Identification and comparative analysis of telomerase RNAs from *Candida* species reveal conservation of functional elements

STANISLAVA GUNISOVA,^{1,2,5} ELHANAN ELBOHER,^{3,5} JOZEF NOSEK,^{1,2,5} VALENTIN GORKOVOY,³ YOGEV BROWN,³ JEAN-FRANCOIS LUCIER,⁴ NANCY LATERREUR,⁴ RAYMUND J. WELLINGER,⁴ YEHUDA TZFATI,³ and LUBOMIR TOMASKA^{1,2}

¹Department of Genetics, Comenius University, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Mlynska dolina, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

²Department of Biochemistry, Comenius University, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Mlynska dolina, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

³Department of Genetics, The Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Givat Ram, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

⁴Department of Microbiology and Infectiology, RNA Group, Faculty of Medicine, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec J1H 5N4 Canada

ABSTRACT

The RNA component of telomerase (telomerase RNA; TER) varies substantially both in sequence composition and size (from ~150 nucleotides [nt] to >1500 nt) across species. This dramatic divergence has hampered the identification of TER genes and a large-scale comparative analysis of TER sequences and structures among distantly related species. To identify by phylogenetic analysis conserved sequences and structural features of TER that are of general importance, it is essential to obtain TER sequences from evolutionarily distant groups of species, providing enough conservation within each group and enough variation among the groups. To this end, we identified TER genes in several yeast species with relatively large (>20 base pairs) and nonvariant telomeric repeats, mostly from the genus *Candida*. Interestingly, several of the TERs reported here are longer than all other yeast TERs known to date. Within these TERs, we predicted a pseudoknot containing U-A-U base triples (conserved in vertebrates, budding yeasts, and ciliates) and a three-way junction element (conserved in vertebrates and budding yeasts). In addition, we identified a novel conserved sequence (CS2a) predicted to reside within an internal-loop structure, in all the budding yeast TERs examined. CS2a is located near the Est1p-binding bulge-stem previously identified in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mutational analyses in both budding yeasts *S. cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces lactis* demonstrate that CS2a is essential for in vivo telomerase function. The comparative and mutational analyses of conserved TER elements reported here provide novel insights into the structure and function of the telomerase ribonucleoprotein complex.

Keywords: telomere; telomerase RNA; pseudoknot; phylogenetic analysis; secondary structure; yeast; *Candida*

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres are nucleoprotein structures protecting eukaryotic chromosome ends (for review, see Bertuch and

Lundblad 2006; Hug and Lingner 2006). Nuclear telomeres are predominantly maintained by telomerase, a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex that can add short DNA repeats onto chromosomal ends and thus compensate for losses caused by incomplete replication or degradation (for reviews, see Smogorzewska and de Lange 2004; Autexier and Lue 2006; Hug and Lingner 2006). The essential core components of this specialized enzyme are telomerase RNA (TER; TLC1 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) and telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT; Est2p in budding yeasts), which can repeatedly copy a small portion of TER (termed the template) onto the telomere 3' end. Other proteins that associate with the telomerase complex in budding yeasts include Est1p and Est3p, both of which are essential for telomerase action in vivo, the Sm proteins, and the yKu

⁵These authors equally contributed to the work.

Reprint requests to: Raymund J. Wellinger, Groupe ARN/R.N.A. Group, Department of Microbiology and Infectiology, Faculty of Medicine, Université de Sherbrooke, 3001 12e Avenue Nord, Sherbrooke, QC J1H 5N4, Canada; e-mail: Raymund.Wellinger@Usherbrooke.ca; fax: +01 (819) 564-5392; Yehuda Tzfati, Department of Genetics, The Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Givat Ram, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel; e-mail: tzfati@cc.huji.ac.il; fax: +972.2.658.6975; or Lubomir Tomaska, Department of Genetics, Comenius University, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Mlynska dolina B-1, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovak Republic; e-mail: tomaska@fns.uniba.sk; fax: +421.2.60296.434.

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.1194009>.

complex (Bertuch and Lundblad 2006; Hug and Lingner 2006).

TERs are highly divergent in sequence and length, even among closely related species. Phylogenetic covariation was used to predict common secondary structure models for TERs within ciliates (Romero and Blackburn 1991; ten Dam et al. 1991; Lingner et al. 1994), vertebrates (Chen et al. 2000), and *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* and *Kluyveromyces* budding yeast species (Chappell and Lundblad 2004; Dandjinou et al. 2004; Lin et al. 2004; Zappulla and Cech 2004; Brown et al. 2007). However, only a limited similarity in the general architecture of these models was observed (Chen et al. 2000; Lin et al. 2004). The known yeast TERs are relatively large—from 930 to 1540 nucleotides (nt) in *K. nonfermentans* and *C. albicans*, respectively (Tzfati et al. 2003; Hsu et al. 2007a)—and highly divergent in sequence. While significant portions of these TERs are dispensable for telomerase function, other nontemplate regions appear to be important (Roy et al. 1998; Livengood et al. 2002; Zappulla et al. 2005). Several conserved elements were found to contribute crucial functions such as specifying the template boundary (Tzfati et al. 2000; Seto et al. 2003) or recruiting Est1, the yKu complex, and the Sm proteins (Seto et al. 1999, 2002; Peterson et al. 2001). A unique pseudoknot element containing a major-groove triple-helix is crucial for *K. lactis*, *S. cerevisiae*, and human telomerase function (Theimer et al. 2005; Shefer et al. 2007; Qiao and Cech 2008). It was recently suggested that the triple helix functions in positioning the 3' end of the telomere at the catalytic site (Qiao and Cech 2008). Another critical domain in vertebrate TERs, CR4-CR5, was suggested to interact with TERT (Mitchell and Collins 2000; Bachand et al. 2001). Within this domain, a small stem-loop, P6.1, is essential for the catalytic activity of the enzyme. Stem IV of ciliate TERs was suggested to be the functional homolog of the vertebrate P6.1 (Mason et al. 2003), and in *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* and *Kluyveromyces* TERs, a three-way junction element shows remarkable conservation with P6.1 (Brown et al. 2007).

Phylogenetic analysis of TER sequences derived from species that are close in terms of evolutionary distance has proven very helpful for identifying conserved functional elements and predicting their structure. However, to reveal universal features of telomerase, it is necessary to compare these conserved elements across more distant groups of species. Therefore, we identified putative TER sequences from several *Candida*, *Debaryomyces*, *Lodderomyces*, and *Pichia* species, analyzed them, and compared their conserved elements with those of other yeast and vertebrate TERs. The recently identified TER gene of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (Leonardi et al. 2008; Webb and Zakian 2008) could not be included in our analysis because fission yeast is relatively distant to the budding yeasts studied here and no other TER homologs from evolutionarily close fission yeast species are available in the databases. Our

comparative analysis revealed sequence and structure elements that not only are conserved but also appear to be essential for function in budding yeast TERs. Moreover, certain elements are also conserved in vertebrates and ciliates.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of putative TER loci in yeast with large telomeric repeats

A hint for the identification of TER genes is provided by the sequence of the telomeric repeats copied from the template within TER. This information is not sufficient in cases where the telomeric repeats are too short and/or variable in nature, such as those of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*. Yet, most yeast species have relatively large (>20 base pairs [bp]) and nonvariant repeat units (McEachern and Hicks 1993; McEachern and Blackburn 1994; see Table 1). Importantly, complete or partial genome sequences of several yeast species are publicly available (Fig. 1; Table 1). We identified putative TER genes in the available sequence data for budding yeast species as described below. In addition, on the basis of gene synteny of TERs and neighboring ORFs, we cloned and analyzed TER genes from three other closely related species: *C. metapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, and *C. sojae*.

