Generation of a catalytic module on a self-folding RNA

WATARU YOSHIOKA,¹ YOSHIYA IKAWA,² LUC JAEGER,³ HIDEAKI SHIRAISHI,² and TAN INOUE²

¹Graduate School of Science and ²Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, 606-8502 Japan

³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California at San Bernadino, CA 93106, USA

ABSTRACT

It is theoretically possible to obtain a catalytic site of an artificial ribozyme from a random sequence consisting of a limited numbers of nucleotides. However, this strategy has been inadequately explored. Here, we report an *in vitro* selection technique that exploits modular construction of a structurally constrained RNA to acquire a catalytic site for RNA ligation from a short random sequence. To practice the selection, a sequence of 30 nucleotides was located close to the putative reaction site in a derivative of a naturally occurring self-folding RNA whose crystal structure is known. RNAs whose activity depended on the starting three-dimensional structure were selected with 3'–5' ligation specificity, indicating that the strategy can be used to acquire a variety of catalytic sites and other functional RNA modules.

Keywords: catalytic RNA; *in vitro* selection; ligation

INTRODUCTION

Three-dimensional structures of RNA structural units in such naturally occurring RNAs as the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme and RNA selection technique have enabled the construction of artificial RNAs with defined three-dimensional (3D) structures (Jaeger et al. 2001; Ikawa et al. 2002) and the acquisition of new functional RNA units (Williams et al. 1994; Ohuchi et al. 2002), respectively. The self-folding P4–P6 domain of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme, which consists of 160 nucleotides, is nonessential for catalytic activity (Ikawa et al. 2001, and references therein). It serves as a scaffold that stabilizes the correctly folded ribozyme and is tightly connected to the rest of the RNA (Golden et al. 1998), which consists of about 250 nucleotides containing the reaction and catalytic sites (Fig. 1A, left). The domain folds into a rigid hairpin-shaped three-dimensional structure by itself (Murphy and Cech 1993) and is composed of two subdomains, P5abc and the stacked helices composed of P4 and P6 stems. The two subdomains are associated via intramolecular long-range interactions between their structural elements.

The P4–P6 domain has previously been used as a scaffold of an artificial ligase ribozyme (class hc ligase) (Jaeger et al. 1999; Fig. 1A, middle). The ribozyme was acquired by *in*

vitro selection from a combinatorial library (RNA pool) containing three random sequences of 85 nucleotides located outside of the P4–P6 domain. The fundamental structural organization of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme was succeeded to the ligase (Fig. 1A, cf. left and middle); the regions responsible for RNA ligation activity replaced the corresponding regions responsible for phosphoester transfer activity of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme.

In this study, we report a new class of ligase ribozyme derived from P4–P6 RNA by using an *in vitro* selection technique to exploit the modular construction of RNA. The substrate binding site and a random sequence comprised of only 30 nucleotides were placed on two closely located structural elements on the scaffold (Fig. 1A, right, and B). The random sequence for constructing a catalytic unit was set directly on the specific site of the crystal structure of the P4–P6 RNA to compose the unit fixed by the RNA scaffold. As expected, the ribozyme was selected from a library of RNAs containing a short random sequence.

The advantages of the above strategy are as follows. (1) Further engineering of the selected ribozyme can be performed easier, because the anatomy of the selected ribozyme is obvious due to the modular organization of the selected molecule. Accordingly, the ribozyme can be converted to a bimolecular form by cutting it into catalytic and substrate molecules for further development. (2) The RNA library can be readily usable for selecting a variety of ribozymes with simple modifications. For the selection, two functional groups that form a covalent bond by chemical reaction can be readily set at the binding site on the scaffold.

Reprint requests to: Tan Inoue, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, 606-8502 Japan; e-mail: tan@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp; fax: 81-75-753-3996.

Article published online ahead of print. Article and publication date are at <http://www.rnajournal.org/cgi/doi/10.1261/rna.7170304>.

