Selective quenching of fluorescence from unbound oligonucleotides by gold nanoparticles as a probe of RNA structure
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ABSTRACT
Binding of small oligonucleotides to the periphery of folded RNA can provide insight into the secondary structure of complex RNA in solution. To discriminate between bound and unbound fluorescein-labeled 2'-O-methyl RNA probes, we use ionically coated gold nanoparticles to selectively adsorb unbound probes and quench their fluorescence. The target is the 3' untranslated region of Bombyx mori R2 RNA. Fluorescence indicates that R2 sequences complementary to some of the probes are accessible for binding in the three-dimensional structure. Hybridization occurs under homogeneous conditions in the absence of the gold nanoparticles so that steric issues associated with chip-based assays are avoided. The assay is compatible with well plate formats, takes less than 5 min, and requires only 2 pmol or less of unlabeled target RNA per probe sequence tested.
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INTRODUCTION
Elucidating the structure of RNAs is important for many reasons, including an understanding of structure–function relationships and development of therapeutics (Bloomfield et al. 1999; Gesteland et al. 2006). The complexity of RNA folding makes structural analysis difficult, time-consuming, and expensive. Although the three-dimensional structure of some RNAs can be determined by X-ray crystallography (Kim et al. 1974; Robertus et al. 1974; Cate et al. 1996; Ban et al. 2000; Wimberly et al. 2000; Schuwirth et al. 2005), it is not practical to do so for most RNAs because they are typically difficult to crystallize (Doudna 2000). The three-dimensional structure of RNA can often be modeled once the secondary structure is known (Michel and Westhof 1990; Masquida and Westhof 2006), but determination of secondary structure is not trivial. Typical methods for inferring secondary structure include sequence comparison (Pace et al. 1999) and chemical or enzymatic mapping (Ziehler and Engelke 2000), where free energy minimization is often combined with either or both of these sets of data (Duan et al. 2006). Binding of oligonucleotides by RNA to ascertain exposed sequences can also provide insight into RNA structure (Lewis and Doty 1970; Uhlenbeck et al. 1970; Sohail et al. 1999; Hopkins and Woodson 2005; Kierzek et al. 2006a). Conventional assays for oligonucleotide binding, such as gel electrophoresis (Pyle et al. 1994) and oligonucleotide arrays (Sohail et al. 1999; Duan et al. 2006; Kierzek et al. 2006a), are, however, time consuming and/or expensive. Moreover, chip-based assays have the disadvantage that immobilization of probes can affect their binding efficacy. Here, we report a rapid assay for hybridization of short oligonucleotide probes to RNA in solution. The assay is independent of the hybridization step, so the hybridization can be done at equilibrium under physiological or arbitrary other conditions. The assay exploits the observation that short single-stranded oligonucleotides adsorb rapidly onto gold nanoparticles (Li and Rothberg 2004a,b,c; 2005; Ray 2006) while those bound to target nucleic acids do not. The oligonucleotide probes are labeled with fluorescent tags whose emission is quenched when they adsorb onto gold nanoparticles (Dubertret et al. 2001). Therefore, the observation of fluorescence after mixing hybridization solution with gold nanoparticle suspensions indicates probe binding to the target RNA, information that can be used for structure modeling and for designing oligonucleotide therapeutics. The assay is compatible with well plate formats, takes less
than 5 min, and requires only 2 pmol or less of target RNA per probe sequence tested. No target labeling is required.

RESULTS

To demonstrate the assay, the 3′ untranslated region of *Bombyx mori* R2 RNA was used as the target. Its secondary structure is known from sequence comparison and chemical mapping and is shown in Figure 1A (Ruschak et al. 2004). Binding of oligonucleotides to this R2 RNA has been studied with a microarray of 2′-O-methyl 9-mers (Duan et al. 2006). Fluorescein labeled 2′-O-methyl RNA 9-mers were used as probes in the nanoparticle assay. DNA probes have been used previously in the nanoparticle assay for RNA sequences (Li and Rothberg 2005), but at a given salt concentration, 2′-O-methyl RNA probes bind more tightly to the target RNA than do DNA probes (Sugimoto et al. 1995; Kierzek et al. 2006b). Moreover, 2′-O-methyl probes form A-form helixes (Adamiak et al. 2001). Several probe sequences with different target binding regions were selected and are listed in Figure 1B. They are chosen as 9-mers complementary to various places in the target sequence and are designated by the number of the central base in the target sequence.