In order to identify telomerase RNA genes in yeast with canonical telomeric repeats, we first performed a BlastN search of the *C. albicans* genome database (<http://www.candidagenome.org/>) using the telomeric repeat sequence 5'-ACGGATGTCTAACTTCTTGGTGT-3' as a query. Adding a random 12-bp flanking sequence on both sides of the telomeric repeat yielded a single hit with a perfect match to the repeat. The identified sequence lies at nucleotides 6792–6813 of Contig19-2285 and is identical to the recently published TER1 sequence (Hsu et al. 2007a). In silico analysis of 2500 bp of flanking sequences of the putative template region (TR) revealed two open reading frames (ORFs): orf19.5286 (3' side), a putative flavodoxin homologous to the YCP4 gene of *S. cerevisiae*, and orf19.5287 (5' side), with no homology with any known protein (Fig. 1). Next we performed a similar analysis with the telomeric repeat unit of *C. tropicalis* 5'-AAGGATGTCACGATCATTTGGTGT-3' (again with random 12-bp flanking sequences) as a query and searched the *C. tropicalis* genome database (http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/genome/candida_tropicalis/Home.html). As in the case of *C. albicans*, we obtained a single hit with a perfect match to the telomeric repeat. The identified sequence lies at nucleotides 1260787–1260763 of supercontig 3.1. Importantly, sequence analysis of the region revealed the presence of two ORFs highly homologous to the orf19.5286 and orf19.5287 of *C. albicans*. The orientation of both ORFs was the same as in *C. albicans*, demonstrating synteny in this

TABLE 1. List of yeast species, the sequences of their telomeric repeats and the predicted template domains in TER

Yeast species	Strain(s)	Telomeric motif of tandem repeats (5' → 3')	Template domain of TER RNA (5' → 3')
<i>Ashbya</i> (<i>Eremothecium</i>) <i>gossypii</i>	ATCC10895 ^a	TCACGGGTGTGGTGTATGGGTCTC ^b	<u>ACCGCTGAGAGACCCATACACACACCGCTGA</u>
<i>Candida albicans</i>	SC5314, WO-1 ^a , CBS562 ^{c,d}	TGTACGGATGCTAACTTCTTGG ^e	<u>GTACACCAAGAAGTTAGACATCCGTACA</u> ^f
<i>Candida dubliniensis</i>	CD36 ^{a,g}	TGTACGGATGCTAACTTCTTGG ^h	<u>GTACACCAAGAAGTTAGACATCCGTACA</u>
<i>Candida glabrata</i>	ATCC34138, CBS138 ^g	CTGTGGGTCTGGGT ⁱ	<u>CAGCACCCAGACCCACAG</u> ^j
<i>Candida (Pichia)</i> <i>guilliermondii</i> ^k	B-3163, ATCC6260 ^a	GTGTACTGGT ^l	<u>AGTACACCATGATAC</u>
<i>Candida (Clavispora)</i> <i>lusitanae</i> ^k	ATCC42720 ^a	TCTTAGGGAGGTACTGATG ^h	<u>TAAAGAACATCAGTACCTCCCTAAAGA</u>
<i>Candida maltosa</i>	B-4431	TGTACGGATGCAGACTCGCTTG ⁱ	TER1 not identified
<i>Candida metapsilosis</i>	MCO448 ^{d,g}	GGTTAGGATGTCACAACTATG ^h	<u>CTAACCTCAATACTTTTGGACATCTAACC</u>
<i>Candida orthopsilosis</i>	MCO457 ^{d,g} , IFM48364 ^{d,l} , IFM48386 ^{d,l}	GGTTAGGATGTAGACAATACTG ^h	<u>CTAACCGCAGTATTGTCTACATCTAACC</u>
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	CBS604 ^{d,g} , SR23 ^d , CDC317 ^a	GGTTAGGATGTAGACAATACTG ^h	<u>CTAACCGCAGTATTGTCTACATCTAACC</u>
<i>Candida sojae</i>	CBS7871 ^{a,g}	GGTCCGGATGTTGATTACTG ^h	<u>CGGACCTCAGTATAATCAACATCCGGACC</u>
<i>Candida tropicalis</i>	B-4414 B-4443, MYA-3404 ^a , CBS94 ^{d,g}	TGTAAGGATGCACCAACCGTATTG ^h	<u>TACACGAATAGCGGTTTTTGATCTTACA</u>
<i>Debaryomyces</i> <i>hansenii</i>	CBS767 ^{a,g}	GTGTAC/aGGATGTCACGATCATG ⁱ	TER1 not identified
<i>Lodderomyces</i> <i>elongisporus</i>	NRRL YB-4239 ^{a,g}	GTGTAAAGGATGTCACGATCATG ⁱ	<u>TACACCAATGATCGTGACATCTTACAC</u>
<i>Pichia stipitis</i>	CBS6054 ^a	GGATGTTGAGGTGTAG ^m	<u>TCACATCCCTACACCTCAACATCC</u>
<i>Saccharomyces</i> <i>kluuyveri</i>	NRRL Y-12651 ^a	CGGTGTAAAGGATGCACCTGAAACT ^h	<u>TTACACCGAGTTTCAAGTGCATCTTACACCG</u>
		GGATCTTTTCACGCTTGGGGTAT ⁿ	<u>AAGATCCATACCGCAAGACGTGAAGAATCC</u>
		GGGTGGACATGCCGTACTGTGAGGTCT ^o	<u>ATGTCCACCCAGACCTCAGATACGATGTCCACCC</u>

^aSequence/motif identified in the genome data (this study).^bA reference to previously published data (Dietrich et al. 2004).^cNeo-type strain of the species.^dSequence determined de novo (this study).^eA reference to previously published data (McEachern and Hicks 1993).^fA reference to previously published data (Hsu et al. 2007a).^gType strain of the species.^hDeduced telomere motif from the template domain of predicted TER RNA (this study).ⁱA reference to previously published data (McEachern and Blackburn 1994).^jA reference to previously published data: annotated as CAGL0104700; <http://cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures/cagl.php#>.^k*Candida guilliermondii* and *Candida lusitanae* are anamorphs of *Pichia guilliermondii* and *Clavispora lusitanae*, respectively.^lThe strains IFM48386 and IFM48364 were originally classified as “the *C. parapsilosis* group IV strain” and “an intermediate strain between the groups II (now recognized as *C. orthopsilosis*) and IV” (Iida et al. 2005).^mA reference to previously published data (Lépingle et al. 2000).ⁿA reference to previously published data (Jeffries et al. 2007).^oA reference to previously published data (Cohn et al. 1998).

FIGURE 1. Phylogenetic tree of selected yeast species with identified TER genes. The tree, derived from the sequences of the D1/D2 domain of 26S rRNA genes, was calculated by the MEGA 4 software package (Tamura et al. 2007). The *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* sequence was used as an outgroup. The right panel shows the chromosomal context of the *TER1* loci. *S. cerevisiae* homologs are shown in parentheses. We mapped the *TER1* locus on the chromosome I (~3 Mbp) in *C. parapsilosis* strains CBS604 and SR23 (data not shown).

region (Fig. 1). A combination of similar search criteria using the corresponding known TR sequences with the observation of the (at least partial) synteny within the region led to the identification of the putative TER loci in additional species, namely *C. dubliniensis*, *C. guilliermondii*, and *D. hansenii*. In addition, inspection of the corresponding genome regions containing the orf19.5286 and orf19.5287 homologs in *C. parapsilosis*, *C. lusitaniae*, *L. elongisporus*, and *P. stipitis* and subsequent alignment with the TER loci of other species resulted in the identification of putative TRs and thus the putative TER genes and the predicted telomeric repeats in these yeast species. For other species lacking available genomic sequences (*C. metapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. sojae*), putative TER loci were amplified by PCR with primers derived from conserved regions of the flanking ORFs, cloned into a plasmid vector and sequenced.

To demonstrate that the putative TER loci are transcribed from the predicted strand, we performed Northern blot analysis of total RNA isolated from several yeast species using oligonucleotides derived from the region surrounding the TR as probes (Supplemental Table S1). This analysis revealed that the TER loci are transcribed from one strand, producing RNA molecules with sizes between 800 nt (*C. guilliermondii*) to ~1800 nt (*C. parapsilosis*) (Fig. 2). Hybridization with the oligonucleotides derived from the sense strand of the TER did not yield any

significant signal (Fig. 2). The identification of putative TER loci for the related yeast species described above served as a starting point for comparative analysis of their sequences and structures.

Next we asked if the predicted repetitive sequences are present at the ends of the chromosomes. To address this question, we performed two experiments. First, we separated the chromosomes of three strains of *C. parapsilosis* and one of the closely related species *L. elongisporus* by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and performed a Southern blot hybridization using a trimer of the predicted *C. parapsilosis* repeat as a probe (Supplemental Table S1). The results demonstrate that the target sequence is present at all *C. parapsilosis* chromosomes (Fig. 3A, lanes 2–4). In contrast, none of the chromosomes of *L. elongisporus* hybridized to the telomeric oligonucleotide probe, indicating that the telomeric repeat is species specific (Fig. 3A, lane 1). In the second experiment, the genomic DNA (gDNA) of *C. parapsilosis* was digested with a battery of restriction endonucleases and

subjected to a Southern blot analysis using the same *C. parapsilosis* telomeric oligonucleotide probe as in Figure 3A. Although the digestion of gDNA with different enzymes resulted in a different hybridization pattern, in each case we observed smeared bands typical for heterogeneous populations of telomeric fragments (Fig. 3B, lanes 1–7,10), whose sizes indicate that the length of the telomeric

FIGURE 2. The putative TER loci are transcribed. Northern blot analysis of *TER1* transcripts from *C. parapsilosis* CBS604^T (CANPA), *C. orthopsilosis* MCO457^T (CANOR), *C. metapsilosis* MCO448^T (CANME), *C. albicans* CBS562^{NT} (CANAL), *C. tropicalis* CBS94^T (CANTR), *C. dubliniensis* CBS7987^T (CANDU), *D. hansenii* CBS767^T (DEBHA), and *C. guilliermondii* CBS2030^T (CANGU), using the antisense (left panel) or sense (right panel) oligonucleotides as probes.