FIGURE 1. (A) Secondary structure of *Tetrahymena* group I intron (left), the class hc ligase ribozyme (Jaeger et al. 1999) (middle), and P4–P6 ligase ribozyme (right). The P4–P6 domain and the helices containing the reaction site are highlighted in blue and yellow, respectively. Reaction sites are indicated by arrowheads. (B) 3D structure of P4–P6 RNA (Protein Data Bank 1GID) with highlighted L5c (red) and J6/6a (green). (C) Secondary structure of RNA with a pool (red), a reaction site in J6/6a (green), and substituted nucleotides (gray). Long-range interactions are indicated with arrows. Sequences and secondary structures of P5 and P5a regions in the bimolecular system (see last paragraph of Results) are shown in the right box. (D) Nondenaturing PAGE analysis of the P4–P6 RNA (lane 1), its derivative with termini at P6 (lane 2), and another derivative having an additional substitution at internal-loop composed of C217 – A219 and G254 – A256 between P6 and P6a with three consecutive base pairs as follows: 5′-CG, UA and AU-3′ (lane 3), a mutant RNA (this RNA is not a ligase, but a derivative of P4–P6, which has no enzymatic activity) containing L5b consisting of UUCG (L5b UUCG mutant, lane 4), and a derivative of the L5b UUCG mutant with additional substitutions described for lane 3 (lane 5). The conditions were adapted from those reported previously (Ikawa et al. 2002).

RESULTS

Design of selection library

The putative catalytic unit was set proximal to the putative reaction site on the P4–P6 crystal structure lacking catalytic

activity as follows. The P4–P6 RNA dissected from the whole intron RNA rapidly folds into a specific form consisting of two stacked helical components, P5–P4–P6–P6a–P6b and P5a–P5b, which are linked by a hinge region, J5/5a (Fig. 1B). P5c branches between P5a and P5b, and its terminal loop, L5c, is closely located to J6/6a in the 3D structure (Cate et al. 1996). The putative catalytic domain and reaction site were set at L5c and J6/6a, respectively (red and green in Fig. 1, B and C), because the L5c loop plays no role in the isolated P4–P6 RNA. As a combinatorial library from which a ligase ribozyme was to be isolated, a randomized sequence was inserted at the L5c region in place of the original UGCAA pentaloop. The size of the sequence was limited to 30 nucleotides because we hoped that a modular unit with only one function could be constructed from the relatively small numbers of nucleotides. The J6/6a internal loop was replaced with base pairs to set the reaction site within the context of a Watson–Crick duplex. Additional modifications at termini were performed to promote the isolation of ligase ribozyme by the selection procedure. The original termini of the P4–P6 RNA (positions U107 and U258 of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme) were linked covalently, and new termini were generated at L6b, because circular permutation is known to maintain the 3D structure of P4–P6 (Murphy et al. 1994). At J6/6a, the resulting permuted P4–P6 was divided into two pieces, ribozyme and substrate fragments (Fig. 1C). The sequence of the 3′ region of the ribozyme fragment was engineered to serve as internal guide sequences complementary to the substrate fragments (Fig. 1C). A cytidine bulging out from P5 was deleted to stabilize the tertiary structure of the P4–P6 RNA (Juneau and Cech 1999). The ligated RNAs designed to have biotins at their 5′ ends were recovered by using streptavidin-beads.

The conservation of the overall structure of the modified P4–P6 RNA was supported by nondenaturing PAGE analysis (Fig. 1D). In the presence of magnesium ions, the RNA used as the scaffold (Fig. 1D, lane 3) and the original P4–P6 (Fig. 1D, lane 1) exhibited similar mobility, while the mutant RNAs missing the interaction at L5b (L5b UUCG mutant, Fig. 1D, lanes 4,5) showed slower mobility in comparison, suggesting that the scaffold RNA maintains the original structure.

