutations were generated in the context of a mutation in redundantly acting elements because it is only in such a “sensitized” construct that loss of an individual element would have an effect (see Fig. 4). The C deletion in construct 2 (testing the seed match in site A) is not a complete 25-bp deletion, but a CAAAUU motif deletion, which mimics the complete 25-bp deletion. Also note that compared to all other constructs in this article, construct 5 defines the most minimal changes that lead to a complete loss of *die-1* 3'UTR regulation, namely, the loss of two 6-bp motifs of the same sequence. For explanation of symbols, see the Figure 3 legend.

of down-regulation of the 3'UTR appears to be distinct. This dichotomy was consistently observed across multiple lines and multiple distinct concentrations of the respective 3'UTR sensor.

This differential down-regulation is in striking contrast to the expression of the DIE-1 and COG-1 protein. Using fosmid-recombineering technology (Tursun et al. 2009), we tagged genomic *die-1* and *cog-1* loci with fluorescent reporter genes and corroborated the previous conclusion that in adult animals DIE-1 protein expression is restricted to ASEL and COG-1 is restricted to ASER (Chang et al. 2003, 2004); this restriction is absolute, i.e., no residual levels of the tagged protein can be observed in ASEL or ASER in adult animals (Fig. 8A,B). These reporter genes are fully functional and rescue the *cog-1* and *die-1* mu-

tant phenotypes, respectively (data not shown). The left/right asymmetric regulation of *cog-1* and *die-1* is functionally relevant since ectopic expression of *cog-1* in ASEL converts ASEL into ASER and overexpression of *die-1* converts ASER to ASEL (Chang et al. 2003; Johnston et al. 2005; Sarin et al. 2009; data not shown).

However, we find that the functional importance of 3'UTR regulation to achieve the left/right asymmetric activity of *cog-1* (in ASER) and *die-1* (in ASEL) is strikingly different. We arrived at this conclusion by replacing the 3'UTR of the *cog-1* and *die-1* loci, in the context of the rescuing fosmids schematically shown in Figure 8A, with the 3'UTR of the *unc-54* locus, a 3'UTR that is not differentially regulated in ASEL versus ASER (Fig. 2A,B). We assessed ASEL/R expression through *yfp* tagging of the respective fosmids; furthermore, we assessed function of these fosmid clones by injecting them into wild-type animals in which ASEL and ASER fate are monitored through terminal cell fate markers. We find that in the case of the *cog-1* locus, the replacement of its 3'UTR results in a complete derepression of COG-1::YFP expression in ASEL (Fig. 8B). This derepression results in a highly penetrant conversion of ASEL fate into ASER fate (Fig. 8C). This finding is consistent with the effects of a dominant *cog-1* allele containing a 3'UTR deletion, which causes COG-1 to be derepressed in ASEL (Sarin et al. 2007).

In contrast, replacement of the *die-1* 3'UTR with the *unc-54* 3'UTR does not

result in depression of DIE-1::YFP expression in ASER (Fig. 8B). Consequently, there is also no ectopic ASEL fate induction in ASER in transgenic animals expression the 3'UTR-replaced fosmid (Fig. 8C). As mentioned above, animals that are forced to express DIE-1 in ASER can, in principle, result in an ASER to ASEL fate conversion (Johnston et al. 2005; data not shown). Thus, the failure of the 3'UTR-replaced construct to do so corroborates the notion that loss of the 3'UTR does not result in the derepression of functionally significant amounts of DIE-1 in ASER.

In conclusion, these experiments demonstrate that COG-1 expression and activity is primarily restricted to ASER via its 3'UTR, but DIE-1 expression and activity is restricted to ASEL not by its 3'UTR alone, even though the 3'UTR