The protocol for the assay is illustrated in Figure 2A and detailed in Materials and Methods. Briefly, probe and target are hybridized in buffer containing 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM MgCl₂. Then 600 μL of gold nanoparticle solution is added to 2 μL of the hybridization solution, and the NaCl concentration is restored a few seconds later by addition of 600 μL of 10 mM phosphate buffer containing 200 mM NaCl (buffer/salt solution). Photoluminescence (PL) is then measured in a fluorometer.

Figure 2B shows the emission after mixing the hybridization solutions with the gold colloid and buffer/salt solutions. There is background signal from the mixture of gold colloid and buffer/salt even in the absence of fluorescently labeled probes, as indicated by the bar labeled “no” in Figure 2B. The other black bars show the results of measurements from the mixtures of gold colloid and control solutions that contain probe but no RNA. The fact that these produce the same level of emission as the solution with no probe indicates efficient quenching of the fluorescence of free probe. Thus, observation of additional fluorescence in the presence of RNA reliably reflects binding of probe to the RNA. The gray bars represent the corresponding measurements from the mixture of gold colloid and hybridization solution containing both probe and RNA. That fluorescence is a measure of the degree of probe binding in the hybridization solution. The qualitative conclusions as to probe binding to R2 RNA are confirmed by consistency with results from gel electrophoresis (Fig. 2C) and from microarray experiments (Duan et al. 2006).

Hybridization between probe and target is done under conditions (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂) that maintain the tertiary structure of the target RNA. During the assay, this hybridization solution is mixed with relatively large volumes of colloidal gold with the effect of substantially lowering the sodium and magnesium ion concentrations. This could result in false negatives if dehybridization of the probe oligonucleotides from the target occurred rapidly and was followed by adsorption on gold and concomitant luminescence quenching. This scenario is ruled out with the control experiments presented in Figure 3. These experiments were performed with probe 146 and an RNA 9-mer (cRNA) with the sequence of target region 142–150 to mimic the target. High levels of fluorescence are observed because the duplex does not adsorb on the gold nanoparticles and the probe fluorescence is preserved (bar 1). In the absence of target, the signal is essentially that of the background level due to the colloidal gold nanoparticles

![FIGURE 1. (A) Secondary structure of the 3′ untranslated region of *B. mori* R2 RNA (Ruschak et al. 2004). (B) Fluorescein-tagged 2′-O-methyl RNA probes. Probes are named by the middle nucleotide of their target region. Am, Gm, Cm, and Um represent 2′-O-methyl RNA nucleotides. Probes 68M and 146M have one-base difference denoted in bold from probes 68 and 146, respectively, and thus form single mismatches with the target.](https://www.rnajournal.org/2035)
alone (bar 2). This experiment rules out the possibility of false negatives due to substantial amounts of dehybridization under the conditions of the assay. Thus, the assay works as expected to detect the presence of simulated target using the same protocol as in Figure 2. The stability of the duplex under assay conditions is in accord with theoretical expectations based on the calculated dissociation rate for the RNA/2'-O-methyl RNA duplex. It is predicted to have a $K_d$ of 8 pm and a dissociation rate of $8 \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ at 37°C with 0.1 M NaCl as calculated from nearest-neighbor parameters (Kierzek et al. 2006b).

A second experiment where the complementary probe and target are mixed immediately prior to exposure to the colloid results in no fluorescence (Fig. 3, bar 3). Under these conditions, they do not have adequate time to hybridize prior to the assay, and the lack of fluorescence demonstrates that the probe adsorbs on the gold nanoparticles faster than it is able to hybridize with the target.