In vitro selection experiment

A pool containing an average of 15 copies each of 2.1×10^{14} different RNA sequences was constructed and subjected to an in vitro selection procedure based on previously reported systems (see Materials and Methods, below). Two sets of substrate RNA and internal guide sequences were alternately used as selection cycles to select ribozymes without sequence specificity. The reaction time for the ligation reaction was reduced stepwise from 16 h for the first two rounds to 1 h for the 9th, 10th, and 11th rounds. In the 9th

FIGURE 4. Ligation reaction of clone I under different conditions. Standard for the assay: 10 mM of $MgCl_2$, 50 mM of KCl, 30 mM of Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 μ M of the substrate RNA, 0.5 μ M of the ribozyme at 37°C for 1 h. Reacted fractions of substrate RNA were plotted in the range of pH 7.5–9.5 (A), 37–52°C (B), or 10–80 mM of Mg^{2+} (C). A plot of the observed rate constant of ligation reaction as a function of substrate concentration with 50 mM $MgCl_2$, 50 mM KCl, and 30 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) at 37°C (D).

cleaves 3', 5'- linkages, and then analyzed by thin-layer chromatography. The ratio of ^{32}P -labeled Ap:ApGp: Cp:Up is 2:0:1:1 if the ligation junction is composed of a 3', 5'- linkage, whereas it is 1:1:1:1 if composed of a 2', 5'- linkage (see residues indicated in red in Fig. 3B). The ratios of the spot intensity of Cp and Up to Ap were 0.49 and 0.42, respectively, demonstrating that the majority of the ligation junction consists of a 3', 5'-linkage. The presence of a 3', 5'-linkage was also confirmed by alkaline digestion (data not shown), which preferentially cleaves the 2', 5'-phosphodiester linkage.

The ligation activity of clone I was examined under different conditions and enhanced with an increase of pH (up to pH 9, Fig. 4A) and magnesium ion concentration (up to 80 mM, Fig. 4C). The optimum temperature was 47°C under the conditions tested (Fig. 4B). The rate enhancement was about 10^4 for clone I compared with template-directed RNA ligation (Rohatgi et al. 1996). The observed rate constants were determined for clone I with various concentrations of substrate RNA (Fig. 4D). Relatively low concentrations of substrate RNA was enough for clone I to exhibit its full activity, presumably due to the long internal guide sequence. The observed rate constant and the final yield of the ligation reaction with clone I was $1.6 \times 10^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ and 57% (data not shown), respectively, under optimal conditions (80 mM Mg^{2+} , 50 mM K^+ , 30 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.5) at 37°C).

Secondary structure of the selected sequence

The secondary structure of clone I was predicted by using an Mfold program (Mathews et al. 1999; Zuker 2003; (<http://www.bioinfo.rpi.edu/applications/mfold/old/rna/form1.cgi>)). A dimethyl sulfate (DMS) modification experiment was performed to identify single-stranded regions and bulged-out bases in the clone, because DMS specifically modifies adenines and cytosines uninvolved in base-pairings (Fig. 5A). The predicted single-stranded regions and bulges, together with their proximal bases, were modified, indicating that the RNA actually possesses the predicted structure. This was further examined by attempting site-specific mutagenesis, because ligation activity was lost after disruption of the predicted stems, but restored by compensatory mutations (Fig. 5B). The role of L2 loop and two bulged-out nucleotides at stems 1 and 2 was assessed by mutagenesis experiments. A mutant RNA without a bulge in stem 1 retained the activity. In contrast, another mutant without a bulge in stem 2 exhibited no activity. Furthermore, a substitution of L2 loop (CCACAA) with a gCUUGc stem-loop did not eliminate the activity. These results demonstrate that L2 and a bulged-out A at stem 1 are nonessential for the activity, indicating that a catalytic site resides in the internal loop between stems 1 and 2, although it is still undeniable that a residue outside of the region is also involved with the reaction.

FIGURE 5. Secondary structure analysis and site-directed mutations of the selected sequence in clone I RNA. (A) Autoradiogram of the DMS modification of clone I RNA. Modified bases are indicated at right. (B) Secondary structure model of clone I. Bases modified with DMS are indicated with black circles. The nucleotides derived from the randomized sequence or the constant region, are in black or gray, respectively. Relative activity is indicated for each mutant by using clone I as the standard (1.00). (n.d.) Nondetectable low activity. Conditions: 80 mM of $MgCl_2$, 50 mM of KCl, 30 mM of Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 0.5 μ M of substrate RNA, and 50 nM of the ribozyme at 37°C for 1 h.