FIGURE 8. Differential contribution of the 3'UTR for regulation of expression and activity of the *die-1* and *cog-1* gene. (A) Schematic representation of the fosmid clones used for expression (panel B) and functional (panel C) analysis of *die-1* and *cog-1*. Fosmid clones are around 40 kbp in size. Fluorescent reporters were first recombineered into the respective fosmids. In a subsequent recombineering step, 3'UTRs were replaced with the *unc-54* 3'UTR as schematically indicated. Fosmids were all injected at similar concentration to generate transgenic animals. (B) Testing the effect of 3'UTR replacement on the left/right asymmetric expression of *die-1* and *cog-1*. Representative *yfp/mCherry* expression of transgenic animals expressing the reporter genes shown in panel A. At least two independent transgenic lines were tested for expression, and expression was found to be almost fully penetrant in that, for the *cog-1* reporters, the construct with wild-type 3'UTR was asymmetric while the reporter with the *unc-54* 3'UTR was not. For the *die-1* reporters, both always showed left/right asymmetric expression regardless of the 3'UTR tested. Bilateral markers (*flp-6^{prom}::cameleon* = *ntl-13* and *che-1^{prom}::mCherry* = *otIs232*) were used to unambiguously identify the ASE neurons (highlighted with stippled lines). Numbers above each panel correspond to the constructs shown in panel A. (C) Testing the effect of 3'UTR replacement on functional derepression of *die-1* and *cog-1* gene activity. Based on previous work, derepression of *die-1* function in ASER is expected to result in a transformation of ASER to ASEL (Johnston et al. 2005), while derepression of *cog-1* function in ASEL is expected to result in a transformation of ASEL to ASER (Chang et al. 2003; Johnston et al. 2005; Sarin et al. 2009). Transgenic animals containing reporters with wild-type 3'UTRs or replaced 3'UTR (as shown in panel A) were assayed for their ability to convert cell fate, as assessed with the ASER fate marker *gcy-5::gfp* (*ntl-1*), which is expressed in ASEL if ASER fate is induced (left table) and repressed in ASER if ASEL fate is induced (right table). Each row represents an independent transgenic line. For *die-1*, we also performed the same experiment with fosmids in which the locus was not tagged with a fluorescent reporter. In that case zero of four lines with the wild-type 3'UTR induced ASEL fate in ASER, and one of four lines with the replaced 3'UTR induced ASEL in ASER in 25% (n = 89) of animals.

is asymmetrically regulated. In other words, *cog-1* left/right asymmetry is primarily and nonredundantly regulated via its 3'UTR, while *die-1* left/right asymmetry is regulated by redundant mechanisms, composed of 3'UTR regulation, and other, as yet unknown, means (such as, for example, transcriptional or post-translational regulation).

DISCUSSION

We have employed here a powerful in vivo system to probe the activity of a 3'UTR that is regulated in an intriguing biological context. The dissection of functional elements in a 3'UTR lags far behind the dissection of functional elements embedded in DNA sequences and that, like 3'UTR elements, are important to shape the gene expression profiles of individual cell types. Our analysis demonstrates that core principles of the regulatory logic of DNA-based *cis*-regulatory elements (i.e., transcriptional regulatory event) can be found in 3'UTR regulation as well, namely, combinatorial activity of *cis*-regulatory elements and redundancy (Fig. 9; Hobert 2008). Conceptually, the *die-1* 3'UTR can be divided into two functional modules. The first module contains the A and the B element, and the second module contains the C element. Each module is sufficient to confer regulation in a heterologous context, yet each module acts redundantly with one another in their normal context. Module one is composed of two elements that, themselves, act in a combinatorial manner. The A and B elements are alone not sufficient to confer regulation, but together they can. The A and B elements, furthermore, act independently of the C element. That is, when C is removed, both A and B become important and removal of either (in the absence of C) results in a loss of regulation. Transcriptional control elements often work by similar principles. To give just one out of many examples, separable *cis*-regulatory elements in the transcriptional control region of the mouse CD4 locus provide redundant *cis*-regulatory information (Duncan et al. 1996).

FIGURE 9. Summary. (A) Redundant and combinatorial regulation by three *cis*-regulatory motifs from the *die-1* 3'UTR. (B) Revised regulatory loop controlling ASEL/R fate specification. Note that manipulation of *cog-1* or *Isy-6* activity results in a complete symmetrization of *die-1* expression. We have shown here that *die-1* regulation occurs on the level of its 3'UTR but also on a non-3'UTR-dependent mechanism, with both mechanisms being *cog-1* dependent.