FIGURE 3. Analysis of deduced telomeric repeats by Southern blot. (A) Chromosomal DNA samples from *L. elongisporus* CBS5301 (lane 1) and *C. parapsilosis* CBS2194 (lane 2), SR23 (lane 3), and CBS604^T (lane 4) were separated by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and subjected to Southern blot analysis using a 5'-end-labeled oligonucleotide consisting of three copies of the putative *C. parapsilosis* telomeric repeat as a probe (Supplemental Table S1). Ethidium bromide staining of this gel is shown on the left and the autoradiogram on the right. (B) Southern blot analysis of *C. parapsilosis* SR23 telomeric restriction fragments obtained by digestion of gDNA with *Alu*I (lane 1), *Csp*6I (lane 2), *Hae*III (lane 3), *Hin*PI (lane 4), *Mse*I (lane 5), *Sau*3A (lane 6), *Taq*I (lane 7), *Msp*I (lane 8), *Ava*II (lane 9), and *Eco*RI (lane 10). Note that *Ava*II and *Msp*I have a recognition site within the *C. parapsilosis* telomeric repeat. (C) Southern blot analysis of telomeric DNA fragments obtained by digestion of gDNA from *C. metapsilosis* MCO448^T (lanes 1–4) and *C. orthopsilosis* MCO457^T (lanes 5–8) with *Alu*I (lanes 1,5), *Csp*6I (lanes 2,6), *Msp*I (lanes 3,7), and *Sau*3A (lanes 4,8). 5'-end-labeled oligonucleotides consisting of three copies of the putative telomeric repeats from *C. metapsilosis* (lanes 1–4) or *C. orthopsilosis* (lanes 5–8) were used as probes (Supplemental Table S1).

tracts ranges between 300 and 600 bp. Importantly, the digestion of the *C. parapsilosis* gDNA with *Ava*II and *Msp*I, which have a recognition site within the predicted telomeric repeat, resulted in an almost complete loss of the hybridization signal (Fig. 3B, lanes 8,9). Analogous results were obtained with the *C. metapsilosis* and *C. orthopsilosis* gDNAs hybridized to their predicted telomeric repeat sequences as probes (Fig. 3C).

Sequence conservation of *Candida* spp. TERs

Unlike the highly conserved and structured ribosomal RNA, telomerase RNA appears to include only small elements conserved in sequence and/or structure, embedded within much larger nonconserved regions. Given the large size and sequence divergence of the *Candida* spp. TERs, we assumed that these TERs may include even larger nonconserved and dispensable regions than those in other species. Indeed, an initial attempt to align the seven *Candida* spp. TERs using the Clustal X program (Thompson et al. 1997) failed to yield a reliable sequence alignment. Therefore, we focused our efforts on the identification of small functional elements that are conserved among budding yeast TERs, as described below. The identified conserved sequences were named CS2–CS7 according to the *Kluyveromyces* terminology (Tzfati et al. 2003). With these elements identified and since they were positioned in the same order within each TER sequence, it was possible to use them as anchors and improve the alignment as follows: The TER sequences were divided into fragments defined by the conserved elements (upstream of the template, from the template to CS2, CS2 to CS3, CS3 to CS5, CS5 to CS6, and downstream from CS6) and each fragment was aligned separately by Clustal X. Subsequently, these alignments were merged into a full-length alignment (Supplemental Fig. S1). Based on the already mapped 5' end of the *C. albicans* TER (Hsu et al. 2007a) and the relatively reliable alignment of the sequence close to the 5' end, we predicted the 5' ends of the rest of the aligned TERs. In addition, we expected the 3' end to be located close (downstream) to the consensus Sm binding site (CS7), as previously reported for *Kluyveromyces* and *Saccharomyces* TERs (Tzfati et al. 2003; Dandjinou et al. 2004; Lin et al. 2004).

Mapping of the 5' and 3' ends of the TER transcripts

In order to map the ends of the TER transcripts we used the following approach (see Materials and Methods for details). Total RNA was poly(A) tailed and then reverse transcribed using an oligo dT primer. The cDNA was circularized by intramolecular ligation using CircLigase (Epicentre Biotechnologies). A fragment containing the ligated 5' and 3' ends was amplified by two subsequent PCR reactions with nested primers and sequenced from both ends (Polidoros et al. 2006). Alternatively, the 3' ends were mapped by a

protocol described by Lingner and Keller (1993) and Tzfati et al. (2003). Based on our results the 3' ends of *C. parapsilosis*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. metapsilosis*, *L. elongisporus*, *D. hansenii*, *C. guilliermondii*, and *C. tropicalis* TERs were mapped to be located within 8–40 nt downstream from the Sm site and upstream (in most species immediately upstream) of CS8 (Supplemental Fig. S2A; see below). We assume that the ends of the rest of the species are at a similar position. The 5' ends of the *C. parapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, and *C. dubliniensis* TERs were mapped exactly as predicted by the alignment to the *C. albicans* TER, and that of *C. tropicalis*, 3 nt downstream (Supplemental Fig. S2A). As reported previously, there was some variation, mostly in the 3' ends (Hsu et al. 2007a). Interestingly, the longest possible transcripts of the experimentally mapped TERs were 1817 nt (*C. parapsilosis*), 1779 nt (*L. elongisporus*), 1659 nt (*C. orthopsilosis*), 1581 nt (*C. tropicalis*), and 1519 nt long (*C. dubliniensis*). The *C. parapsilosis* TER is the longest mapped yeast telomerase RNA reported to date. Furthermore, based on our experimental data, the *C. guilliermondii* TER (779 nt) is the shortest among yeast TERs known to date. Altogether, these results are consistent with the ends predicted by the sequence alignment (Supplemental Fig. S1) and the results of the Northern blot (Fig. 2).

Sm site and downstream splicing consensus sequences

A conserved binding site for the Sm proteins was identified in all the new TERs (Supplemental Figs. S1, S2A). This sequence (GAU₃₋₄G) is different from the conserved Sm sites found in the *Kluyveromyces* (ANU₅G) or *Saccharomyces* (AAU₅G) TERs. However, it is consistent with the budding yeasts Sm consensus sequence (AU₃₋₆G; Riedel et al. 1987). Interestingly, two additional conserved sequences, termed CS8 and CS9, were identified downstream from the Sm site (Supplemental Figs. S1, S2A). Based on the 3' ends mapped in this work and the sequence alignment, CS8 and CS9 are not included in the mature TER. Rather, the 3' ends of most of the TERs were mapped immediately upstream of CS8. Interestingly, it was recently found that the 3' end of the *S. pombe* TER is processed by a partial splicing reaction (Box et al. 2008). CS8 fits perfectly well with the yeast consensus sequence for the 5' splice site, GTATGT (with only one exception of GTACGT in *C. guilliermondii*). CS9 is a perfect match of the TACTAAC box located at intron branch sites. Both of these elements are known to be essential for splicing in budding yeasts (Langford et al. 1984). The identification of these perfectly conserved splicing elements in the *Candida* TERs strongly suggests that *Candida* species, just like *S. pombe*, utilize a partial splicing reaction for the processing of the 3' ends of TERs. However, such splicing consensus sequences are not apparent at the 3' ends of the *Kluyveromyces* and *Saccharomyces* TER genes, and there is evidence for a different

mechanism used for this purpose (S. Larose, S. Abou-Elela, and R.J. Wellinger, unpubl.).