Evaluation of the RNA design

To determine whether the original modular organization is maintained in the selected ribozyme, clone I RNA was dissected physically for a reconstitution experiment (Fig. 1C) into two RNAs: substrate domain (SD RNA) and ligase domain (LD RNA). The SD RNA containing a terminal loop at the end of its P5 has an internal guide sequence for recognizing substrate RNA. The LD RNA, containing two inverted terminal base pairs for enhancing its transcription efficiency, possesses the selected catalytic core and A-rich bulge. Substrate RNA was ligated to the SD RNA in the presence of LD RNA (Fig. 6), demonstrating that the ligated product was produced by the reconstitution. The relatively weak activity of the modified ribozyme could be attributed to the weak affinity between SD and LD RNAs (Naito et al. 1998).

DISCUSSION

Two strategies are available for selecting a ribozyme from a library of RNA *in vitro*. One is the selection from structurally unconstrained RNA containing a long random sequence, and the other is selection from a short random sequence for producing the catalytic site in the structurally constrained RNA. The number of RNA molecules in a library is practically restricted to $\sim 10^{16}$. So, the rate of coverage for the sequence space is limited for those with a long random sequence, although their components of the selected ribozyme can be composed of any part of the RNA (Sabeti et al. 1997). In contrast, a sequence space consisting of a short random sequence can be fully or mostly covered, although its structural organization is tightly controlled by its scaffold. The latter strategy, which is suitable for in-depth exploration of a small sequence space (Hamm 1996; Davis and Szostak 2002), has rarely been studied for constructing ribozymes.

FIGURE 6. Dissection and reconstruction of clone I RNA. (S) Substrate RNA. (SD and LD) Domains including IGS and random region, respectively (see Fig. 1C) ($\times 1$, $\times 3$, $\times 6$). The relative concentration of LD RNA ($\times 1$ corresponds to 1 μM). The bands corresponding to ligated products are indicated with an arrow.

This study used the latter strategy to acquire a new class of RNA ligase ribozyme from the derivatives of self-folding P4–P6 RNA. The selection from a short random sequence for constructing a catalytic site produced a ligase ribozyme whose activity is dependent on the 3D structure of the P4–P6 RNA scaffold. Compared with the selections of ribozymes from a pool containing a long random sequence, this selection solely depends on the relative orientations of the reaction site and the selected catalytic site. In this aspect, a random sequence consisting of 30 nucleotides and its location on the P4–P6 RNA were probably reasonable choices, even though it is unclear whether they were close to the optimum.

In the reaction, the substrate oligonucleotides complementary to the internal guide sequences were ligated regio-specifically with the 3'–5' linkage. The rate-limiting step is likely at the catalytic step, presumably due to deprotonation of the hydroxyl group at the 3' terminal of the substrate. The rate enhancement of the selected ribozyme (10^4 rate enhancement) is comparable to those of previously selected ligase ribozymes without fine tuning (10^3 – 10^4 rate enhancement) (Bartel and Szostak 1993; Jaeger et al. 1999), although it was rather modest compared with those selected ribozymes modified by further fine tuning (10^6 – 10^9 rate enhancement). In many instances, rate enhancement of the selected ribozyme has been successfully achieved by additional selections for tuning the RNA conformation. For example, the rigidity of the scaffold of the ribozyme acquired in this study might have reduced the potential to fold into the most appropriate form for achieving its highest activity. Thus, further rate enhancement may be possible by performing the second selection and/or other modifications.

The elucidation of higher order structures is time consuming for the ribozymes selected from the libraries consisting of long random sequences. Even the prediction of their structural units is complicated, due to the dispersion of the nucleotides that comprise one structural unit in the primary sequences. However, the structural organization is obvious in our ligase RNA because of its modular organization. Because P4–P6 RNA and its derivatives have been successfully crystallized, the structural analysis of the selected ligase may also be possible by X-ray crystallography (Cate et al. 1996; Juneau et al. 2001).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of the RNA Library

A pool of 2.1×10^{14} different RNAs (15 copies each) was constructed, starting from the three fragments of synthetic DNA. These fragments were amplified separately by PCR (for the fragments constituting the constant regions) or primer extension (for the fragment constituting the randomized region), digested with

BsaI and ligated to form DNA templates for the RNA pool. BsaI cuts outside of its recognition sequence, which enabled ligation to occur in a directional manner (Atsumi et al. 2003). 3.4×10^2 pmol of ligated DNAs were transcribed to produce 5.1×10^3 pmol of RNAs.