Redundancy of control mechanisms is not only observed on the level of individual regulatory elements in the 3'UTR but also occurs in regard to the overall types of regulatory events that restrict *die-1* expression to ASEL. This was revealed by our 3'UTR replacement experiment, which demonstrated that left/right asymmetric *die-1* expression is still observed after 3'UTR removal. In other words, the 3'UTR of *die-1* is sufficient (at least to a certain extent) to confer left/right asymmetric expression to a reporter protein but is not required to confer asymmetric expression of the DIE-1 protein. It will be interesting to see whether the redundant, non-3'UTR-dependent mechanism that restricts DIE-1 to ASEL (and that appears to be transcriptional) (L Cochella and O Hobert, unpubl.) behaves in a similar way. That is, even though this mechanism (e.g., transcriptional) is apparently sufficient, as shown with our 3'UTR-replaced fosmid reporter; it may or may not be required. For example, if this mechanism were eliminated (e.g., through the deletion of negative transcriptional regulatory motifs that repress DIE-1 expression in ASER), one may not observe much of an effect on asymmetric DIE-1 protein accumulation, as the 3'UTR-dependent mechanism may be able to substitute for the loss of the putative transcriptional mechanism. Such redundant mechanisms of regulating protein expression and function are common. For example, the asymmetric distribution of the SKN-1

transcription factor in distinct blastomeres of the early *C. elegans* embryo is controlled by two redundant mechanisms, one involving protein degradation, the other mRNA translation or stability (Page et al. 2007).

An alternative explanation other than plain redundancy is that the non-3'UTR and the 3'UTR-mediated regulatory mechanisms are not "on par" in terms of their relative importance. The 3'UTR mechanism may have a role so minor that it is too subtle to allow experimental detection under standard conditions. One such difficult-to-identify role would be one in which the 3'UTR provides robustness to *die-1* repression in ASEL. One prominent example of this sort of "fail-safe" mechanism is the regulation of *Hoxb8* expression by *miR-196* in chick, where the *Hoxb8* expression domain is primarily determined at the transcriptional level but is sharpened by *miR-196* activity (Hornstein et al. 2005). In a robustness-type scenario, the effects of the loss of 3'UTR regulation may only become apparent under relatively extreme external circumstances, as evidenced by the example of *miR-7* in flies. The *miR-7*-mediated regulation of genes involved in photoreceptor development is not apparent in *mir-7* knockout animals under uniform laboratory condition but does become apparent when animals are subject to fluctuating environmental temperature conditions (Li et al. 2009). If the role of the *die-1* 3'UTR were indeed to confer robustness, then the bistable regulatory network that controls ASE asymmetry would provide a microcosm of what have been proposed to be the two predominant modes of 3'UTR regulatory mechanisms—a robustness-conferring 3'UTR mechanism (*die-1*) and a "switch-type" 3'UTR regulation (*cog-1*).

Several more lessons are to be learned from our study. One is that overexpression of miRNAs may overestimate the importance of an individual miRNA species, as exemplified by our assessment of *mir-273* involvement. Notably, our case for *mir-273* involvement appeared strong not just because of the overexpression results (in which we converted ASEL to ASER) (Chang et al. 2004) but also because *mir-273* and other family members are expressed in the right place (ASER) at the right time (Chang 2004; Chang et al. 2004) and regulated by the same factor (*cog-1*) that regulates the asymmetric activity of the *die-1* 3'UTR (Johnston et al. 2005). Given that we have clearly shown here that both the 3'UTR itself can be regulated by redundant regulatory mechanisms and that 3'UTR regulation per se is also redundant, we can still not entirely rule out that the *mir-51* family (of which *mir-273* is a member) plays a role in *die-1* 3'UTR regulation. Our *mir* mutant analysis does not rule this out, as we had to use terminal ASE fate markers (a measure of overall *die-1* gene activity) rather than the *die-1* 3'UTR sensor as a readout for the removal of the relevant *mir* gene (the sensor cannot be scored in embryos when septuple *mir* mutant animals arrest development). Yet a *mir-51*-family function is, if it exists, clearly not alone required for *die-1* 3'UTR regulation.