Pseudoknot

A pseudoknot element is crucial for telomerase function in ciliates, vertebrates, and *Kluyveromyces* species (ten Dam et al. 1991; Chen et al. 2000; Tzfati et al. 2003). Recently, the human, *K. lactis*, *S. cerevisiae*, and ciliate pseudoknots were proposed to contain U-A-U base triples (Theimer et al. 2005; Ulyanov et al. 2005, 2007; Shefer et al. 2007; Qiao and Cech 2008). Given the low sequence conservation among the *Candida* spp. TERs and the limited ability of existing computer programs to predict pseudoknots, we took the following approach. We first designed a specific computer algorithm to search for sequence parameters that are compatible with the conserved features of yeast and vertebrate TER pseudoknots: stem 1 of at least 4 bp, stem 2 of at least 6 bp, with no more than two bulged nucleotides or two mismatched base pairs, no more than four unpaired nucleotides between stem 1 and stem 2, and the potential of stem 2 and loop 1 to form at least three consecutive U-A-U base triples. Candidate sequences identified by this algorithm were then examined for their conservation in sequence and position within TER by Clustal X alignment. When this approach was tested on the *Kluyveromyces* TERs, pseudoknot elements composed of CS3 and CS4, identical to the published models, were predicted in all of the six sequences (Tzfati et al. 2003). When the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* TERs were analyzed, a pseudoknot consistent with the published model was predicted (Lin et al. 2004; Qiao and Cech 2008), which includes eight potential U-A-U base triples (Fig. 4A). This algorithm was applied for each of the *Candida* spp. TER sequences, identifying a number of candidate pseudoknot elements. Then, two multiple sequence alignments (one of *C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. sojae* TERs and another of *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. metapsilosis*, and *C. parapsilopsis* TERs) were searched with the candidate sequences to identify an element that is conserved in both sequence and position (with a freedom for misalignment of up to 4 nt). All the *Candida* spp. TERs contained sequences compatible with pseudoknot elements that can potentially form 8–11 U-A-U base triples (Fig. 4A). Additional C-G-U and C-G-C base triples may also form in some of the species. C-G-U and C-G-C base triples were predicted to maintain the triple helix formation (Theimer et al. 2005; Shefer et al. 2007; Qiao and Cech 2008). Since we did not test the predicted *Candida* spp. pseudoknot models experimentally, we do not know which of the potential base triples actually form. However, the remarkable conservation of sequences that are compatible with such a structure in the highly divergent *Candida* spp. TERs supports the model and suggests that at least some of these putative base triples in each sequence do actually form.

A

FIGURE 4. Telomerase RNA pseudoknots. (A) Schematic representations of pseudoknot elements from human (based on Theimer et al. 2005), *K. lactis* (based on Shefer et al. 2007), *S. cerevisiae* (based on Qiao and Cech 2008), and putative schemes for the *Candida* pseudoknots predicted in this study. Indicated in red are the A and U residues potentially forming base triples and CS3 nucleotides conserved in all budding yeast TERs examined. (B) An alignment of the conserved CS3 residues from 20 *Kluyveromyces*, *Saccharomyces*, and *Candida* species. The consensus sequence (AKUN₀₋₂GAU, where K indicates U or G) is indicated on top.

Interestingly, the alignment of the CS3 sequences revealed that not only the stretches of U residues that participate in the triple helix formation are conserved, but also the sequence within loop 1 and/or in the double-stranded part of stem 2. All the *Kluyveromyces*, *Saccharomyces sensu stricto*, and *Candida* spp. TERs contain the consensus sequence A(G/U)UN₀₋₂GAU in this part of the pseudoknot (Fig. 4B). The functional significance of this consensus sequence is yet to be explored.

Est1-binding site

A conserved bulged stem in *Saccharomyces* and *Kluyveromyces* TERs was identified as a binding site for Est1p (Seto et al. 2002). Since no similar conserved sequence was apparent in the initial Clustal X alignment, we employed the computer program MEME (Bailey et al. 2006) to search for additional sequence motifs conserved in the *Candida* spp. TERs, independently of sequence alignment. This search identified a conserved sequence that resembles the sequence of the Est1 binding site reported previously (termed CS2 in *Kluyveromyces* TERs) (Supplemental Fig.

S1; Seto et al. 2002; Tzfati et al. 2003). However, its predicted conformation is not conserved among the *Candida* spp. TERs. Interestingly, another conserved sequence, termed CS2a, was found ~30–50 nt upstream of CS2. Secondary structure prediction of TER fragments containing CS2 and CS2a using the computer program RNAfold (Hofacker 2003) revealed a stem-loop structure with several internal loops (Fig. 5). The newly identified CS2a was predicted to be mostly in a single-stranded conformation, usually within a large internal loop in all the 20 *Candida*, *Kluyveromyces*, and *Saccharomyces* species examined.

To test the importance of the CS2a and CS2 for telomerase function, we introduced several mutations into the *K. lactis* TER1 gene, as shown in Figure 6A. We replaced the wild-type (WT) TER1 gene in *K. lactis* cells with the mutant alleles using a plasmid shuffling system previously described (Roy et al. 1998) and analyzed telomere length and telomerase activity in vivo. An additional single-nucleotide mutation was introduced into the TER template, resulting in the incorporation of BclI restriction sites into the telomeric repeats. This mutation is otherwise apparently silent and thus can be used to mark the nascent

FIGURE 5. Conservation of the Est1p binding domain. Shown are secondary structure predictions made by the computer program RNAfold (Hofacker 2003) for *K. lactis*, *S. cerevisiae*, and seven *Candida* TERs. Indicated in blue are residues conserved in all species within each group of six *Kluyveromyces*, seven *Saccharomyces*, or seven *Candida* TERs. Indicated in orange are residues conserved in at least 18 of 20 yeast TERs (>90%).

repeats incorporated by telomerase and analyze its *in vivo* activity (Roy et al. 1998). The BclI repeats can be identified by Southern analysis of telomeric fragments hybridized to a BclI-specific telomeric probe (see Fig. 6B) or by BclI restriction endonuclease digestion of the telomeric fragments and hybridization to a wild-type probe (see Fig. 6C). Interestingly, the substitution or deletion of the CS2 bulge had impaired but not abolished the activity of telomerase *in vivo*, as revealed by the short but stable telomeres of the resulting mutant strains (Fig. 6B,C, B-sub, B-del). In contrast, the transition substitution of 8 nt in CS2a completely abolished telomerase activity, as revealed by the lack of BclI repeat incorporation (Fig. 6B, IL-sub) and the deregulated telomere length typical to the recombination-based alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) pathway (Fig. 6C, IL-sub). In addition, the deletion of the apical stem-loop severely reduced the length of the telomeres (Fig. 6B,C, SL-del), revealing that this stem-loop is also important for telomerase function. Analogous experiments were also carried out in *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 6D,E). When both the internal loop and the apical stem-loop were deleted from the *TLC1* gene (Fig. 6E, SL&IL-del), effectively deleting CS2a but leaving the CS2 bulge intact, the cells grew for about 60 generations before most of the cells on

plates died (data not shown). Occasional colonies growing up on that plate were further propagated and DNA isolated after 100 generations. The DNA of those growing cultures displays typical patterns of terminal restriction fragments for survivors (Fig. 6E, SL&IL-del; type I and II for clone 1 and 2, respectively; Larrivée and Wellinger 2006). Furthermore, the transition substitution of 7 nt in CS2a also resulted in gradual telomere shortening, senescence, and the generation of survivors (Fig. 6E, CS2a-sub; type II). In contrast, no such growth problems and only slight telomere shortening were noted when only the apical stem was deleted (Fig. 6E, SL-del). Altogether, these results indicate that CS2a is essential for telomerase function, in both *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae*, consistent with its conservation in all budding yeast TERs examined. Whether CS2a contributes to the binding site for Est1p or has another role is yet to be tested experimentally. The importance of several elements relatively distant within the secondary structure model of this domain suggests that either they form tertiary interactions and a more complex structure that is required for the function of this domain or that different sequence elements provide different functions. The high-resolution structure and precise function of this domain are yet to be investigated in more detail.