In vitro selection

After in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase, the DNA templates were digested with RQ I DNase (Promega), and the resulting RNAs were purified by denaturing PAGE and then precipitated with ethanol. The purified RNAs and the substrate RNAs were dissolved in a buffer solution containing 1 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5) and 0.01 mM EDTA, denatured by incubating at 80°C for 3 min, and then preincubated at 37°C for 1 min. The mixture was subjected to folding initiation and ligation reaction by adding a fivefold concentrated reaction buffer at 37°C. The final concentrations of the pool RNA, the substrate RNA, MgCl_2 , KCl, and Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), were 0.5 μM , 1 μM , 10 mM, 50 mM, and 30 mM, respectively. Two substrate RNAs, 5'-(biotin)UGCUGAGCCUGCGAUUGGAGAUGAUUGGACUA-3' (Substrate I) and 5'-(biotin)ACGUAACAGCACAGGAAUCGACACUUAU GGCUCG-3' (Substrate II) have complementary sequences to the internal guide sequences as indicated by the underlining. The ligation reaction was stopped by ethanol precipitation. The products were captured on streptavidine paramagnetic particles (Promega) for hybridizing with a DNA primer (5'-GGATGCGTGTTA GGACCATGT-3') complementary to the 3' region of the pool RNA, and reverse transcribed with RT High (TOYOBO). The resulting cDNAs were eluted from the particles by degrading the RNA with 150 mM KOH, followed by neutralization with 150 mM HCl. The cDNAs were selectively amplified by PCR using the primer for reverse transcription and selective primers complementary to the sequences of the substrate RNAs. Regenerating and type-switching PCR were carried out with the primer containing the sequence of the T7 RNA polymerase promoter and the primer having the sequence (5'-AACACTCTTAGGCTCGGGATGCGT GTTAGGACCATG-3' or 5'-AGATGACTTAGGACTAGGATGC GTGTTAGGACCATG-3') (The internal guide sequences are underlined). The resulting DNAs were used as templates for transcribing the pool of RNA for the next round.

Ligated RNAs from the 11th round were isolated by 6% polyacrylamide denaturing gel electrophoresis, reverse-transcribed, amplified by PCR, and then cloned into pUC119 at the HincII site. Individual clones were sequenced by using a BcaBEST Dideoxy Sequencing Kit (Takara) with an automated DNA sequencer (ALF express II, Amersham Biosciences).

Ligation assays

Uniformly, ^{32}P -labeled RNAs were ligated to the substrate RNAs. In the reconstitution experiment, SD was ^{32}P labeled. The standard conditions were as follows. The reaction time was 60 min, temperature was 37°C, MgCl_2 concentration was 10 mM, KCl concentration was 50 mM, and Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) concentration was 30 mM. Concentrations of ribozyme and substrate RNA were identical to those in the in vitro selection, or 50 and 500 nM for the time-course assay (Fig. 4D). The ligation reaction was stopped by ethanol precipitation. Products were separated on 6% poly-

acrylamide denaturing gels and quantified with a BioImaging Analyzer (BAS2500; Fuji Film).

Characterization of ligation products

The identity of the nucleotides at the ligation junction was confirmed by sequencing individual clones and also by dideoxy sequencing of ligated clone I RNA with reverse transcriptase.

For the RNase T2 digestion assay, the 3' substrate (5'-pppGGA UGCGGGAAAGGGGUCA-3') was uniformly ^{32}P -labeled by transcription with [α - ^{32}P]GTP, and ligated ribozymatically to substrate I. One pmol of the ligated substrate RNAs purified by denaturing PAGE was completely digested with 0.5 U of RNase T2 in a buffer containing 10 mM NaOAc (pH 4.5) and 2 mM EDTA for 2 h at 37°C. The products of RNase T2 digestion were separated by two-dimensional thin-layer chromatography, as described previously (Keith 1995).