Another cautionary note resulting from our studies relates to using sequence conservation as a guide to identify functionally relevant elements in a 3'UTR, a strategy widely used for miRNA/target predictions (Watanabe et al. 2007). There are only two extended patches of sequence conservation in the *die-1* 3'UTR (the *mir-273* sites), and neither appears to be required for 3'UTR regulation, at least not in the cellular context we examined. In contrast, the elements that we do find to be important for regulation show very little conservation. This is reminiscent of our findings in the *cog-1* 3'UTR, in which, with the exception of the *lsy-6* target sites, evolutionary conservation did not correlate with sequences verified as functionally relevant by in vivo mutational analysis (Didiano and Hobert 2008). Moreover, like in the *die-1* 3'UTR, mutations of conserved sequence motifs in the *cog-1* 3'UTR had no effect on the observed regulation. Even though one should be cautious in interpreting and generalizing these findings, they serve as a clear illustration of the importance of experimental validation of in silico predictions.

A key question left open by our present work is the identity of the *trans*-acting factors that control the A, B, and C elements. Those factors may be regulatory RNAs or RNA binding proteins (RBPs) or a combination of both. No known miRNA binds to any of the three experimentally identified sites with canonical seed matches, yet a known miRNA may pair to these sites in a noncanonical manner. Also, as more miRNAs are continuously being identified, it is possible that cognate miRNA(s) remain to be identified. Alternatively, other types of small RNAs may control these elements. Indeed, we found at least one annotated 21U RNA, the *C. elegans* equivalent of piRNAs (Wang and Reinke 2008), to match to the C element. As no mutant allele is currently available for this RNA, it is not yet possible to assess the importance of this RNA in *die-1* 3'UTR regulation.

We examined the alternative hypothesis of binding of RBPs to any of these sites by conducting an RNAi screen of all >300 RBPs that are recognizable in the *C. elegans* genome based on the presence of canonical RNA binding domains. We identified no RBP whose RNAi-mediated down-regulation derepresses *die-1* activity in ASEL (Supplemental Fig. 3). As RNAi may not be efficient enough to down-regulate a functionally relevant RBP and as the 3'UTR of *die-1* is just one of apparently several mechanisms that down-regulate *die-1* in 3'UTR, this negative result has relatively little meaning. The latter argument also does not allow us to distinguish between RBP versus miRNA regulation of the *die-1* 3'UTR by simply disabling global miRNA function (by removal of Argonautes, Dicer, or Drosha).

Numerous miRNA target prediction algorithms have proposed that a large fraction of 3'UTRs are regulated. However, due to sheer volume, most of this has not yet been experimentally verified or refuted. Nevertheless, numerous examples have demonstrated that whether it is miRNA-dependent or not, 3'UTR-mediated post-transcriptional reg-

ulation is essential for many biological processes (Kuersten and Goodwin 2003; Wilkie et al. 2003; de Moor et al. 2005). The presence of hundreds of RBPs in animal genomes and thousands of small regulatory RNAs of various classes provides a vast pool of *trans*-acting factors that organisms have at their disposal to target 3'UTR sequences for regulation. Apart from the already much appreciated and pervasive role of 3'UTR regulation in controlling expression of maternally provided mRNAs in early embryogenesis (Kuersten and Goodwin 2003; de Moor et al. 2005), it is conceivable that 3'UTR regulation may also be prominently employed in controlling neuronal laterality. Neuronal laterality is a state that is considered to be ontogenetically and phylogenetically derived from a bilateral ground state (Hobert et al. 2002; Hugdahl and Davidson 2003). 3'UTR regulation may be superimposed onto an ancestral bilateral expression pattern, brought about by transcriptional regulation, to induce and/or sharpen the lateralization of expression patterns (Hobert 2008). 3'UTR regulation may also explain why so few genes have so far been found to be asymmetrically expressed in vertebrates. Most vertebrate expression analysis is done through in situ detection of mRNAs (e.g., Gray et al. 2004; Lein et al. 2007), which measures only mRNA abundance but not translational regulation. Lateralized translational regulation in functionally lateralized brain regions may therefore have escaped notice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