FIGURE 6. CS2a is essential for budding yeast telomerase function in vivo. (A) Deletions of the bulge (B-del) or the apical stem-loop (SL-del) or transition substitutions of the bulge (B-sub) or CS2a in the internal loop (IL-sub) were introduced into the Est1 binding arm of *K. lactis* TER1. Indicated in dark gray are residues conserved in at least 18 of 20 yeast TERs (>90%). Inserted nucleotides are in black and deletions are boxed. The rest of the sequence is in light gray. The wild-type and mutant *TER1* genes, both containing the BclI template mutation (see main text), were introduced into *K. lactis* cells on CEN-ARS plasmids, replacing the WT *TER1* gene, as described previously (Roy et al. 1998). gDNA was prepared from the sixth passage (~90–120 generations). Two micrograms of gDNA samples were digested with EcoRI or EcoRI+BclI, electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel, vacuum blotted onto a membrane, and hybridized first with a BclI-specific telomere probe (B) and then with a WT telomeric probe (C), as described previously (Shefer et al. 2007). (D) Deletions of the apical stem-loop and the internal loop (SL&IL-del) or only the apical stem-loop (SL-del) or transition substitution of 7 nt in CS2a (CS2a-sub) were introduced into an otherwise WT *S. cerevisiae* *TLC1* gene on a plasmid (pTLC1TRP; Bah et al. 2004). The resulting plasmids were used to replace the WT *TLC1* gene by plasmid shuffling. (E) Southern blot of gDNA derived from the resulting *S. cerevisiae* strains. DNA was isolated after the indicated numbers of generations of outgrowth, digested with XhoI, subjected to Southern blotting, and probed with an *S. cerevisiae* specific telomeric repeat DNA probe. DNAs used were as follows: M: end-labeled MW marker DNA; WT: strain RWY10 harboring pAZ1 (wt*TLC1*) before the plasmid shuffle; Ku-del: a *S. cerevisiae* strain harboring a deletion of the *YKU70* gene as a control for short terminal restriction fragments; pTLC1: two independent clones of RWY10 harboring pTLC1 (WT) after the plasmid shuffle and grown for 100 generations; CS2a-sub: RWY10 harboring pTLC1-CS2a-sub and grown for 25, 45, 85, and 105 generations; SL&IL-del: RWY10 harboring pTLC1-SL&IL-del and grown for 100 generations; and SL-del: RWY10 harboring pTLC1-SL-del and grown for 105 generations. Where two independent clones are shown, clones 1 and 2 are on the left and right lanes, respectively.

Three-way junction

A conserved three-way junction (TWJ) similar to the P6.1 element in vertebrate TERs was identified recently in *Kluyveromyces* and *Saccharomyces* TERs (Brown et al. 2007). Mutational analysis in *K. lactis* confirmed that this element (and in particular the residues conserved across yeast and vertebrates) is critical for telomerase activity. We used phylogenetic covariation to identify the TWJ in the *Candida* spp. TERs. Since the initial multiple sequence alignment of the seven *Candida* spp. TERs did not seem to be reliable for the fragment between CS4 and the Sm site, we first aligned these TER fragments separately in two groups of species that are closer within each group. One includes *C. parapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, and *C. metapsilosis*, and the other includes *C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. sojae*. We then subjected each of the two multiple sequence alignments to secondary structure prediction by the computer program RNAalifold, which combines free energy calculation and phylogenetic covariation (Hofacker et al. 2002). The predicted consensus structures revealed TWJ elements close to the 3' ends of each structure. The conformation parameters of the predicted consensus structure were used to constrain Mfold to predict the structure of this domain for each of the *Candida* spp. TERs. The same structures were also predicted by RNAfold (Hofacker 2003) even without constraints, when given the correct sequence fragments (Fig. 7). Strikingly, these structures revealed a high degree of conservation of the TWJ among the yeast and vertebrate TERs. In particular, the linker L2 (a single A residue) and the first base pair in stem 3 (A-U) are absolutely conserved in all 55 vertebrate and budding yeast TERs examined. The linker L3 is GU in most yeast species, while in vertebrates, it is GUCA. Finally, either the closing base pair in stem 3 or the one before it is a U-G pair in all *Candida* spp. TERs, reminiscent of the conserved and essential U and G in P6.1. Altogether, the conservation of this element across vertebrates and yeast

FIGURE 7. Conservation of the three-way junction element. Secondary structure models for the TWJ of human, *K. lactis*, *S. cerevisiae*, and seven *Candida* spp. TERs. Indicated in blue are nucleotides conserved in at least six of seven *Saccharomyces* spp., five of six *Kluyveromyces* spp., six of seven *Candida* spp., or 33 of 35 vertebrate TERs. Indicated in red are 9 nt conserved across yeast and vertebrates in at least 51 of the 55 TER sequences examined (>93%).

TERs suggests that it has a conserved role in telomerase function. Such a role, providing a binding site for TERT/Est2p, was suggested for the human P6.1 (Mitchell and Collins 2000) and supported by the analysis of the *K. lactis* TWJ (Brown et al. 2007).

Comparative analysis of telomerase proteins

Using in silico analysis we have identified putative ORFs encoding Est2p, the catalytic subunit of telomerase, in all examined species (Supplemental Fig. S4A). Although the deduced protein sequences exhibit relatively weak similarity at the level of whole amino acid sequences, the regions involved in RNA binding and reverse transcription are well conserved, as demonstrated previously (Xia et al. 2000; Kelleher et al. 2002; Autexier and Lue 2006).

The situation with Est1p is more complicated. Whereas each of the genomes of *C. albicans* (Hsu et al. 2007b), *C. tropicalis*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. guilliermondii*, *C. lusitanae*, *P. stipitis*, and *D. hansenii* contain a single putative gene encoding a protein from the Est1p/Ebs1p family, we were unable to identify candidate *EST1* genes in genomic sequences of *C. parapsilosis* and *L. elongisporus* by Blast search using Est1 sequences from different species as queries. Detailed inspection of the DNA sequences of *C. parapsilosis* and *L. elongisporus* corresponding by synteny to the genomic loci of the putative *EST1* genes in the other *Candida* and *Debaryomyces* spp. indicated that the whole locus had been lost in these two species (Supplemental Fig. S4B). The Est1 proteins of *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* exhibit a relatively high degree of homology with each other (55% identity and 75% similarity). In addition to the Est One Homology (EOH) domain (Snow et al. 2003), the identified Est1 protein sequences exhibited higher sequence similarity within the region corresponding to amino acids 485–531 in *S. cerevisiae* Est1p (Supplemental Fig. S4C). However, even if these conserved domains were used as queries, we were unable to identify Est1p homologs in *C. parapsilosis* and *L. elongisporus*. Although we cannot rule out that *EST1* in *C. parapsilosis* and *L. elongisporus* is located in a different genomic region and/or the sequence of Est1p is too distant from its counterparts to be detected by Blast, it is

possible that the species belonging to a narrow branch of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1) have lost this protein component of the telomerase complex and its role is provided by another telomerase component.

CONCLUSIONS

We have identified TER genes from several budding yeast species, all of which harbor a long and precise telomeric repeat. Among them, seven *Candida* species belonging to two subgroups were evolutionarily close enough to allow phylogenetic analysis: One group includes *C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. sojae* and the other includes *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. metapsilosis*, and *C. parapsilosis*. First, multiple sequence alignments and identification of conserved elements were achieved within each

group. Then, the alignments were merged and the conserved elements were compared with those identified in *Saccharomyces*, *Kluyveromyces*, and vertebrate TERs.

Interestingly, we predicted two elements that are conserved in their sequence and structural features across budding yeasts and vertebrates, suggesting that they provide conserved telomerase functions. The first, a pseudoknot element with a major groove U-A-U triple helix, was proposed previously in human, *K. lactis*, *S. cerevisiae*, and ciliate TERs (Theimer et al. 2005; Ulyanov et al. 2005, 2007; Shefer et al. 2007; Qiao and Cech 2008). We have now shown that sequences potentially forming such a structure are conserved in all other budding yeasts examined. The *Candida spp.* and *Saccharomyces spp.* pseudoknots are similarly large with 7–11 potential U-A-U base triples. In other species, the size of the pseudoknot is smaller: five potential triples in *Kluyveromyces*, three in vertebrates, and one to three in ciliates. It was recently suggested that the triple helix functions in positioning the 3' end of the telomere at the catalytic site (Qiao and Cech 2008). This function is presumably conserved in all telomerases. The second element is a three-way junction highly conserved between vertebrate and yeast TERs. In ciliate TERs, stem IV was suggested to be the functional homolog of this domain (Mason et al. 2003). In human telomerase, P6.1 (the homolog of stem 3 of the yeast TWJ) was suggested to serve as a binding site for TERT (Mitchell and Collins 2000; Bachand et al. 2001) and to interact with the template domain (Ueda and Roberts 2004). Whether the yeast stem 3 provides similar protein–RNA and RNA–RNA interactions is yet to be tested.