Mutants

The template DNA for the transcription of a mutant having a UGCAA pentaloop at L5c in the structural context of clone I (mutations in the constant regions were restored) was constructed by PCR with appropriate primers and pTZIVSU (plasmid containing the whole sequence of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme). The J5/5a stem mutant was constructed by PCR with appropriate primers and plasmid containing the sequence of clone I. The L5bGGAA mutants were constructed by appropriate primers and the plasmid containing clone I. The L5bUUCG mutant was constructed by PCR with appropriate primers and pTZIVSU. SD having a UUCG terminal loop at the end of P5 instead of J5/5a was constructed by PCR with three synthetic oligonucleotides. LD was constructed by PCR with plasmid containing the sequence of clone I and appropriate primers. The first two base pairs of LD were inverted for efficient transcription.

For the compensation assay of the loop-receptor of P5b $\times$ J6 (Fig. 3A, lanes 1–4), a plasmid containing the sequence of P5b GGAA mutant was constructed by PCR and ligation with the plasmid having the sequence of clone I, and confirmed to have the correct sequence. The receptor for the GGAA tetraloop was C7.34 (Costa and Michel 1997). The template for the transcription of a mutant having a 3' sequence of C7.34 receptor (5'-CCCCACGC) instead of a 11-nt receptor was constructed by PCR with appropriate primers and the plasmid containing the clone I sequence. The sequence of substrate RNA having the 5' sequence of C7.34 receptor was 5'-GCUGAGCCUGCGAUUGGAGAUGAGAAGGG ACUA-3' (C7.34 sequence is underlined).

DMS modification

A reaction mixture (100 μL) containing 50 pmol of RNA, 30 mM of Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), and 0 or 50 mM of MgCl_2 was incubated at 80°C for 3 min, cooled, and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. A total of 0.5 μL of 10% DMS (Sigma) in ethanol was added to the mixture and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped with 50 μL of 2-mercaptoethanol (1 M). After ethanol precipitation, the resulting RNA was reverse transcribed with 5'-prime-labeled primer, and then analyzed by performing PAGE.

Received February 11, 2004; accepted September 27, 2004.