A third set of experiments confirms the conclusion that the state of hybridization in the trial solution prior to exposure to the colloid is for all practical purposes read accurately by the assay. Probe sequences without fluorescent tags were hybridized to the target oligonucleotide. Additional probes with fluorescent tags were added subsequently, and, whether these were incubated with the hybridization solution (Fig. 3, bar 4) or not (Fig. 3, bar 5), no fluorescence was observed, indicating that neither dehybridization of duplexes nor rehybridization of unbound complementary species occurs in the colloid.

One approach to protecting against unfolding of the RNA would be to introduce 10 mM Mg$^{2+}$ into the colloid and salt/buffer solution. Unfortunately, this has the effect of aggregating the colloid and, moreover, causes adsorption of double strands even though 100 mM Na$^+$ does neither of these. The aggregating effect in the presence of divalent ions is well known in adsorption physics and results from conferring additional driving force for water to desorb from the nanoparticle surfaces to solvate the divalent ions (Melander and Horvath 1977), thus enhancing so-called hydrophobic interactions.

**DISCUSSION**

Assays of oligonucleotide binding to RNA can be useful for many purposes, including determination of RNA structure and design of oligonucleotide therapeutics (Lewis and Doty 1970; Uhlenbeck et al. 1970; Sohail et al. 1999; Duan et al. 2006; Kierzek et al. 2006a). The results in Figure 2 show that gold nanoparticles allow rapid discrimination between short fluorescently labeled oligonucleotide probes that do or do not bind a folded RNA. For example, the probes 68M and 146M that could bind with a single mismatch do not give fluorescence significantly above that observed in the absence of RNA target, whereas the perfectly matched probes 68 and 146 give fluorescence four- to fivefold above.

**FIGURE 2.** Rapid assay for RNA binding based on fluorescence quenching of tagged oligonucleotides by gold nanoparticles. (A) Cartoon of assay: (top) fluorescence of probes bound to RNA target is not quenched by addition of nanoparticles; (middle) fluorescence of unbound probes is quenched by addition of nanoparticles; (bottom) key to symbols. (B) Photoluminescence (PL) for various samples. The numbers denote probe sequences specified in Figure 1. The gray bars are the fluorescence intensity at the wavelength of maximum fluorescein emission (520 nm) measured from hybridization solutions containing 1.0 μM fluorescein-labeled probe and 1.0 μM target. The black bars are analogous measurements from controls containing 1.0 μM probes but no target. The black bar labeled “no” is a control containing gold colloid and buffer/salt solution but neither probe nor target. Fluorescence was averaged over 10 s. Error bars are based on scatter of results from several trials. (C) Photograph of native gel electrophoresis. The negative signs identify lanes containing only 10 μM probe as control, while the positive signs indicate samples containing both 10 μM probe and 10 μM target. The bound probe/total probe fluorescence ratio is quantified as 26%, 30%, and 9% for probes 68, 146, and 160, respectively. The calculated maximum ratio is 100% without considering the potential quenching of fluorescence after probe binding to target.
nanoparticles were added to the mixture within 2 sec; bar 4 salt solution. In each case, addition of gold nanoparticles was rapidly followed by addition of buffer/hybridized overnight, probe 146 was then added, and, within 2 sec, gold nanoparticles were hybridized with cRNA overnight before addition of gold nanoparticles; bar “CGGCCACGA”, complementary to probe 146. RNA(146) has the same sequence as probe 146 except for a single mismatch in probe 146 on R2 RNA (Table 1), but probe 146 gives the largest fluorescence enhancement due to the presence of target R2 RNA are more complicated for probes 146 and 160. Probe 160 is predicted to have an apparent $K_d$ of ~0.1 nM (Table 1) and therefore complete binding at the 1 μM concentration during hybridization. The fluorescence in the presence of target is only twice the background for probe 68, whereas it is four times the background for probe 68. This could reflect a twofold smaller quantum yield for bound probe 160 relative to probe 68 or, more likely, target structure beyond what is predicted for nucleotides 156–164. For example, this region might be involved in tertiary structure that hinders binding.