A bulged-stem binding site for the telomerase protein Est1p was identified previously in *S. cerevisiae* TER (Seto et al. 2002), but we failed to identify this bulged stem in the *Candida spp.* TERs. Est1p was found to be essential for *C. albicans* telomerase function (Hsu et al. 2007b) and we identified additional Est1p homologs in several species (Supplemental Fig. S4B,C). However, we were unable to identify a putative Est1p encoding sequence in the genomes of *C. parapsilosis* and *L. elongisporus*. Interestingly, comparing the TER domain corresponding to the Est1p binding site in *Saccharomyces*, *Kluyveromyces*, and *Candida spp.* revealed a novel conserved sequence, CS2a, located within an internal loop structure in all species. Mutational analysis in *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae* revealed that this element is essential for telomerase activity in vivo, validating the general utility of our phylogenetic approach. However, it is currently unknown whether it is required for Est1p-binding or has a different role.

Using a reiterative folding procedure, preliminary secondary structure predictions for some of the identified TERs could be derived (Supplemental Fig. S3). Remarkably, a structure with a central core area with the respective template and from which several long stems emanate could be identified. Visually, these structures do resemble the

ones predicted for the *Saccharomyces* and *Kluyveromyces spp.* (Dandjinou et al. 2004; Brown et al. 2007), and also further support the hypothesis that in these fungi, the global TER structure may be quite flexible (Zappulla and Cech 2004). While only exhaustive covariation analyses combined with biochemical mappings on many more variant sequences of *Candida spp.* TERs will be able to confirm these predictions, the data presented here suggest that indeed, all fungal TER RNAs may adopt similar functionally related structures. Importantly, within the global structures, small functional elements are much more conserved, reflecting their still largely unknown roles in telomerase function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains

The following yeast strains were used in this work: *Candida albicans* CBS562^{NT}, *Candida dubliniensis* CBS7987^T, *Candida guilliermondii* CBS2030^T, *Candida metapsilosis* MCO448^T, *Candida orthopsilosis* MCO457^T, *Candida orthopsilosis* IFM48364, *Candida orthopsilosis* IFM48386, *Candida parapsilosis* CBS604^T CBS2194, and SR23, *Candida sojae* CBS7871^T, *Candida tropicalis* CBS94^T, *Lodderomyces elongisporus* CBS2605^T and CBS5301, and *Debaryomyces hansenii* CBS767^T. ^T and ^{NT} indicate Type and Neo-Type (respectively) strains of the species. The yeast cultures were grown in liquid YPD media (1% yeast extract, 1% peptone, 2% glucose) with constant shaking at 28°C until late exponential phase.

For the mutational analysis by plasmid shuffling in *K. lactis*, strain yJR27 (*ter1Δ ura3-1 his2-2 trp1*), based on the parental strain 7B520, was used, as described (Roy et al. 1998). For the plasmid shuffling experiments in *S. cerevisiae*, strain RWY10 (*MATα, ura3-52, lys2-801, ade2-101, trp1-Δ63, his3-Δ200, leu2-Δ1, VR-ADE2-T, tlc1Δ::LEU2*), containing pAZ1 was used (Gravel and Wellinger 2002). pAZ1 is a *URA3*-based plasmid containing the complete wild-type genomic locus of *TLC1*. Plasmid pTLC1TRP (Bah et al. 2004) was used as a base vector for introducing the mutations in the Est1p binding domain. The shuffle was performed with RWY10 strains containing both pAZ1 and a pTLC1TRP (carrying WT or mutated *TLC1* gene) vector. Cells were plated on FOA for growth without pAZ1 and subsequently restreaked on fresh plates for evaluation of telomere maintenance.

Identification of *TER1* loci

We identified *TER1* loci in the complete genomes of the following species: *Ashbya (Eremothecium) gossypii* ATCC 10895 (Dietrich et al. 2004), *C. albicans* SC5314 (Jones et al. 2004), *D. hansenii* CBS767^T (Lépingle et al. 2000), and *P. stipitis* CBS6054 (Jeffries et al. 2007). We also analyzed unpublished genome sequences of *C. albicans* WO-1, *C. guilliermondii* ATCC6260^T, *C. lusitanae* ATCC42720^T, *C. tropicalis* MYA-3404, and *L. elongisporus* NRRL YB-4239^T downloaded from the sequencing projects of the Broad Institute (<http://www.broad.mit.edu>) and the sequence data of *C. parapsilosis* CDC317 and *C. dubliniensis* CD36^T from the Sanger

Institute (<http://www.sanger.ac.uk>). The locus of *S. kluyveri* was identified in the GenBank entry AACE02000358 from the whole genome shot-gun project (Washington University School of Medicine). In addition, we determined and analyzed *TER1* loci in *C. albicans* CBS562^{NT}, *C. metapsilosis* MCO448^T, *C. orthopsilosis* MCO457^T, *Candida orthopsilosis* IFM48364, *Candida orthopsilosis* IFM48386, *C. parapsilosis* CBS604^T and SR23, *C. sojae* CBS7871^T, and *C. tropicalis* CBS94^T.

PCR amplification, cloning, and sequencing of *TER1* loci

Based on the synteny within the *TER1* loci identified in the complete genome sequences of species from the genus *Candida*, we amplified the corresponding region from the gDNA of selected yeast species by PCR and primers (Supplemental Table S1) derived from conserved motifs in the ORFs flanking *TER1*, using the GeneAmp High Fidelity PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Single PCR products were extracted from agarose gels and sequenced. When sequencing revealed the presence of two different alleles, the products were cloned using a PCR cloning kit into pDrive vector (Qiagen). At least two clones were then sequenced to identify both alleles. DNA sequences were determined using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit and ABI3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The sequence assembly and analysis were done using the Vector NTI Advance v. 10.1.1 software package (Invitrogen).

DNA sequence accession numbers

The sequences of *TER1* loci determined in this work were deposited in the GenBank data library under the following accession numbers: EU477488, EU477489 (*C. albicans* CBS562^{NT}), EU477484, EU477485 (*C. metapsilosis* MCO448^T), EU477482, EU477483 (*C. orthopsilosis* MCO457^T), FJ377369 (*C. orthopsilosis* IFM48364), FJ377368 (*C. orthopsilosis* IFM48386), EU477481 (*C. parapsilosis* CBS604^T), EU477480 (*C. parapsilosis* SR23), EU477486, EU477487 (*C. sojae* CBS7871^T), and EU477490 (*C. tropicalis* CBS94^T).

RNA isolation and Northern blot analysis

Total cellular RNA from yeast cultures (*C. albicans* CBS562^{NT}, *C. dubliniensis* CBS7987^T, *C. guilliermondii* CBS2030^T, *C. metapsilosis* MCO448^T, *C. orthopsilosis* MCO457^T, *C. parapsilosis* CBS604^T, *C. tropicalis* CBS94^T, and *D. hansenii* CBS767^T) grown to early stationary phase in YPD medium was extracted by the standard phenol-chloroform method using glass beads (Cross and Tinkelenberg 1991). The RNA samples were then electrophoretically separated in 1.2% agarose/1.11% formaldehyde gels and capillary transferred overnight onto a Hybond N+ membrane (Amersham) with a Turboblotter Transfer System (Schleicher and Schuell) in DEPC treated 10× SSC (1.5 M NaCl, 0.15 M Na-citrate). RNA was fixed to the nylon membrane by a combination of baking for 1 h at 80°C and UV (312 nm) for 1 min (each side). Strips of membranes were prehybridized for 1–1.5 h at 47°C (*C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. guilliermondii*, *C. metapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis*) or 50°C (*D. hansenii*) in hybridization buffer (5× SSC [0.75 M NaCl, 0.075 M Na citrate], 5× Denhardt's solution [0.1% Ficoll 400, 0.1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.1% BSA fraction V], 0.5% SDS) and subsequently hybridized under the same conditions with antisense oligonucle-

otide probes derived from the *TER1* loci (Supplemental Table S1) labeled on their 5' ends with [γ -³²P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs). In a control experiment, the blots were probed with the corresponding complementary (sense) oligonucleotides. The membranes were rinsed once with washing buffer (2× SSC [0.3 M NaCl, 0.03 M Na citrate], 0.1% SDS) for 5 min at room temperature and then twice in the same buffer for 15 min at 37°C (*C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*, *C. guilliermondii*, *C. metapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis*) or 40°C (*D. hansenii*). The analysis of the blots was done by Personal Molecular Imager (Bio-Rad).