REFERENCES

- Atsumi, S., Ikawa, Y., Shiraishi, H., and Inoue, T. 2003. Selections for constituting new RNA-protein interactions in catalytic RNP. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**: 661–669.
- Bartel, D.P. and Szostak, J.W. 1993. Isolation of new ribozymes from a large pool of random sequences. *Science* **261**: 1411–1418.
- Cate, J.H., Gooding, A.R., Podell, E., Zhou, K., Golden, B.L., Kundrot, C.E., Cech, T.R., and Doudna, J.A. 1996. Crystal structure of a group I ribozyme domain: Principles of RNA packing. *Science* **273**: 1678–1685.
- Costa, M. and Michel, F. 1997. Rules for RNA recognition of GNRA tetraloops deduced by in vitro selection: Comparison with in vivo evolution. *EMBO J.* **16**: 3289–3302.
- Davis, J.H. and Szostak, J.W. 2002. Isolation of high-affinity GTP aptamers from partially structured RNA libraries. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **99**: 11616–11621.
- Golden, B.L., Gooding, A.R., Podell, E.R., and Cech, T.R. 1998. A preorganized active site in the crystal structure of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme. *Science* **282**: 259–264.
- Hamm, J. 1996. Characterization of antibody-binding RNAs selected from structurally constrained libraries. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **24**: 2220–2227.
- Ikawa, Y., Yoshioka, W., Ohki, Y., Shiraishi, H., and Inoue, T. 2001. Self-splicing of the *Tetrahymena* group I ribozyme without conserved base-triples. *Genes Cells* **6**: 411–420.
- Ikawa, Y., Fukada, K., Watanabe, S., Shiraishi, H., and Inoue, T. 2002. Design, construction, and analysis of a novel class of self-folding RNA. *Structure* **10**: 527–534.
- Jaeger, L., Wright, M.C., and Joyce, G.F. 1999. A complex ligase ribozyme evolved in vitro from a group I ribozyme domain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 14712–14717.
- Jaeger, L., Westhof, E., and Leontis, N.B. 2001. TectoRNA: Modular assembly units for the construction of RNA nano-objects. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**: 455–463.
- Juneau, K. and Cech, T.R. 1999. In vitro selection of RNAs with increased tertiary structure stability. *RNA* **5**: 1119–1129.
- Juneau, K., Podell, E., Harrington, D.J., and Cech, T.R. 2001. Structural basis of the enhanced stability of a mutant ribozyme domain and a detailed view of RNA-solvent interactions. *Structure* **9**: 221–231.
- Keith, G. 1995. Mobilities of modified ribonucleotides on two-dimensional cellulose thin-layer chromatography. *Biochimie* **77**: 142–144.
- Mathews, D.H., Sabina, J., Zuker, M., and Turner, D.H. 1999. Expanded sequence dependence of thermodynamic parameters improves prediction of RNA secondary structure. *J. Mol. Biol.* **288**: 911–940.
- Murphy, F.L. and Cech, T.R. 1993. An independently folding domain of RNA tertiary structure within the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme. *Biochemistry* **32**: 5291–5300.
- Murphy, F.L., Wang, Y.H., Griffith, J.D., and Cech, T.R. 1994. Coaxially stacked RNA helices in the catalytic center of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme. *Science* **265**: 1709–1712.
- Naito, Y., Shiraishi, H., and Inoue, T. 1998. P5abc of the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme consists of three functionally independent elements. *RNA* **4**: 837–846.
- Ohuchi, S.J., Ikawa, Y., Shiraishi, H., and Inoue, T. 2002. Modular engineering of a Group I intron ribozyme. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**: 3473–3480.
- Rohatgi, R., Bartel, D.P., and Szostak, J.W. 1996. Kinetic and mechanistic analysis of nonenzymatic, template-directed oligoribonucleotide ligation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **118**: 3332–3339.
- Sabeti, P.C., Unrau, P.J., and Bartel, D.P. 1997. Accessing rare activities from random RNA sequences: The importance of the length of molecules in the starting pool. *Chem. Biol.* **4**: 767–774.
- Williams, K.P., Imahori, H., Fujimoto, D.N., and Inoue, T. 1994. Selection of novel forms of a functional domain within the *Tetrahymena* ribozyme. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**: 2003–2009.
- Young, B.T. and Silverman, S.K. 2002. The GAAA tetraloop-receptor interaction contributes differentially to folding thermodynamics and kinetics for the P4-P6 RNA domain. *Biochemistry* **41**: 12271–12276.
- Zuker, M. 2003. Mfold Web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**: 3406–3415.

RNA 10: 1813–1819 (2004)

Probing microRNAs with microarrays: Tissue specificity and functional inference

TOMAS BABAK, WEN ZHANG, QUAID MORRIS, BENJAMIN J. BLENCOWE, and TIMOTHY R. HUGHES

The first two panels in Figure 2 in this paper were incorrectly labeled. They should read (from left): Brain, Liver, Heart, Muscle, Lung, Spleen. The authors apologize for any confusion this may have caused.

RNA 10: 1900–1906 (2004)

Generation of a catalytic module on a self-folding RNA

WATARU YOSHIOKA, YOSHIYA IKAWA, LUC JAEGER, HIDEAKI SHIRAISHI, and TAN INOUE

Co-author Luc Jaeger's correct affiliation is Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California at Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA. Inadvertently, University of California at San Bernadino was noted instead.

RNA

A PUBLICATION OF THE RNA SOCIETY

Generation of a catalytic module on a self-folding RNA

WATARU YOSHIOKA, YOSHIYA IKAWA, LUC JAEGER, et al.

RNA 2004 10: 1900-1906

Related Content

Generation of a catalytic module on a self-folding RNA

WATARU YOSHIOKA, YOSHIYA IKAWA, LUC JAEGER, et al.

[RNA January , 2005 11: 114](#)

References

This article cites 24 articles, 9 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/10/12/1900.full.html#ref-list-1>

Articles cited in:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/content/10/12/1900.full.html#related-urls>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *RNA* go to:

<http://rnajournal.cshlp.org/subscriptions>