OligoWalk predicts probe 146 will not bind tightly to site 146 on R2 RNA (Table 1), but probe 146 gives the largest observed enhancement in fluorescence (Fig. 2B). One possible reason is that OligoWalk includes coaxial stacking terms in calculating the free energy required to break target structure but neglects them when calculating probe–target interactions. Coaxial stacking is expected to make binding of probe 146 more favorable by 4.2 kcal/mol (Walter and Turner 1994; Walter et al. 1994; Kim et al. 1996; Duan et al. 2006), bringing the predicted $K_d$ to ~20 μM at 37°C. The experiments were done at room temperature where the $K_d$ should be more favorable. The results indicate that differences between predicted and measured binding must be carefully inspected and that programs for predicting binding must become more sophisticated. Experimental discrimination between probes that do or do not bind tightly is straightforward, however.

In the experiments reported here, the hybridization buffer contained 10 mM Mg$^{2+}$, which allows tertiary folding. The salt concentrations are first diluted ~300-fold, however, upon addition of the gold nanoparticles before the NaCl concentration is restored, and the Mg$^{2+}$ concentration is diluted by another twofold upon rapid addition of the buffer/salt solution. The gold nanoparticles are added in the absence of salt to provide better discrimination between single and double strands as well as to avoid probe dimerization (Table 1). Thus probe 68 is expected to bind tightly with an apparent $K_d$ of ~10$^{-11}$ M. Probe 68 gives a strong fluorescence signal (Fig. 2B), which is consistent with the prediction based on the modeled secondary structure. On the basis of nearest-neighbor parameters (Xia et al. 1998; Mathews et al. 2004), the single mismatch in probe 68M is predicted to make binding less favorable by roughly 10⁵-fold.

Interpretations of the fluorescence enhancements due to the presence of target R2 RNA are more complicated for probes 146 and 160. Probe 160 is predicted to have an apparent $K_d$ of ~0.1 nM (Table 1) and therefore complete binding at the 1 μM concentration during hybridization. The fluorescence in the presence of target is only twice the background for probe 68, whereas it is four times the background for probe 68. This could reflect a twofold smaller quantum yield for bound probe 160 relative to probe 68 or, more likely, target structure beyond what is predicted for nucleotides 156–164. For example, this region might be involved in tertiary structure that hinders binding.

OligoWalk predicts probe 146 will not bind tightly to site 146 on R2 RNA (Table 1), but probe 146 gives the largest observed enhancement in fluorescence (Fig. 2B). One possible reason is that OligoWalk includes coaxial stacking terms in calculating the free energy required to break target structure but neglects them when calculating probe–target interactions. Coaxial stacking is expected to make binding of probe 146 more favorable by 4.2 kcal/mol (Walter and Turner 1994; Walter et al. 1994; Kim et al. 1996; Duan et al. 2006), bringing the predicted $K_d$ to ~20 μM at 37°C. The experiments were done at room temperature where the $K_d$ should be more favorable. The results indicate that differences between predicted and measured binding must be carefully inspected and that programs for predicting binding must become more sophisticated. Experimental discrimination between probes that do or do not bind tightly is straightforward, however.

In the experiments reported here, the hybridization buffer contained 10 mM Mg$^{2+}$, which allows tertiary folding. The salt concentrations are first diluted ~300-fold, however, upon addition of the gold nanoparticles before the NaCl concentration is restored, and the Mg$^{2+}$ concentration is diluted by another twofold upon rapid addition of the buffer/salt solution. The gold nanoparticles are added in the absence of salt to provide better discrimination between single and double strands as well as to avoid

FIGURE 3. Control experiments with oligonucleotides. The conditions and methods for experiments are described in Materials and Methods. For all experiments with probes, each oligonucleotide was present at 1 μM in 2 μL of solution before addition of gold nanoparticles. All steps were conducted at room temperature. Probe 146 is fluorescein-labeled 2′-O-methyl oligonucleotide 5′-UmCmGmUmGmGmCmGm. cRNA is the RNA oligonucleotide, r(5′-CGGCCACGA), complementary to probe 146. RNA(146) has the same sequence as probe 146 but with an RNA backbone. Bar “no”, probe 146 and cRNA were both absent; bar 1, probe 146 was hybridized with cRNA overnight before addition of gold nanoparticles; bar 2, probe 146 only was mixed with gold nanoparticles; bar 3, probe 146 was mixed with cRNA and gold nanoparticles were added to the mixture within 2 sec; bar 4, cRNA and RNA(146) were hybridized overnight, and probe 146 was then added to the hybridization solution and incubated overnight before gold nanoparticles were added; bar 5, cRNA and RNA(146) were hybridized overnight, probe 146 was then added, and, within 2 sec, gold nanoparticles were added. In each case, addition of gold nanoparticles was rapidly followed by addition of buffer/salt solution.