Mapping of the ends of the TER transcripts

The 5' ends of *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* and the 3' end of *C. tropicalis* were mapped using a modified protocol described by Polidoros et al. (2006). Approximately 1×10^7 to 5×10^7 yeast cells obtained from exponential growth phase cultures were pelleted, resuspended in 2 mL of lysis buffer (1 M sorbitol, 0.1 M EDTA, 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol, 50 U zymolyase-20T) and incubated for 30 min at 30°C with occasional shaking. The total cellular RNA was extracted using the Ultraspec RNA isolation system (Biotecx Laboratories, Inc.). Isolated RNA (5–8 μ g) was polyadenylated for 20 min at 37°C in a 10- μ L reaction containing 1 mM ATP, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 250 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 5 U of *Escherichia coli* poly(A) polymerase (New England Biolabs). An aliquot (2 μ L; 1–1.6 μ g RNA) of the polyadenylation reaction was mixed with 120 pmol of phosphorylated BamHI(T18) DNA primer, incubated for 5 min at 80°C, and then cooled down slowly to 42°C. The samples were then supplemented with ImProm-II Reaction Buffer (Promega), 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM of all four dNTP (Fermentas), 20 U of RiboLock RNase Inhibitor (Fermentas), and 1 μ L of ImProm-II Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) in a total volume of 20 μ L and incubated for 5 min at 42°C and then 55 min at 55°C. The enzyme was inactivated by additional incubation for 15 min at 70°C. The samples were then treated for 20 min at 37°C with 5 U of RNase H (Fermentas), and the resulting cDNA was concentrated by ethanol precipitation. Purified cDNA was circularized by intramolecular ligation using CircLigase ssDNA ligase (Epicentre Biotechnologies) in a total volume of 10 μ L. One third of each ligation reaction was used to amplify a fragment containing the ligated 5' and 3' ends in two subsequent PCR reactions with nested primers (Supplemental Table S1). PCR products were purified by gel extraction using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega) and sequenced from both ends using oligonucleotides from the second nested PCR as primers.

The 5' ends of *C. orthopsilosis*, *C. metapsilosis*, *C. guilliermondii*, *D. hansenii*, *L. elongisporus*, and *C. dubliniensis* were mapped using the Ligation-Anchored PCR strategy described by Ansari-Lari et al. (1996). The 3' ends of all TERs (with the exception of *C. tropicalis*) were mapped according to Lingner and Keller (1993) with modifications as described by Tzfati et al. (2003).

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis

Chromosomal DNA samples from *L. elongisporus* CBS5301 and *C. parapsilosis* CBS2194, SR23, and CBS604^T, were separated by PFGE in contour-clamped orthogonal field (CHEF) configuration (Pulsaphor, Pharmacia-LKB) in 0.8% agarose gel prepared

in 0.5× TBE. The separation program included three steps of pulse switching (linear interpolation): (1) 10–200 sec for 48 h, (2) 200–400 sec for 16 h, and (3) 400–600 sec for 48 h at 100 V and 9°C, throughout.

Southern blot analysis of telomeric fragments

Gels were blotted onto a charged nylon membrane (Hybond N+, Amersham) and probed with the corresponding telomeric oligonucleotide (Supplemental Table S1) labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase and [γ - 32 P]ATP. The hybridization in Figure 3A was carried out overnight at 60°C in 5× SSC, 5× Denhardt's solution, 0.5% SDS, washed once with 2× SSC, 0.1% SDS for 5 min at room temperature and two times for 15 min at 50°C. The hybridizations in Figure 3B,C were performed under the same conditions except that hybridization temperatures were 50°C for *C. parapsilosis* and 55°C for *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis*. The Southern blot analyses in Figure 6 were carried out as described previously (Gravel and Wellinger 2002; Shefer et al. 2007). The blots were visualized using a Personal Molecular Imager (Bio-Rad) or exposed to X-ray film.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material can be found at <http://www.rnajournal.org>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the members of our laboratories for stimulating discussions, L. Kovac (Department of Biochemistry, Comenius University, Bratislava) for helpful comments and continuous support, and Hiroshi Fukuhara (Institut Curie, Paris-Orsay, France), A. Vaughan-Martini (University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy), and Yuzuru Mikami (Research Center for Pathogenic Fungi, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan) for providing some of the yeast strains. Our work is supported by grants from the Fogarty International Research Collaboration Award (2-R03-TW005654-04A1 to L.T.), Howard Hughes Medical Institute (55005622 to J.N.), the Slovak grant agencies APVT (20-001604 and VVCE-0064-07 to L.T. and 0024-07 to J.N.) and VEGA (1/3247/06; 1/0132/09 to L.T. and 1/0219/08 to J.N.), Comenius University (UK/236/06 to S.G.), a grant from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (FRN74438 to R.J.W.), the Laboratoire de Génomique Fonctionnelle de l'Université de Sherbrooke to R.J.W., and the United States–Israel Binational Science Foundation Grant 2005088, and the German–Israeli Foundation grant I-849-253.13/2004 to Y.T.

Received May 28, 2008; accepted December 16, 2008.

REFERENCES

- Ansari-Lari, M.A., Jones, S.N., Timms, K.M., and Gibbs, R.A. 1996. Improved ligation-anchored PCR strategy for identification of 5' ends of transcripts. *Biotechniques* **21**: 34–36.
- Autexier, C. and Lue, N.F. 2006. The structure and function of telomerase reverse transcriptase. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **75**: 493–517.
- Bachand, F., Triki, I., and Autexier, C. 2001. Human telomerase RNA–protein interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**: 3385–3393.
- Bah, A., Bachand, F., Clair, É., Autexier, C., and Wellinger, R.J. 2004. Humanized telomeres and an attempt to express a functional human telomerase in yeast. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**: 1917–1927.
- Bailey, T.L., Williams, N., Misleh, C., and Li, W.W. 2006. MEME: Discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**: W369–W373.
- Bertuch, A.A. and Lundblad, V. 2006. The maintenance and masking of chromosome termini. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **18**: 247–253.
- Box, J.A., Bunch, J.T., Tang, W., and Baumann, P. 2008. Spliceosomal cleavage generates the 3' end of telomerase RNA. *Nature* **456**: 910–914.
- Brown, Y., Abraham, M., Pearl, S., Kabaha, M.M., Elboher, E., and Tzfati, Y. 2007. A critical three-way junction is conserved in budding yeast and vertebrate telomerase RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**: 6280–6289.
- Chappell, A.S. and Lundblad, V. 2004. Structural elements required for association of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomerase RNA with the Est2 reverse transcriptase. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24**: 7720–7736.
- Chen, J.L., Blasco, M.A., and Greider, C.W. 2000. Secondary structure of vertebrate telomerase RNA. *Cell* **100**: 503–514.
- Cohn, M., McEachern, M.J., and Blackburn, E.H. 1998. Telomeric sequence diversity within the genus *Saccharomyces*. *Curr. Genet.* **33**: 83–91.
- Cross, F.R. and Tinkelenberg, A.H. 1991. A potential positive feedback loop controlling CLN1 and CLN2 gene expression at the start of the yeast cell cycle. *Cell* **65**: 875–883.
- Dandjinou, A.T., Levesque, N., Larose, S., Lucier, J.F., Abou Elela, S., and Wellinger, R.J. 2004. A phylogenetically based secondary structure for the yeast telomerase RNA. *Curr. Biol.* **14**: 1148–1158.
- Dietrich, F.S., Voegelé, S., Brachat, S., Lerch, A., Gates, K., Steiner, S., Mohr, C., Pöhlmann, R., Luedi, P., Choi, S., et al. 2004. The *Ashbya gossypii* genome as a tool for mapping the ancient *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Science* **304**: 304–307.
- Gravel, S. and Wellinger, R.J. 2002. Maintenance of double-stranded telomeric repeats as the critical determinant for cell viability in yeast cells lacking Ku. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **7**: 2182–2193.
- Hofacker, I.L. 2003. Vienna RNA secondary structure server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**: 3429–3431.
- Hofacker, I.L., Fekete, M., and Stadler, P.F. 2002. Secondary structure prediction for aligned RNA sequences. *J. Mol. Biol.* **319**: 1059–1066.
- Hsu, M., McEachern, M.J., Dandjinou, A.T., Tzfati, Y., Orr, E., Blackburn, E.H., and Lue, N.F. 2007a. Telomerase core components protect *Candida* telomeres from aberrant overhang accumulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**: 11682–11687.
- Hsu, M., Yu, E.Y., Singh, S.M., and Lue, N.F. 2007b. Mutual dependence of *Candida albicans* Est1p and Est3p in telomerase assembly and activation. *Eukaryot. Cell* **6**: 1330–1338.
- Hug, N. and Lingner, J. 2006. Telomere length homeostasis. *Chromosoma* **115**: 413–425.
- Iida, S., Imai, T., Oguri, T., Okuzumi, K., Yamanaka, A., Moretti-Branchini, M.L., Nishimura, K., and Mikami, Y. 2005. Genetic diversity of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS) and 5.8S rRNA genes among the clinical isolates of *Candida parapsilosis* in Brazil and Japan. *Jpn. J. Med. Mycol.* **46**: 133–137.
- Jeffries, T.W., Grigoriev, I.V., Grimwood, J., Laplaza, J.M., Aerts, A., Salamov, A., Schmutz, J., Lindquist, E., Dehal, P., Shapiro, H., et al. 2007. Genome sequence of the lignocellulose-bioconverting and xylose-fermenting yeast *Pichia stipitis*. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **25**: 319–326.
- Jones, T., Federspiel, N.A., Chibana, H., Dungan, J., Kalman, S., Magee, B.B., Newport, G., Thorstenson, Y.R., Agabian, N., Magee, P.T., et al. 2004. The diploid genome sequence of *Candida albicans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**: 7329–7334.
- Kelleher, C., Teixeira, M.T., Förstemann, K., and Lingner, J. 2002. Telomerase: Biochemical considerations for enzyme and substrate. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **27**: 572–579.
- Langford, C.J., Klinz, F.J., Donath, C., and Gallwitz, D. 1984. Point mutations identify the conserved, intron-contained TACTAAC box as an essential splicing signal sequence in yeast. *Cell* **36**: 645–653.