3'UTR sensor system

The 3'UTR sensor system employed in this analysis of the *die-1* 3'UTR is based on the expression of *gfp* under the ASE bilateral promoter (*ceh-36*) with either control (*unc-54*) or experimental (*die-1*) 3'UTRs, and is the same as that utilized in two previous publications examining regulation of the *cog-1* 3'UTR by the *lsy-6* miRNA (Didiano and Hobert 2006, 2008). The *ceh-36* promoter is expressed equally in both the ASEL and ASER neurons, as well as another pair of bilateral neurons (AWCL/R), which are used as internal controls for mosaic expression. Therefore, the only variable in the system is the regulatory influence of the 3'UTR tested; this allows each individual transgenic animal to be binned into one of three categories: *gfp* expression in ASEL > ASER, ASEL = ASER, or ASEL < ASER (scoring is always done in young adult animals). Previous analysis indicates that differences in fluorescent intensity greater than 25% are discernable by eye. After binning the relative expression levels into the three different categories described above, we calculate an asymmetry index (A.I.), which is defined as number of animals displaying [(L > R) - (R > L)] / total number of animals. This index ranges from -1.0 to 1.0, with either representing complete asymmetry. The A.I. is then averaged across multiple transgenic lines; note that usually there is very little variation of regulation between individual lines of the same construct. The A.I. average is then transformed into a more easily scanned +/- system, with the following, arbitrarily set ranges: A.I. > 0.25 is indicated as “++”; 0.25 > A.I. > 0.15 is indicated as

“+”; $0.15 > \text{A.I.} > 0.1$ is indicated as “+/-”; and $\text{A.I.} < 0.1$ is indicated as “-.”

We noted that multicopy overexpression of the *die-1* 3'UTR *gfp* sensor fails to report the correct left/right asymmetric expression of *die-1*; rather, the sensor is bilaterally expressed (Fig. 2B). Similarly, an analysis of the *hid/bantam* 3'UTR regulatory relationship found that miRNA-mediated down-regulation is sensitive to 3' UTR sensor transgene expression concentrations (Nahvi et al. 2009). Overexpressed sensor transgenes may overpower a limiting amount of *trans*-acting factors that control the 3'UTR. To reduce the risk of these overexpression effects, we altered the protocols compared to the Chang et al. (2004) analysis (which reported on the initial characterization of the *die-1* 3'UTR) in four ways: (1) All constructs are cloned into the pPD95.75 vector from the Fire Vector Kit as opposed to using the PCR-fusion method from Chang et al. (2004). Vector-based arrays tend to be less multicopy in nature than PCR amplicon-based arrays (Etchberger and Hobert 2008) and can therefore be expected to produce less mRNA copies in a cell. (2) We utilize here a shorter and less highly expressed version of the *ceh-36* promoter than that used previously (“*ceh-36p2*” = 1847 bp, instead of the 5030-bp “*ceh-36p1*” used by Chang et al. (2004)). (3) Injection concentrations were adjusted down to 5 ng/μL. (4) DNA injection concentrations are determined by photo-spectrometer (NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer) rather than based on gel electrophoresis band-intensity comparisons, which tend to produce more variable results. All these four points may have contributed to the differences in results of the *mir-273* site deletions reporter here and by Chang et al. (2004). Note that these altered, improved conditions were also applied in the extensive analysis of the *cog-1* 3'UTR that we previously described (Didiano and Hobert 2006, 2008).

Generation of 3'UTR sensor constructs and transgenic lines

All *die-1* 3'UTR sensor constructs are cloned into the same promoter/vector backbone, consisting of 1.8 kb of the *ceh-36* promoter driving *gfp* from the pPD95.75 expression vector. All full-length cloned (nontruncated) *die-1* 3'UTRs are longer than the endogenous *die-1* 3'UTR (805 bp; as assessed by an EST clone) by ~300 bp; however, this extra sequence is most likely removed from the mRNA transcript during poly-adenylation. All *die-1* 3'UTR sensors are cloned into the EcoRI/EagI restriction sites of the *gfp* expression vector pPD95.75, which replaces the vectors *unc-54* 3'UTR. With the exception of those constructs described below, mutations were introduced by PCR fusion (Hobert 2002) and then subcloned into the same position. Constructs containing multiple mutations were generated by PCR fusion on templates in which the other mutation(s) were already introduced.

All 3'UTR sensor constructs were injected as plasmids into wild-type N2 worms at 5 ng/μL (if not indicated otherwise in figures) with 100 ng/μL *rol-6* as injected marker. Stable transgenic lines were selected in the F2 generation.

Generation of fosmid based reporters

The *cog-1* and *die-1* fosmid based reporters were generated following the procedure described by Tursun et al. (2009).