background. As observed before with complex targets having secondary structure (Li and Rothberg 2004c, Li et al. 2007), the RNA target does not interfere with quenching of unbound probe by gold nanoparticles or allow quenching of bound probe even though the RNA has regions that are single stranded.

The binding of oligonucleotide probes can be used to test models of RNA secondary structure. Comparisons must consider many factors, however, including base pairing between probe and target, breaking of target and probe self-structure to allow binding, and dissociation of possible probe dimers (Duan et al. 2006; Kierzek et al. 2006a). The program, OligoWalk (Duan et al. 2006), provides estimates for all these factors at 37°C so that a net binding free energy and apparent $K_d$ can be estimated (Table 1). The calculations are restricted to 37°C because the temperature dependence of loop stabilities is uncertain. The predictions for 37°C, however, can be reasonably compared to the experimental results at room temperature, ~20°C. The predictions by OligoWalk also use thermodynamic parameters for RNA/RNA base pairs (Xia et al. 1998), but parameters for 2′-O-methyl RNA/RNA base pairs have similar sequence dependence (Kierzek et al. 2006b). Probe 68 provides a simple example because it is complementary to a hairpin loop (Fig. 1), has no self-structure and the 1 μM probe concentration is below the predicted $K_d$ for
# Table 1. Predicted binding thermodynamics of oligonucleotide probe sequences used in this work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Predicted net target–probe binding at 37°C</th>
<th>Probe–target pairing at 37°C</th>
<th>Local target structure at 37°C</th>
<th>Probe–probe dimerization at 37°C</th>
<th>Duplex formation at 20°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Delta G^{o}_{37}) (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>(K_d) (M)</td>
<td>(\Delta G^{o}_{17}) (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>(\Delta G^{o}_{37}) (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>(K_d) (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
<td>1.4 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>-15.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.7(^{b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>2.0 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146 (coaxial stacking)</td>
<td>-6.6(^{g})</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>-21.6(^{g})</td>
<td>-14.2</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>-17.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)\(K_d\) and \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) predicted for binding of probe to R2 RNA secondary structure at 37°C by the OligoWalk program (Mathews et al. 1999). The \(\Delta G^{o}\) prediction considers the favorable \(\Delta G^{o}\) for probe–target base pairing and the unfavorable \(\Delta G^{o}\) for breaking local target structure and probe dimers. The contribution of the latter term depends on probe concentration, so net binding \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) is calculated for 1 \(\mu\)M probe. All calculations in this table assume that base pairing between RNA and 2’-O-methyl RNA are reasonably approximated by RNA/RNA nearest-neighbor parameters, which is consistent with experiments (Kierzek et al. 2006b). The calculations are done for 1 M NaCl, but results should be similar for 10 mM MgCl\(_2\) (Williams et al. 1989).

\(^{b}\)Predicted \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) for probe binding to unstructured target.

\(^{c}\)Predicted \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) for breaking local target structure to allow binding of probe.

\(^{d}\)Predicted \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) and \(K_d\) for probe dimerization. Note that OligoWalk does not include a symmetry correction and will choose the more favorable possibility of a dangling end or terminal mismatch.

\(^{e}\)Predicted \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) at 20°C for probe binding to unstructured target, only including Watson-Crick pairs (Serra and Turner 1995; Krasilnikov et al. 2004).