- Larivée, M. and Wellinger, R.J. 2006. Telomerase- and capping-independent yeast survivors with alternate telomere states. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **8**: 741–747.
- Leonardi, J., Box, J.A., Bunch, J.T., and Baumann, P. 2008. TER1, the RNA subunit of fission yeast telomerase. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**: 26–33.
- Lépingle, A., Casaregola, S., Neuveglise, C., Bon, E., Nguyen, H., Artiguenave, F., Wincker, P., and Gaillardin, C. 2000. Genomic exploration of the hemiascomycetous yeasts: 14. *Debaryomyces hansenii* var. *hansenii*. *FEBS Lett.* **487**: 82–86.
- Lin, J., Ly, H., Hussain, A., Abraham, M., Pearl, S., Tzfati, Y., Parslow, T.G., and Blackburn, E.H. 2004. A universal telomerase RNA core structure includes structured motifs required for binding the telomerase reverse transcriptase protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**: 14713–14718.
- Lingner, J. and Keller, W. 1993. 3'-end labeling of RNA with recombinant yeast poly(A) polymerase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**: 2917–2920.
- Lingner, J., Hendrick, L.L., and Cech, T.R. 1994. Telomerase RNAs of different ciliates have a common secondary structure and a permuted template. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 1984–1998.
- Livengood, A.J., Zaug, A.J., and Cech, T.R. 2002. Essential regions of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomerase RNA: Separate elements for Est1p and Est2p interaction. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **22**: 2366–2374.
- Mason, D.X., Goneska, E., and Greider, C.W. 2003. Stem-loop IV of *Tetrahymena* telomerase RNA stimulates processivity in trans. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **23**: 5606–5613.
- McEachern, M.J. and Blackburn, E.H. 1994. A conserved sequence motif within the exceptionally diverse telomeric sequences of budding yeasts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **91**: 3453–3457.
- McEachern, M.J. and Hicks, J.B. 1993. Unusually large telomeric repeats in the yeast *Candida albicans*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13**: 551–560.
- Mitchell, J.R. and Collins, K. 2000. Human telomerase activation requires two independent interactions between telomerase RNA and telomerase reverse transcriptase. *Mol. Cell* **6**: 361–371.
- Peterson, S.E., Stellwagen, A.E., Diede, S.J., Singer, M.S., Haimberger, Z.W., Johnson, C.O., Tzoneva, M., and Gottschling, D.E. 2001. The function of a stem-loop in telomerase RNA is linked to the DNA repair protein Ku. *Nat. Genet.* **27**: 64–67.
- Polidoros, A.N., Pasentsis, K., and Tsaftaris, A.S. 2006. Rolling circle amplification-RACE: A method for simultaneous isolation of 5' and 3' cDNA ends from amplified cDNA templates. *Biotechniques* **41**: 35–36.
- Qiao, F. and Cech, T.R. 2008. Triple-helix structure in telomerase RNA contributes to catalysis. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**: 634–640.
- Riedel, N., Wolin, S., and Guthrie, C. 1987. A subset of yeast snRNA's contains functional binding sites for the highly conserved Sm antigen. *Science* **235**: 328–331.
- Romero, D.P. and Blackburn, E.H. 1991. A conserved secondary structure for telomerase RNA. *Cell* **67**: 343–353.
- Roy, J., Fulton, T.B., and Blackburn, E.H. 1998. Specific telomerase RNA residues distant from the template are essential for telomerase function. *Genes & Dev.* **12**: 3286–3300.
- Seto, A.G., Zaug, A.J., Sobel, S.G., Wolin, S.L., and Cech, T.R. 1999. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomerase is an Sm small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particle. *Nature* **401**: 177–180.
- Seto, A.G., Livengood, A.J., Tzfati, Y., Blackburn, E.H., and Cech, T.R. 2002. A bulged stem tethers Est1p to telomerase RNA in budding yeast. *Genes & Dev.* **16**: 2800–2812.
- Seto, A.G., Umansky, K., Tzfati, Y., Zaug, A.J., Blackburn, E.H., and Cech, T.R. 2003. A template-proximal RNA paired element contributes to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomerase activity. *RNA* **9**: 1323–1332.
- Shefer, K., Brown, Y., Gorkovoy, V., Nussbaum, T., Ulyanov, N.B., and Tzfati, Y. 2007. A triple helix within a pseudoknot is a conserved and essential element of telomerase RNA. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **27**: 2130–2143.
- Smogorzewska, A. and de Lange, T. 2004. Regulation of telomerase by telomeric proteins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **73**: 177–208.
- Snow, B.E., Erdmann, N., Cruickshank, J., Goldman, H., Gill, R.M., Robinson, M.O., and Harrington, L. 2003. Functional conservation of the telomerase protein Est1p in humans. *Curr. Biol.* **13**: 698–704.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. 2007. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**: 1596–1599.
- ten Dam, E., van Belkum, A., and Pleij, K. 1991. A conserved pseudoknot in telomerase RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **19**: 6951.
- Theimer, C.A., Blois, C.A., and Feigon, J. 2005. Structure of the human telomerase RNA pseudoknot reveals conserved tertiary interactions essential for function. *Mol. Cell* **17**: 671–682.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D.G. 1997. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 4876–4882.
- Tzfati, Y., Fulton, T.B., Roy, J., and Blackburn, E.H. 2000. Template boundary in a yeast telomerase specified by RNA structure. *Science* **288**: 863–867.
- Tzfati, Y., Knight, Z., Roy, J., and Blackburn, E.H. 2003. A novel pseudoknot element is essential for the action of a yeast telomerase. *Genes & Dev.* **17**: 1779–1788.
- Ueda, C.T. and Roberts, R.W. 2004. Analysis of a long-range interaction between conserved domains of human telomerase RNA. *RNA* **10**: 139–147.
- Ulyanov, N.B., Shefer, K., Gorkovoy, V., Nussbaum, T., Brown, Y., Abraham, M., Pearl, S., Shalev, D., and Tzfati, Y. 2005. Model of a pseudoknot structure with a triple-helical stem from the telomerase RNA of *Kluyveromyces lactis*. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **22**: 808.
- Ulyanov, N.B., Shefer, K., James, T.L., and Tzfati, Y. 2007. Pseudoknot structures with conserved base triples in telomerase RNAs of ciliates. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**: 6150–6160.
- Webb, C.J. and Zakian, V.A. 2008. Identification and characterization of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* TER1 telomerase RNA. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**: 34–42.
- Xia, J., Peng, Y., Mian, I.S., and Lue, N.F. 2000. Identification of functionally important domains in the N-terminal region of telomerase reverse transcriptase. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**: 5196–5207.
- Zappulla, D.C. and Cech, T.R. 2004. Yeast telomerase RNA: A flexible scaffold for protein subunits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**: 10024–10029.
- Zappulla, D.C., Goodrich, K., and Cech, T.R. 2005. A miniature yeast telomerase RNA functions in vivo and reconstitutes activity in vitro. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **12**: 1072–1077.

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

Identification and comparative analysis of telomerase RNAs from *Candida* species reveal conservation of functional elements

Stanislava Gunisova, Elhanan Elboher, Jozef Nosek, et al.

RNA 2009 15: 546-559 originally published online February 17, 2009

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1261/rna.1194009](https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.1194009)

Supplemental Material

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2009/02/18/rna.1194009.DC1>

References

This article cites 61 articles, 21 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/15/4/546.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>