Fosmids WRM064bB08 (*die-1*) and WRM067cF11 (*cog-1*) were recombined in *Escherichia coli* strain SW105 to introduce a fluorescent protein in frame at the C terminus of each protein of interest. After confirmation of the correct recombination products, subsequent recombinations were performed on the fluorescent protein-containing fosmids to exchange the endogenous 3'UTR for the unregulated *unc-54* 3'UTR. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing the recombination junctions and the region of interest, digested with restriction enzymes cutting the fosmid backbone, and injected as complex arrays with either *rol-6* or *elt-2::dsRed* as coinjection markers and bacterial genomic DNA as carrier.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material can be found at <http://www.rnajournal.org>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Q. Chen for expert technical assistance in generation transgenic lines and Ezequiel Alvarez-Saavedra, Eric Miska, and Robert H. Horvitz for kindly providing miRNA deletion alleles prior to publication. We acknowledge funding by the NIH (R01NS039996-05; R01NS050266-03). D.D. was funded by an NIH predoctoral fellowship, B.T. is funded by a Francis Goelet Postdoctoral Fellowship, and L.C. is funded by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Helen Hay Whitney Foundation. O.H. is an Investigator of the HHMI.

Received September 17, 2009; accepted October 29, 2009.

REFERENCES

- Ambros V. 2004. The functions of animal microRNAs. *Nature* **431**: 350–355.
- Chang SL. 2004. “Development of left/right asymmetry in chemosensory neurons in *Caenorhabditis elegans*.” PhD thesis, Columbia University, New York.
- Chang S, Johnston RJ Jr, Hobert O. 2003. A transcriptional regulatory cascade that controls left/right asymmetry in chemosensory neurons of *C. elegans*. *Genes & Dev* **17**: 2123–2137.
- Chang S, Johnston RJ, Frokjaer-Jensen C, Lockery S, Hobert O. 2004. MicroRNAs act sequentially and asymmetrically to control chemosensory laterality in the nematode. *Nature* **430**: 785–789.
- de Moor CH, Meijer H, Lissenden S. 2005. Mechanisms of translational control by the 3' UTR in development and differentiation. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* **16**: 49–58.
- Didiano D, Hobert O. 2006. Perfect seed pairing is not a generally reliable predictor for miRNA-target interactions. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **13**: 849–851.
- Didiano D, Hobert O. 2008. Molecular architecture of a miRNA-regulated 3' UTR. *RNA* **14**: 1297–1317.
- Duncan DD, Adlam M, Siu G. 1996. Asymmetric redundancy in CD4 silencer function. *Immunity* **4**: 301–311.
- Etchberger JF, Hobert O. 2008. Vector-free DNA constructs improve transgene expression in *C. elegans*. *Nat Methods* **5**: 3.
- Gray PA, Fu H, Luo P, Zhao Q, Yu J, Ferrari A, Tenzen T, Yuk DI, Tsung EF, Cai Z, et al. 2004. Mouse brain organization revealed through direct genome-scale TF expression analysis. *Science* **306**: 2255–2257.
- Ha I, Wightman B, Ruvkun G. 1996. A bulged lin-4/lin-14 RNA duplex is sufficient for *Caenorhabditis elegans* lin-14 temporal gradient formation. *Genes & Dev* **10**: 3041–3050.