\(^{f}\)Predicted dissociation rate and half-life for probe–target complex assuming \(k_1\sim 10^6\) M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) and calculated from \(k_{-1}= K_{d} \times k_1\), \(t_{1/2}= \frac{\ln 2}{k_{-1}} = 0.6931/k_{-1}\).

\(^{g}\)Predicted \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) includes two coaxial stacking terms, which are both -2.3 kcal/mol.

\(^{h}\)OligoWalk calculates this \(\Delta G^{o}_{37}\) as -2.7 kcal/mol because it neglects the symmetry term for dimerization and assumes a 3’ dangling end rather than a terminal mismatch. The more complete prediction gives a value of -1.7 kcal/mol.
premature aggregation of the colloid. Unfolding of tertiary structure occurs with half-lives ranging from 0.01 s as demonstrated for tRNA (Maglott et al. 1998) and RNase P (Fang et al. 2000, 2002) to at least between 3 and 35 s, as demonstrated for the Tetrahymena thermophila self-splicing intron (Bevilacqua et al. 1992; Zhuang et al. 2000). Thus, it is possible for the RNA to be partially unfolded during the course of the assay. This is not likely to have a large effect on the observed binding, however. Hybridization rates to unstructured targets are typically on the order of $10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, so the half-life for binding is $\sim 300$ s when each nucleic acid strand concentration is $1/300 = 0.003 \text{ M}$. The assay is complete in less than 30 s, and the fluorescence of all probes tested alone was quenched during this time (Fig. 2B), so it is unlikely that probes have time to bind any unfolded RNA. This assertion is confirmed by the control experiments of Figure 3 that allow us to rule out hybridization to a 9-mer complementary to the probe.

It is also unlikely that bound probes will dissociate while the salt concentration is low. The shortest half-life predicted for dissociation of probe from a completely complementary target site at 20°C is roughly 4 yr at 1 M NaCl (Table 1) and is expected to be similar at the 0.1 M NaCl present during the fluorescence measurement (Porschke et al. 1973; Long et al. 2007). The calculation ignores potential increases in dissociation rate due to strand invasion, which is poorly understood. Nevertheless, probes initially bound to target in the hybridization buffer should remain bound during the roughly 30 s required for the nanoparticle assay. The results of the control experiments in Figure 3 are consistent with these predictions. Thus, the experiments reported here interrogate the secondary structure of R2 RNA present at 10 mM Mg$^{2+}$. Clearly, instrumentation specially designed for these measurements would allow lower concentrations and a shorter time lag between hybridization and fluorescence measurement, thus further assuring interrogation of structures as they are under hybridization conditions.

The nanoparticle assay is simple, reliable, and free of issues that arise from binding to immobilized probes in a microarray format. It can be easily converted into an automated high-throughput parallel assay with 96- or 384-well plates. Multiplexing is also possible by including different sequence probes with different dye labels in a mixture. For example, the dyes rhodamine, fluorescein, and Cy5 have all been used with DNA in the nanoparticle assay (Li and Rothberg 2004a,c, 2005; Li et al. 2007). It is therefore well suited to providing insight into the structure of an RNA and into design of oligonucleotide therapeutics by rapidly determining which probes bind to the RNA. The method would be straightforward to miniaturize. Total volumes of $\sim 1 \text{ mL}$ were used because the commercial fluorometer accommodated cuvettes with 1 cm path length. Much of the sample was not involved in the measurement, however. Simple improvements in optics, such as using laser excitation and smaller sample volumes, should improve sensitivity by several orders of magnitude so that only subfemtomole quantities of target are required for each probe sequence to be assayed. The method should also be applicable to studying the unfolding of RNA (Hopkins and Woodson 2005).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Synthesis of gold nanoparticles**

The colloidal solution of gold nanoparticles was synthesized as described previously (Grabar et al. 1995). Briefly, 250 mL of 1 mM HAuCl$_4$ (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA) was heated to its boiling point while stirring. Then 25 mL of 38.8 mM sodium citrate (Alfa Aesar) was quickly added to the boiling solution, which was boiled and stirred for another 15 min. The solution was cooled to room temperature where it can be stored indefinitely for use.

**Synthesis of oligonucleotides**

The 2′-O-methyl RNA probes with fluorescein attached to the 5′ end with a C6 linker were synthesized on an Applied Biosystems 392 DNA/RNA synthesizer using the phosphoramidite method (Caruthers et al. 1992). Phosphoramidites and CPG support were purchased from Glen Research. Cleavage of the oligonucleotides from universal II CPG was done by incubating with 2 M ammonia in methanol (Sigma Aldrich) at room temperature for 30 min. The solution was dried down, and 1:1 (v/v) concentrated ammonium anhydride/ammonia in methylamine (AMA) (Sigma Aldrich) was added; the sealed vial was incubated at 65°C for 10 min or room temperature for 2 h. The solution was dried down and residue was dried on a large preparative Baker Si500F TLC gel plate (20 cm × 20 cm, 500 μm thick) with a 55:35:10 (v/v/v) 1-propanol/ammonia/water running solution. The product was identified by UV shadowing and scraped from the plate. Oligonucleotides were extracted from the silica with distilled water. The water solution was dried down, and the purified oligonucleotides were dissolved in 5 mM ammonium bicarbonate at pH 7.0. The solution was loaded onto a Waters Sep-Pak C18 chromatography column to remove excess salts. Purities of all oligonucleotides were checked with a Hewlett-Packard 1100 HPLC Chemstation and were $>95\%$. Molecular weights were determined by ESI-MS with a Hewlett-Packard 1100 LCMS Chemstation. RNA oligonucleotide 5′-CGGCGACGA and its complement 5′-UCGUGGCGC were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., and purified by TLC as described above.

**RNA target purification**

*B. mori* R2 3′ UTR RNA was prepared following the protocol of Ruschak et al. (2004). The *B. mori* R2 3′ UTR sequence was cloned into pUC19 plasmid and transfected into E. coli cells. The Qiagen Midi plasmid preparation kit protocol was used for plasmid extraction. The plasmids were linearized by XmnI (Promega). The plasmid DNA was transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase (Ambion MEGAscript), and the RNA was purified on an 8% polyacrylamide denaturing gel. RNA was excised from the gel and electroeluted. The solution was desalted and concentrated on a filter with a 10 K cutoff membrane (Millipore).
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Hybridization

A 10 μL RNA solution containing 1.0 or 10 μM B. mori R2 3’ UTR RNA in hybridization buffer (40 mM HEPES, 40 mM sodium HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5) was incubated at 45°C for 30 min and then cooled slowly to room temperature. Control solutions for R2 experiments contain all the ingredients except R2 RNA. A 1 μL solution of 10 or 100 μM fluorescent probe in water was dried down in an Eppendorf tube, and then the 10 μL sample solution was added to give a probe concentration of 1 or 10 μM for use in nanoparticle and gel experiments, respectively. The mixed solution was incubated at 4°C overnight. The incubation conditions were chosen to ensure equilibrium, while minimizing the risk of hydrolysis, and to allow comparisons to microarray experiments on the same system (Duan et al. 2006).

Fluorescence detection

Time traces of fluorescence were recorded at room temperature on a fluorometer (Fluorolog 3, Jobin Yvon) with excitation and emission slits were set for 4 nm band-pass. Fluorescence was recorded from a fluorometer (Fluorolog 3, Jobin Yvon) with excitation and emission at 480 and 520 nm, respectively. Both excitation and emission peaks showed dehydrated RNA with 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol in solution (synthesized as described above and as pink as the original ingredient except R2 RNA. A 1 μM probe were run on 2.0 μM probe and 1.0 μM target after mixing first with 600 μL gold colloid solution (synthesized as described above and as pink as the original gold nanoparticle solution) and a second seconds later with 600 μL of 10 mM phosphate buffer containing 200 mM NaCl at room temperature. The fluorescence was averaged over 10 s.

Native gel electrophoresis

Samples containing 10 μM R2 RNA and 10 μM probe were run on a 4% polyacrylamide native gel at 4°C. The running buffer was 50 mM Tris acetate (pH 7.8). After it was run, the gel was directly scanned on a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager by choosing the green laser option.
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