- Hobert O. 2002. PCR fusion-based approach to create reporter gene constructs for expression analysis in transgenic *C. elegans*. *Bio-techniques* **32**: 728–730.
- Hobert O. 2006. Architecture of a microRNA-controlled gene regulatory network that diversifies neuronal cell fates. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* **71**: 181–188.
- Hobert O. 2008. Gene regulation by transcription factors and micro-RNAs. *Science* **319**: 1785–1786.
- Hobert O, Johnston RJ Jr, Chang S. 2002. Left–right asymmetry in the nervous system: The *Caenorhabditis elegans* model. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **3**: 629–640.
- Hornstein E, Mansfield JH, Yekta S, Hu JK, Harfe BD, McManus MT, Baskerville S, Bartel DP, Tabin CJ. 2005. The microRNA miR-196 acts upstream of Hoxb8 and Shh in limb development. *Nature* **438**: 671–674.
- Hugdahl K, Davidson RJ. 2003. *The asymmetrical brain*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Johnston RJ, Hobert O. 2003. A microRNA controlling left/right neuronal asymmetry in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **426**: 845–849.
- Johnston RJ Jr, Chang S, Etchberger JF, Ortiz CO, Hobert O. 2005. MicroRNAs acting in a double-negative feedback loop to control a neuronal cell fate decision. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **102**: 12449–12454.
- Kuersten S, Goodwin EB. 2003. The power of the 3' UTR: Translational control and development. *Nat Rev Genet* **4**: 626–637.
- Lein ES, Hawrylycz MJ, Ao N, Ayres M, Bensinger A, Bernard A, Boe AF, Boguski MS, Brockway KS, Byrnes EJ, et al. 2007. Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature* **445**: 168–176.
- Li X, Cassidy JJ, Reinke CA, Fischboeck S, Carthew RW. 2009. A microRNA imparts robustness against environmental fluctuation during development. *Cell* **137**: 273–282.
- Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Abbott AL, Lau NC, Hellman AB, McGonagle SM, Bartel DP, Ambros VR, Horvitz HR. 2007. Most *Caenorhabditis elegans* microRNAs are individually not essential for development or viability. *PLoS Genet* **3**: e215. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030215.eor.
- Nahvi A, Shoemaker CJ, Green R. 2009. An expanded seed sequence definition accounts for full regulation of the hid 3' UTR by bantam miRNA. *RNA* **15**: 814–822.
- Notredame C, Higgins DG, Heringa J. 2000. T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J Mol Biol* **302**: 205–217.
- Ortiz CO, Faumont S, Takayama J, Ahmed HK, Goldsmith AD, Pocock R, McCormick KE, Kunimoto H, Iino Y, Lockery S, et al. 2009. Lateralized gustatory behavior of *C. elegans* is controlled by specific receptor-type guanylyl cyclases. *Curr Biol* **19**: 996–1004.
- Page BD, Diede SJ, Tenlen JR, Ferguson EL. 2007. EEL-1, a Hect E3 ubiquitin ligase, controls asymmetry and persistence of the SKN-1 transcription factor in the early *C. elegans* embryo. *Development* **134**: 2303–2314.
- Ruvkun G, Wightman B, Ha I. 2004. The 20 years it took to recognize the importance of tiny RNAs. *Cell* **116**: S93–S96, 2 p following S96.
- Sarin S, O'Meara MM, Flowers EB, Antonio C, Poole RJ, Didiano D, Johnston RJ Jr, Chang S, Narula S, Hobert O. 2007. Genetic screens for *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutants defective in left/right asymmetric neuronal fate specification. *Genetics* **176**: 2109–2130.
- Sarin S, Antonio C, Tursun B, Hobert O. 2009. The *C. elegans* Tailless/TLX transcription factor nhr-67 controls neuronal identity and left/right asymmetric fate diversification. *Development* **136**: 2933–2944.
- Tursun B, Cochella L, Carrera I, Hobert O. 2009. A toolkit and robust pipeline for the generation of fosmid-based reporter genes in *C. elegans*. *PLoS One* **4**: e4625. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004625.
- Vella MC, Choi EY, Lin SY, Reinert K, Slack FJ. 2004. The *C. elegans* microRNA let-7 binds to imperfect let-7 complementary sites from the lin-41 3'UTR. *Genes & Dev* **18**: 132–137.
- Wang G, Reinke V. 2008. A *C. elegans* Piwi, PRG-1, regulates 21U-RNAs during spermatogenesis. *Curr Biol* **18**: 861–867.
- Watanabe Y, Tomita M, Kanai A. 2007. Computational methods for microRNA target prediction. *Methods Enzymol* **427**: 65–86.
- Wilkie GS, Dickson KS, Gray NK. 2003. Regulation of mRNA translation by 5'- and 3'-UTR-binding factors. *Trends Biochem Sci* **28**: 182–188.

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

Neuron-type specific regulation of a 3'UTR through redundant and combinatorially acting *cis*-regulatory elements

Dominic Didiano, Luisa Cochella, Baris Tursun, et al.

RNA 2010 16: 349-363 originally published online December 29, 2009

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.1931510](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.1931510)

Supplemental Material

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2009/12/04/rna.1931510.DC1>

References

This article cites 33 articles, 11 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/16/2/349.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>